APPENDIXES

APPENDIX I

Client's and therapist's informed consents

CONSENTIMENTO INFORMADO

Eu, _____(nome do cliente ou terapeuta)_____, aceito participar na investigação "_____(título)_____", que está a ser desenvolvida por _____(nome)_____, a decorrer no Serviço de Consulta Psicológica e Desenvolvimento Humano da Universidade do Minho.

Compreendo que a minha participação é inteiramente voluntária e que posso abandonar ou desistir de participar a qualquer momento, sem que para isso tenha que dar qualquer explicação ou haja qualquer consequência.

Foram-me explicados os seguintes pontos:

- O objectivo da investigação é (specifiy the goal)
- Todas as consultas serão gravadas em vídeo.
- Toda a informação recolhida será tratada de forma confidencial. Apenas os investigadores envolvidos nesse projecto, com consentimento do responsável pela supervisão terão acesso aos dados. Na publicação de eventuais trabalhos de carácter científico, a minha identidade ficará protegida, não sendo revelado o meu nome nem qualquer característica que me possa identificar directamente.
- Após a conclusão do projecto, e a meu pedido, ser-me-á facultada uma cópia das conclusões principais do estudo, caso a minha participação neste seja completa.

Compreendo o que este estudo envolve e concordo em participar. Foi-me entregue uma cópia assinada deste formulário de consentimento.

_____   _____
Assinatura do participante   Assinatura do investigador

Data

_____

APPENDIX II

Grid for coding psychotherapy sessions with the

Therapeutic Responsiveness Observational System

Therapeutic Responsiveness Observational System						
Session transcription (allowed through observation)	**1** **Client's verbal expression of needs**	**2** **Therapist's responses**				**3** **Client's reactions**
		Mode	Temporal Dimension	Focus	Intention	
Cl: ……. T: …….. Cl: ……. T: ……..	…	…	…	…	…	…
Cl: ……. T: …….. Cl: ……. T: ……..	…	…	…	…	…	…

Cl – Client; T - Therapist

APPENDIX III

Reliability Statistics of the

first component of the Therapeutic Responsiveness Observational System –

The Client's Verbal Expression of Needs (C:VEN)

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS ON CODING CLIENT'S VERBAL EXPRESSION OF NEEDS (C:VEN)

12 therapy episodes: 9 with and 3 without C:VEN

C:VEN Axes Global Ratings by episode -------» Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

Coding Team 1 (5 coders, including the author) = 0,90

Coding Team 2 (4 coders, including the author) = 0,89

Segments Ratings within therapy episodes (65 coded units)

Axes C:VEN Ratings -------» Cohen's Kappa Coefficient

Team 1 (Coder 1* +Coder 2) = 0,76

Team 1 (Coder 1 +Coder 3) = 0,75

Team 1 (Coder 1 +Coder 4) = 0,70

Team 1 (Coder 1 +Coder 5) = impossible to calculate**

Team 2 (Coder 1 +Coder 6) = 0,92

Team 2 (Coder 1 +Coder 7) = 0,89

Team 2 (Coder 1 +Coder 8) = 0,85

C:VEN Types Ratings -------» Cohen's Kappa Coefficient

Team 1 (Coder 1*+Coder 2) = 0,81

Team 1 (Coder 1 +Coder 3) = 0,78

Team 1 (Coder 1 +Coder 4) = 0,75

Team 1 (Coder 1 +Coder 5) = impossible to calculate**

Team 2 (Coder 1 +Coder 6) = 0,94

Team p 2 (Coder 1 +Coder 7) = 0,92

Team 2 (Coder 1 +Coder 8) = 0,86

*Coder 1 = the author   **Kappa statistics cannot be computed. They require a symmetric 2-way table in which the values of the first variable match the values of the second variable

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient – C:VEN AXES

Coding Team 1 (5 coders) = **0,90**

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	12	100,0
	Excluded[a]	0	,0
	Total	12	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,897	20

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

	Intraclass Correlation[a]	95% Confidence Interval		F Test with True Value 0			
		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Value	df1	df2	Sig
Single Measures	,303[b]	,156	,577	9,674	11	209	,000
Average Measures	**,897**	,788	,965	9,674	11	209	,000

Two-way random effects model where both people effects and measures effects are random.

a. Type C intraclass correlation coefficients using a consistency definition-the between-measure variance is excluded from the denominator variance.

b. The estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient – C:VEN AXES

Coding Team 2 (4 coders) = **0,89**

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	12	100,0
	Excluded[a]	0	,0
	Total	12	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,885	16

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

	Intraclass Correlation[a]	95% Confidence Interval		F Test with True Value 0			
		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Value	df1	df2	Sig
Single Measures	,325[b]	,166	,604	8,693	11	165	,000
Average Measures	**,885**	,762	,961	8,693	11	165	,000

Two-way random effects model where both people effects and measures effects are random.

a. Type C intraclass correlation coefficients using a consistency definition-the between-measure variance is excluded from the denominator variance.

b. The estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN AXES and C:VEN TYPES

Codes

A Axis – WANTING

A.1. Wishes change-related

A.2. Wishes problem-related

A.3. Expectations

B Axis – DIFFICULTIES

B.1. Intrapersonal Difficulties

B.2. Interpersonal Difficulties

B.3. Self-related Difficulties

C Axis – HESITATIONS

C.1. Personal Dilemmas

C.2. Doubts

D Axis – DIRECT REQUESTS

D.1. DR - Wishes

D.2. DR - Expectations

D.3. DR - Requirements

D4. DR - Difficulties

D.5. DR - Doubts

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN AXES

Coding Team 1 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) **= 0,76**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder2	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder2 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder2					
		0	A	B	C	D	Total
Coder1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	A	2	14	0	0	0	16
	B	6	0	32	1	0	39
	C	1	0	0	4	0	5
	D	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total		12	14	32	5	2	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,758	,067	10,175	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN AXES

Coding Team 1 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 3) = **0,75**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder3	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder3 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder3					
		0	A	B	C	D	Total
Coder1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	A	3	12	1	0	0	16
	B	5	0	34	0	0	39
	C	1	0	0	4	0	5
	D	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total		12	12	35	4	2	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,751	,069	10,017	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C'VEN AXES

Coding Team 1 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 4) = **0,70**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder4	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder4 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder4					
		0	A	B	C	D	Total
Coder1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	A	7	8	1	0	0	16
	B	3	0	36	0	0	39
	C	1	0	0	4	0	5
	D	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total		14	8	37	4	2	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,699	,070	9,808	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN AXES

Coding Team 2 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 6) **= 0,92**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder6	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder6 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder6					
		0	A	B	C	D	Total
Coder1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	A	0	16	0	0	0	16
	B	0	2	36	0	1	39
	C	0	0	0	5	0	5
	D	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total		3	18	36	5	3	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,922	,044	11,043	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

14

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN AXES

Coding Team 2 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 7) = **0,89**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder7	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder7 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder7					
		0	A	B	C	D	Total
Coder1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	A	0	15	1	0	0	16
	B	1	0	37	0	1	39
	C	1	0	0	4	0	5
	D	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total		5	15	38	4	3	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,894	,050	10,938	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C'VEN AXES

Coding Team 2 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 8) = **0,85**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder8	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder8 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder8					
		0	A	B	C	D	Total
Coder1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
	A	1	15	0	0	0	16
	B	3	0	35	0	1	39
	C	1	0	0	4	0	5
	D	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total		8	15	35	4	3	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,848	,057	10,794	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN TYPES
Coding Team 1 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,81**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder2	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder2 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder2										Total
		no	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C2	D1	D4	
Coder1	no	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	A1	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	A2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	A3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	B1	4	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	26
	B2	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	1	0	0	11
	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	C2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
	D1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	D4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		12	9	1	4	22	8	2	5	1	1	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,808	,055	14,887	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder3	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder3 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder3									Total
		no	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C2	D1	D4	
Coder1	no	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	A1	2	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
	A2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	A3	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	B1	3	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	26
	B2	2	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	11
	B3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	C2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
	D1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	D4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		12	8	1	3	25	9	1	4	1	1	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,784	,058	14,044	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder4	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder4 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder4									Total
		no	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C2	D1	D4	
Coder1	no	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	A1	7	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
	A2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	A3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	B1	3	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	26
	B2	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
	B3	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	C2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
	D1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	D4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		14	3	1	4	25	11	1	4	1	1	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,747	,060	13,839	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN TYPES

Coding Team 2 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 6) **= 0,94**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder6	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder6 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder6											Total
		no	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C1	C2	D1	D4	
Coder1	no	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	A1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	A2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	A3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	B1	0	1	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	26
	B2	0	1	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	11
	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	5
	D1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	D4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		3	13	1	4	24	11	2	1	4	1	1	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,941	,034	15,949	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN TYPES

Coding Team 2 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 7) = 0,92

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1 * Coder7	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder7 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder7										Total
		no	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C2	D1	D4	
Coder1	no	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	A1	0	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
	A2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	A3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	B1	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	26
	B2	1	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	11
	B3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	C2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
	D1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	D4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		5	9	2	4	27	10	2	4	1	1	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,920	,038	15,879	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:VEN TYPES

Coding Team 2 (2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 8) = **0,86**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Coder1Zi * Coder8	65	100,0%	0	,0%	65	100,0%

Coder1Zi * Coder8 Crosstabulation

Count

		Coder8										Total
		no	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3	C2	D1	D4	
Coder1	no	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	A1	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	A2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	A3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	B1	3	0	0	0	22	0	1	0	0	0	26
	B2	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
	B3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
	C2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
	D1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	D4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Total		8	10	1	4	22	12	2	4	1	1	65

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,864	,048	15,267	,000
N of Valid Cases		65			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

APPENDIX IV

Reliability Statistics of the

second and third components of the Therapeutic Responsiveness Observational System –

The Therapist's Responses (T:R) and the Client's Reactions (C:R)

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS ON THE CODIFICATION OF THERAPIST'S RESPONSES (T:R) AND CLIENT'S REACTIONS (C:R)

10 therapy sessions

Segments Ratings within therapeutic sessions (2175 codified units)

T:R Types Ratings by session -------»   Cohen's Kappa Coefficient

T:R Modes

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,95**

T:R Temporal dimensions

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,96**

T:R Focuses

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,99**

T:R Intentions

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,92**

C:R Ratings by session -------»   Cohen's Kappa Coefficient

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,96**

*Coder 1 = the author

1-12 * 1-12 Crosstabulation

Count

		1-12												Total
		List/ Tracking	Approv al	Questionin g	Exemplify ing	Reflexi ve	Interpretati on	Summarizin g	Confrontati on	Guidan ce	Educatio n	Self Disclosur e	No response	
1-12	List/Tracking	229	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	233
	Approval	0	66	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	75
	Questioning	2	0	547	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	553
	Exemplifying	0	0	0	26	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	30
	Reflexive	1	0	8	0	271	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	292
	Interpretation	0	0	5	0	11	181	0	0	1	0	0	0	198
	Summarizing	0	0	2	1	0	5	164	0	1	0	0	0	173
	Confrontation	0	0	1	0	4	0	2	80	0	0	0	0	87
	Guidance	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	166	2	0	0	170
	Education	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	55	0	0	62
	SelfDisclosure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	0	13
	No Response	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	288	289
Total		232	68	566	28	287	199	173	82	177	61	13	289	2175

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
1-12 * 1-12	2175	100,0%	0	,0%	2175	100,0%

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,952	,005	117,150	,000
N of Valid Cases		2175			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – T:R Temporal dimension

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,96**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
1-7 * 1-7	2175	100,0%	0	,0%	2175	100,0%

1-7 * 1-7 Crosstabulation

Count

		1-7							Total
		0	Immediate	Late to Previous	I. Next Sessions	I. Inter sessions P	Link Previous Sessions	I. Renaming needs	
1-7	0	286	1	0	0	0	0	0	287
	Immediate	1	1429	19	0	1	2	2	1454
	Late to Previous need	0	11	241	0	1	0	0	253
	I. NextSessions	0	4	0	27	0	0	0	31
	I. Inter sessions P	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	32
	Link Previous Sessions	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	57
	I. Renaming needs	0	2	0	0	0	0	59	61
Total		287	1447	260	27	34	59	61	2175

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement Kappa	,961	,006	72,134	,000
N of Valid Cases	2175			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – T:R Focus

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,99**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
1-4 * 1-4	2175	100,0%	0	,0%	2175	100,0%

1-4 * 1-4 Crosstabulation

Count

		1-4					Total
		0	Client Experience	Therapist Experience	Therapeutic Relationship	Therapeutic Work	
1-4	0	286	1	0	0	0	287
	Client Experience	1	1669	0	0	1	1671
	Therapist Experience	0	0	3	0	1	4
	Therapeutic Relationship	0	1	0	15	0	16
	Therapeutic Work	0	2	0	2	193	197
Total		287	1673	3	17	195	2175

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,989	,004	61,761	,000
N of Valid Cases		2175			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – T:R Intention

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,92**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
1-6 * 1-6	2175	100,0%	0	,0%	2175	100,0%

1-6 * 1-6 Crosstabulation

Count

		1-6							
		0	Prov. Security	Promoting Understand	Challenging Change/Nov	Focus	Reinforcing change	Promot. Client Disclosure	Total
1-6	0	482	1	1	0	0	0	0	484
	Providing security	2	180	2	4	0	0	0	188
	Promoting understand	0	0	855	12	3	6	1	877
	Challenging change or novelty	0	1	54	355	0	33	0	443
	Focusing	0	0	2	0	44	2	0	48
	Reinforcing change	0	0	2	1	0	116	0	119
	Promoting client's disclosure	0	1	2	0	0	0	13	16
Total		484	183	918	372	47	157	14	2175

29

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,919	,007	78,604	,000
N of Valid Cases		2175			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Cohen's Kappa Coefficient – C:R

(2 coders: Coder 1 +Coder 2) = **0,96**

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
1-9 * 1-9	2175	100,0%	0	,0%	2175	100,0%

1-9 * 1-9 Crosstabulation

Count

	1-10								Total
	Begins	Continues	Tries Again	Confirms	Ends	Speaks change/N.	Accepts T:R	Doesn't Accept T:R	
1-9 Begins	307	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	308
Continues	1	386	14	0	0	0	0	0	401
Tries Again	0	1	254	0	0	0	0	0	255
Confirms	0	0	2	15	0	0	1	0	18
Ends	0	0	0	0	300	0	0	0	300
Speaks change/novelty	0	0	2	0	0	85	3	0	90
Accepts T:R	0	3	1	0	2	0	645	4	655
Doesn't accept T:R	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	142	148
Total	310	390	273	16	303	85	652	146	2175

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error[a]	Approx. T[b]	Approx. Sig.
Measure of Agreement	Kappa	,962	,005	103,485	,000
N of Valid Cases		2175			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.