

1
2 **TITLE**

3
4 Oral *Candida* carriage of patients attending a dental clinic in Braga, Portugal
5

6 **RUNNING TITLE**

7
8
9 *Candida* oral carriage
10

11
12
13
14 **TÍTULO**

15
16 Colonización oral por *Candida* en pacientes que asisten a una clinica dental in Braga, Portugal
17
18

19 **TÍTULO CORTO**

20
21 Colonización oral por *Candida*
22
23

24
25
26 **AUTHORS' NAMES**

27
28 Margarida Martins^a, Mariana Henriques^a, Ana Paula Ribeiro^a, Ricardo Fernandes^a, Vânia
29
30 Gonçalves^a, Álvaro Seabra^b, Joana Azeredo^a, Rosário Oliveira^{a,*}
31
32
33

34
35
36 **AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS**

37
38
39 ^a,IBB-Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Centre of Biological Engineering ,
40
41 Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal
42
43

44
45 ^b,Clínica Dentária dos Congregados, Lda, Av Central 82 1º, 4710-229 Braga, Portugal
46
47

48
49 **CORRESPONDING AUTHORS' ADDRESS**

50
51
52
53 *,IBB- Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Centre of Biological Engineering,
54
55 Universidade do Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal. Telephone: +351
56
57 253604400. Fax: +351 253678986. E-mail: roliveira@deb.uminho.pt
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **SUMMARY**
2

3 *Background:* The ability of the *Candida* species to colonize surfaces can be considered a risk
4 factor for oral infection.
5

6
7
8 *Aims:* The aim of this work was to establish oral *Candida* carriage in patients attending a dental
9 clinic in Braga, Portugal.
10

11
12
13 *Methods:* A total of 97 patients were analysed. Swab samples were collected, and directly
14 cultured in CHROMagar Candida. Representative yeasts were identified by polymerase chain
15 reaction.
16
17
18
19

20
21 *Results:* From the samples analysed 54.6% ($n=53$) were *Candida* positive, and *Candida albicans*
22 was the most frequently isolated species, accounting for 79% of all the species identified. Non-*C.*
23 *albicans* *Candida* (NCAC) species recovered included *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida glabrata*,
24 *Candida tropicalis*, and *Candida guilliermondii*. There was a lack of association between the
25 presence of *C. albicans*, and NCAC species, and age, gender or prostheses wearing in this
26 population. In 17% of the cases ($n=9$) polymicrobial cultures, with two different *Candida*
27 species, were identified.
28
29
30

31
32 *Conclusions:* This study shows a high *Candida* carriage rate among this population, thus
33 pointing to the relevance of an accurate diagnostic approach in *Candida* species identification.
34
35
36
37
38

39 **RESUMEN**
40

41
42 *Antecedentes:* La capacidad que poseen las diferentes especies de *Candida* de colonizar las
43 superficies, puede ser considerada como un factor de riesgo para la infección oral.
44
45

46
47
48 *Objetivos:* El objetivo de este estudio fue establecer la colonización oral por *Candida* en
49 pacientes que asisten a una clinica dental en Braga, Portugal.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 *Métodos:* Un total de 97 pacientes fueron estudiados. Se colectaron muestras bucales con hisopo
2
3
4 y fueron cultivadas directamente en CHROMagar Candida. Las levaduras seleccionadas se
5
6 identificaron mediante reacción en cadena de la polimerasa.
7

8
9 *Resultados:* De las muestras analizadas 54.6% ($n=53$) fueron positivas para *Candida*. *Candida*
10
11 *albicans* fue la especie más frecuentemente aislada, representado el 79% de todas las especies
12
13 identificadas. Las especies de *Candida* no-*Candida albicans* (CNCA) aisladas fueron *C.*
14
15 *parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis* y *C. guilliermondii*. En la población estudiada no se
16
17 observó asociación entre la presencia de *C. albicans* y CNCA con la edad, el sexo o el uso de
18
19 prótesis. En el 17% de los casos ($n=9$) se identificó colonización mixta con dos especies de
20
21 *Candida*.
22
23
24

25
26 *Conclusiones:* Este estudio muestra una alta incidencia de colonización por *Candida* en esta
27
28 población; por lo tanto, se sugiere la necesidad de un diagnóstico preciso para la identificación
29
30 de las especies de *Candida*.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

KEY WORDS

Candida, polymicrobial cultures, oral carriage, Braga-Portugal

PALABRAS CLAVE

Candida, Braga-Portugal, colonización oral, colonización mixta

INTRODUCTION

Colonization of the oral cavity by *Candida* species was defined as the acquisition, and maintenance of yeast cells without clinical signs. This process entails *Candida* species acquisition, growth, and removal [3].

Within the yeast oral community *Candida albicans* is the most frequently found (47–75% of the yeasts isolated) [4]. However, other yeast species have been increasingly identified, such as non-*C. albicans* *Candida* (NCAC) species(*Candida glabrata*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida krusei*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida dubliniensis*, and *Candida guilliermondii*) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Trichosporon* species, and *Yarrowia lipolytica* [2,15,18,29].

Although the presence of *Candida* species is not an indication of disease, the ability of the yeasts to overcome the host clearance mechanisms and to colonize surfaces can be considered a risk factor for oral infection. The balance between *Candida* colonization, and candidiasis rely on the balance between pathogen characteristics (e.g. production of adhesins, secreted aspartyl proteinases), and host factors [12]. Host local predisposing conditions comprise: (i) reduced saliva secretion, (ii) epithelial changes, and local mucosal diseases, (iii) changes in commensal flora, (iv) high carbohydrate diet, and (v) denture wearing. Additionally, host systemic factors have also been associated with *Candida* oral colonization, and include: (i) age, (ii) tobacco smoking (iii) endocrine disorders, including diabetes, hypothyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, (iv) rheumatic diseases, (v) nutritional deficiencies (iron or folate deficiencies), (vi) immunosuppressive conditions, such as chemotherapy, deficiencies of humoral or cell-mediated immunity, human immunodeficiency virus infection, and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, and (vii) drugs: broad-spectrum antibiotics, and corticosteroids [1,4,10,18].

To the authors' knowledge oral *Candida* carriage prevalence, and aetiology studies were not yet performed in the Portuguese population. Thus, the main objective of this study was to evaluate

1 oral *Candida* carriage in patients attending a dental clinic in Braga, Portugal.
2
3
4
5

6 **PATIENTS AND METHODS**

7 ***Candida* type strains**

8
9 For quality control purposes, the following *Candida* type strains were used in the identification
10 procedures of *Candida* isolates: *C. albicans* CECT 1472, *C. dubliniensis*, strain provided by
11 Biognostica from United Kingdom National External Quality Assessment Service, *C. glabrata*
12 ATCC 2001, *C. guilliermondii* ATCC 6260, *C. kefyr* ATCC 204093, *C. krusei* ATCC 6258, *C.*
13 *parapsilosis* ATCC 22019, and *C. tropicalis* ATCC 750. These strains were maintained on
14 Sabouraud dextrose agar.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Patients**

27
28 Samples were collected from a total of 97 asymptomatic individuals (77 females, and 20 males)
29 attending a dental clinic in Braga, Portugal over a 12-month period (May 2005 to 2006). Each of
30 the potential subjects was informed of the aims, and methods of the study, and anticipated
31 benefits, and potential risks, according to the World Medical Association Declaration of
32 Helsinki. Data on patient age, oral hygiene habits, health status, medications, and prosthesis
33 wearing were collected.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Sample collection**

44
45 Samples were collected by passing a sterile swab (UNI-TER, MEUS, Padua, Italy) across the
46 oral mucosa: tongue, hard palate, and gums, and replaced in its sterile container tube. Samples
47 were kept at 4°C, and analysed within 24 h.
48
49
50
51
52

53 ***Candida* species identification**

54 *Medium for the primary isolation*

55
56
57
58
59 CHROMagar™ *Candida* medium (CHROMagar, Paris, France) was prepared according to the
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 manufacturer's instructions. The swab was inoculated into CHROMagar Candida medium
2
3 rotating the swab head on the surface of the medium. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h.
4
5 Colony morphology, and colour description were assigned in a standard manner by a single
6
7 investigator. Presumptive species identification was performed according to Odds and Bernaerts
8
9 [20]. At least one colony exhibiting each colour was streaked into a new CHROMagar Candida
10
11 plate, and then cryopreserved.
12
13
14

15 *Molecular identification*

16 Yeast DNA was extracted using the QIAamp[®] DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Lisbon, Portugal)
17
18 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA content was determined by
19
20 spectrophotometry readings at 260 nm. Aliquots of 10 µl were analysed by electrophoresis in a
21
22 0.8% agarose (Bio-Rad, Lisbon, Portugal) gel in 1 × TBE buffer (Bio-Rad, Lisbon, Portugal),
23
24 and visualized with a UV transilluminator, after ethidium bromide (Bio-Rad, Lisbon, Portugal)
25
26 staining (0.5 mg/ml).
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 To assess the *Candida* speciation, a polymerase chain reaction method (PCR) previously
34
35 described [14] was followed. This method uses primer mixtures of the *Candida* DNA
36
37 topoisomerase II genes (Table 1). In a multiplex PCR strategy it allows the identification of *C.*
38
39 *albicans*, *C. guilliermondii*, and *C. parapsilosis* using primer set A (Table 1) and *C. dubliniensis*,
40
41 *C. krusei*, *C. kefyr*, and *C. glabrata* using primer set B (Table 1). *C. tropicalis* is identified in a
42
43 third PCR reaction using a single pair of primers (Table 1).
44
45
46
47
48

49 PCR amplification was performed in 25 µl volume consisting of: 1 × PCR buffer (160 mM
50
51 (NH₄)₂SO₄, 670 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 0.1% Tween 20, and 25 mM MgCl₂) (Bioron, Porto,
52
53 Portugal); dNTP mixture (200 µM each) (Bioron, Porto, Portugal); primer mixture (300 nM
54
55 each); 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase (Bioron, Porto, Portugal); 10–100 ng genomic DNA
56
57 template; the remaining volume consisted of sterilized ultrapure water. PCR was carried out in a
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 MyCycler thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Lisbon, Portugal) under the following cycling conditions: 35
2
3
4 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 15 s at 57°C, and 45 s at 65°C, after a 10-min initial period of DNA
5
6 denaturation, and enzyme activation at 94°C. One blank reaction was performed simultaneously
7
8 per every 10 tests run by replacing the template DNA by sterilized ultrapure water. DNA from
9
10 type strains was also included in each reaction as positive and negative controls.
11
12

13 An aliquot of 15 µl of each PCR product was analysed by electrophoresis in a 1.2% agarose gel
14
15 in 1 × TBE buffer. Fragments were visualized by ethidium bromide staining (0.5 mg/ml) with a
16
17 UV transilluminator. The size of the amplified DNA fragments was determined by comparison
18
19 with a 100-bp DNA marker (Bioron, Porto, Portugal).
20
21

22 All the isolates whose presumptive identification in CHROMagar Candida did not correspond to
23
24 the molecular identification were re-tested by two independent researchers in a blind assay.
25
26

27 **Statistical analysis**

28
29 CHROMagar Candida medium sensitivity was calculated as: $[\# \text{ true positives} \times 100 / (\# \text{ true}$
30
31 $\text{positives} + \# \text{ false negatives})]$, and specificity as: $[\# \text{ true negatives} \times 100 / (\# \text{ true negatives} + \#$
32
33 $\text{false positives})]$. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism, version 5.00 software
34
35 for Windows. Data was analysed using two-tailed chi-square test or Fischer test to measure
36
37 association between *Candida* species distribution within groups. A statistical confidence interval
38
39 of 95% was established.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **RESULTS**

50 ***Candida* species identification**

51 Presumptive identification of yeasts clinical isolates was based on their colour on CHROMagar
52
53 Candida medium. Samples were processed in parallel with *C. albicans*, *C. krusei*, and *C.*
54
55 *tropicalis* type strains that presented the expected colours on CHROMagar Candida medium:
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 green, pink, and blue, respectively.
2

3 For each culture, representative isolates were identified by PCR using *Candida* specific primers
4 pairs for the genomic sequences of DNA topoisomerase II gene (Table 1) [14]. Reference strains
5 DNA was included in each assay as control. DNA of *C. albicans* (Fig. 1A, lane 1), *C.*
6 *guilliermondii* (Fig. 1A, lane 3), and *C. parapsilosis* (Fig. 1A, lane 4) were amplified using
7 primer set A (Table 1). Primer set B (Table 1) allowed the identification of *C. glabrata* (Fig. 1B,
8 lane 2), *C. dubliniensis*, *C. krusei*, and *C. kefyr* reference strains (data not shown). Finally, the set
9 of primers C (Table 1) allowed the identification of *C. tropicalis* reference strain (Fig. 1C, lane
10 5). For all *Candida* species the amplicon size obtained (Fig. 1) was as expected (Table 1).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Clinical isolates identification was based on the comparison of the size of the amplified DNA
24 products (assessed by the DNA ladder), with the respective type strain PCR product. Fig. 1
25 shows an example of the identification of an isolate of *C. albicans* (lane 6), *C. glabrata* (lane 7),
26 *C. guilliermondii* (lane 8), *C. parapsilosis* (lane 9) and *C. tropicalis* (lane 10).
27
28
29
30

31 CHROMagar *Candida* phenotypic characteristics of the *Candida* species identified by PCR are
32 specified in Table 2. As expected, *C. glabrata*, and *C. parapsilosis* did not present a
33 distinguishable colour in this medium. Using PCR as a standard method, and considering the
34 CHROMagar *Candida* identifying colours claimed by the manufacturer, CHROMagar *Candida*
35 sensitivity, and specificity for *C. albicans* were found to be 97.9%, and 83.3%, respectively. For
36 *C. tropicalis* CHROMagar *Candida* sensitivity was 66.7%, and specificity 100%.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 ***Candida* species carriage**

52 From the 97 patients evaluated, 53 were identified as oral *Candida* carriers: 81.1% were females
53 ($n=43$), and 18.9% males ($n=10$) with ages ranging from 28 to 91 years old (mean=61, and
54 median=62 years old). Prosthesis wearers accounted for 84.9% of the individuals ($n=45$).
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 *C. albicans* was identified in 79% of the samples being the predominant *Candida* species.
2
3 Additionally, *C. parapsilosis* comprised 6.5% of the isolates, followed by *C. glabrata* (4.8%), *C.*
4 *tropicalis* (3.2%), and *C. guilliermondii* (1.6%). Five percent of the CHROMagar *Candida*
5
6 positive samples (Table 2) were not identified. The distribution of *Candida* isolates within
7
8 gender, and age groups is presented in Table 3. There was no association between *C. albicans*,
9
10 and NCAC species carriage within (i) gender (P=0.7), (ii) the age groups defined (P=0.83) or
11
12 (iii) prosthesis wearing (P=1).
13
14 Seventeen percent of the individuals (n=9) presented more than one *Candida* species per sample
15
16 (Table 4). These individuals (seven females, two males) had a mean age of 58 years old
17
18 (range=38-88 years old). The percentage of patients wearing prostheses in this sub-population
19
20 (88.9%) was similar to the observed in the population studied.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **DISCUSSION**

32
33 Motivation for microbiological tests in the field of oral medicine includes diagnosis, choice of
34
35 therapy, treatment control, and risk evaluation [6]. This study focused on diagnostic, and risk
36
37 evaluation.
38
39

40
41 The diagnosis approach used herein included a primary isolation on a chromogenic medium
42
43 (CHROMagar *Candida*) followed by *Candida* species identification using a previously described
44
45 PCR method [14]. The CHROMagar *Candida* phenotypic characteristics of the *Candida* species
46
47 identified by PCR are specified in Table 2. In comparison with PCR, there was a lower
48
49 sensitivity, and specificity of CHROMagar *Candida* in the identification of *C. tropicalis*, and of
50
51 sensitivity regarding *C. albicans*, also described by other authors [27]. In fact, the low sensitivity
52
53 shown by *C. tropicalis* to CHROMagar *Candida* (66.7%) is due to the fact that one isolate
54
55 further identified as *C. tropicalis* presented green colour (Table 2), instead of the characteristic
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 blue one. *C. tropicalis* isolates developing dark pink [28], lavender [19,27], and white colour
2
3 [27] on CHROMagar Candida have also been reported. Regarding *C. albicans*, two isolates that
4
5 developed green colour on CHROMagar Candida were not identified as *C. albicans* (Table 1). In
6
7 addition, one of the isolates, further identified as *C. albicans*, developed pink colour in
8
9 CHROMagar Candida. In accordance, some literature reports show that *C. albicans* isolates can
10
11 develop atypical colours in CHROMagar Candida which include pink [25], white [27], blue or
12
13 lavender [19]. It should be noted that in the same plates containing these atypical strains, isolates
14
15 of *C. albicans*, and *C. tropicalis* with the expected colour phenotype were identified.
16
17
18
19
20

21 Additionally, three yeast isolates were not identified by the PCR method using specific primers
22
23 for the most common species (Table 2). A comparison between literature reporting yeast species
24
25 frequently isolated from the oral cavity [18], and colours developed by Candida species in
26
27 CHROMagar Candida [20], suggests that the unidentified isolate developing green colour might
28
29 be a *Trichosporon* species, and the pink isolates might be: *C. famata*, *C. inconspicua*, *C.*
30
31 *lusitaniae*, *C. norvegensis*, *C. pelliculosa* or *S. cerevisiae*.
32
33
34
35

36 Results presented herein evidence that CHROMagar Candida medium failed to identify some
37
38 yeast isolates, and that species identification should be supported by other methods, such as the
39
40 molecular ones. Nevertheless, this medium facilitates the recognition of polymicrobial species in
41
42 cultures, as exemplified in Table 4.
43
44
45

46 The analysis of the epidemiological literature on the recovery of *Candida* species from the oral
47
48 cavity is not clear concerning factors determining colonization. The reasons of such variability
49
50 may include different patient selection criteria, collection data period, geographic region in
51
52 which the patients live, sampling collection methods, and methodology used for sample analysis.
53
54 In the present study, the prevalence of yeasts isolated was 54.6%, and between 41% to 67% in
55
56 previous studies [2,7,9,17,29]. However, due to the irregular distribution of *Candida* in the oral
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 cavity [26], it cannot be discarded that swab samples can yield false-negative results, and thus a
2
3
4 misclassification of true carriers as non-carriers. The *Candida* carriage frequency observed
5
6 herein was: *C. albicans* > *C. parapsilosis* > *C. glabrata* > *C. tropicalis* > *C. guilliermondii*
7
8 (Table 3), with NCAC species standing for 21% of the total *Candida* species. The increased
9
10 prevalence of *C. parapsilosis* within NCAC species was also observed in Portuguese patients
11
12 with fungaemia [5], suggesting that *C. parapsilosis* might be an important fungal pathogen in
13
14 Portugal. Nevertheless prevalence of *C. tropicalis* [9], *C. parapsilosis* [11], *C. famata* [15] or *C.*
15
16 *glabrata* [17,29] over other NCAC species in the oral cavity has been shown, and such variation
17
18 may be due to patient age or underlying disease.
19
20
21

22
23 The distribution of *Candida* isolates within gender, and age groups are presented in Table 3. In
24
25 the current study there was no association between *C. albicans* versus NCAC species carriage
26
27 within gender or age, likewise the observed by other authors [13]. Nevertheless, NCAC species
28
29 recovered from samples of patients with more than 80 years old were exclusively *C.*
30
31 *parapsilosis*, and *C. glabrata* (Table 3), suggesting an association between this age group, and
32
33 these *Candida* species. Even so, as only two NCAC species have been isolated in this age group,
34
35 an increase in sample size would be necessary to establish a conclusive association.
36
37
38

39
40 Earlier studies scarcely report the identification of mixed *Candida* cultures. However, in recent
41
42 years, researchers became aware of it, and the refinement of identification procedures allowed
43
44 the discrimination of multi-*Candida* species in culture. As observed in other studies
45
46 [2,8,16,17,24,29], the most common association found herein was *C. albicans* plus *C. glabrata*
47
48 (Table 4). Nevertheless, the epidemiological data available report the association between other
49
50 *Candida* species [2,8,15-17,24,29]. It is interesting to note that in the current study *C.*
51
52 *parapsilosis* was the only NCAC species identified that was not exclusively co-isolated with
53
54 other *Candida* species (Table 3, and 4). In fact, other authors have reported that the colonization
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 with NCAC species, as the sole species, is lower when compared with its co-colonization with
2
3 other species [17]. This suggests that multi-species colonization may support the maintenance of
4
5 the oral NCAC population contributing to increased interactions with molecules, and surfaces in
6
7 the oral cavity. In fact, it was shown that the intensity of colonization by more than one *Candida*
8
9 species was higher than the observed with single species [17]. However, when host natural
10
11 defences decay, the benign colonization can develop into oral candidiasis, and antifungal therapy
12
13 may support NCAC species emergence as the sole detectable species from oral lesions. In fact,
14
15 results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance Program [21-23] show that
16
17 *Candida* species resistance to fluconazole can be ranked as follow: *C. glabrata*, 16%, *C.*
18
19 *guilliermondii*, 13%, *C. tropicalis*, 2.6%, *C. parapsilosis*, 2.4%, and *C. albicans*, 1.2%. These
20
21 findings suggest that multi-species carriers might be at higher risk, than the mono-species
22
23 carriers, of developing oral candidiasis, and of being resistant to antifungal therapy.
24
25
26 According to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, purposes of research
27
28 involving human individuals might be the advance in prophylactic, diagnostic, and therapeutic
29
30 procedures as well as a better understanding of the aetiology of the disease. The present study
31
32 fulfilled some of these issues. The diagnosis of oral *Candida* carriage before the presentation of
33
34 clinical symptoms allowed the possibility of (i) dental hygiene education for routine oral care,
35
36 (ii) control of the spread of the colonization through the monitoring of colonization, and (iii) use
37
38 of therapeutic approaches when appropriated. Finally, the main observation that may contribute
39
40 to a better understanding of *Candida* oral carriage arose from the high frequency of
41
42 polymicrobial cultures, which may represent an increased risk of infection to patients, requiring
43
44 careful surveillance.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

60 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

1 We are indebted to Associate Professor Toshio Kanbe (Division of Molecular Mycology and
2
3
4 Medicine, Center for Neurological Diseases and Cancer, Nagoya Graduate School of Medicine,
5
6 Japan) for critical discussion and advices on the PCR protocol. Authors also acknowledge Dr.
7
8
9 Angel Gonzalez (Department of Biology, The University of Texas at San Antonio, USA) for
10
11 Spanish language revision.
12

13
14 Margarida Martins was financially supported by a fellowship from Fundação para a Ciência e
15
16 Tecnologia (FCT), Portugal (contract SFRH/BD/28222/2006). This research was supported by
17
18 FCT through the Project PPCDT/BIO/61112/2004.
19
20
21
22

23 **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

24
25
26 Authors have nothing to declare.
27
28
29
30

31 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 32
33 1. Akpan A, Morgan R. Oral candidiasis. *Postgrad Med J.* 2002; 78: 455-459.
34
35
- 36 2. Belazi M, Velegraki A, Fleva A, Papanau L, Baka D, Daniilidou N, Karamitsos D.
37
38 Candidal overgrowth in diabetic patients: potential predisposing factors. *Mycoses.* 2005; 48:
39
40 192-196.
41
42
- 43 3. Cannon RD, Chaffin WL. Oral colonization by *Candida albicans*. *Crit Rev Oral Biol*
44
45 *Med.* 1999; 10: 359-383.
46
47
- 48 4. Cannon RD, Holmes AR, Mason AB, Monk BC. Oral Candida: clearance, colonization,
49
50 or candidiasis? *J Dent Res* 1995; 74: 1152-1161.
51
52
- 53 5. Costa-de-Oliveira S, Pina-Vaz C, Mendonça D, Gonçalves RA. A first Portuguese
54
55 epidemiological survey of fungaemia in a university hospital. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.*
56
57 2008; 27: 365-374.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 6. Dahlen G. Microbiological diagnostics in oral diseases. *Acta Odontol Scand.* 2006; 64:
2
3
4 164-168.
- 5
6 7. Daniluk T, Tokajuk G, Stokowska W, Fiedoruk K, Sciepek M, Zaremba ML, Rozkiewicz
7
8 D, Cylwik-Rokicka D, Kedra BA, Anielska I, Gorska M, Kedra BR. Occurrence rate of oral
9
10 *Candida albicans* in denture wearer patients. *Adv Med Sci.* 2006; 51: 77-80.
- 11
12
13 8. Davies AN, Brailsford SR, Beighton D. Oral candidosis in patients with advanced cancer.
14
15 *Oral Oncol.* 2006; 42: 698-702.
- 16
17
18 9. de Resende MA, de Sousa LV, de Oliveira RC, Koga-Ito CY, Lyon JP. Prevalence and
19
20 antifungal susceptibility of yeasts obtained from the oral cavity of elderly individuals.
21
22 *Mycopathologia.* 2006; 162: 39-44.
- 23
24
25 10. Farah CS, Ashman RB, Challacombe SJ. Oral candidosis. *Clin Dermatol.* 2000; 18: 553-
26
27 562.
- 28
29
30 11. Gonçalves RH, Miranda ET, Zaia JE, Giannini MJ. Species diversity of yeast in oral
31
32 colonization of insulin-treated diabetes mellitus patients. *Mycopathologia.* 2006; 162: 83-89.
- 33
34
35 12. Henriques M, Azeredo J, Oliveira R. *Candida* species adhesion to oral epithelium:
36
37 factors involved and experimental methodology used. *Crit Rev Microbiol.* 2006; 32: 217-226.
- 38
39
40 13. Ikebe K, Morii K, Matsuda K, Hata K, Nokubi T. Association of candidal activity with
41
42 denture use and salivary flow in symptom-free adults over 60 years. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2006; 33:
43
44 36-42.
- 45
46
47 14. Kanbe T, Horii T, Arishima T, Ozeki M, Kikuchi A. PCR-based identification of
48
49 pathogenic *Candida* species using primer mixes specific to *Candida* DNA topoisomerase II
50
51 genes. *Yeast.* 2002; 19: 973-989.
- 52
53
54 15. Kleinegger CL, Lockhart SR, Vargas K, Soll DR. Frequency, intensity, species, and
55
56 strains of oral *Candida* vary as a function of host age. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1996; 34: 2246-2254.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 16. Liguori G, Lucariello A, Colella G, De Luca A, Marinelli P. Rapid identification of
2
3
4 *Candida* species in oral rinse solutions by PCR. J Clin Pathol. 2007; 60: 1035-1039.
5
- 6 17. Lockhart SR, Joly S, Vargas K, Swails-Wenger J, Enger L, Soll DR. Natural defenses
7
8 against *Candida* colonization breakdown in the oral cavities of the elderly. J Dent Res. 1999; 78:
9
10 857-868.
11
- 12 18. Meurman JH, Siikala E, Richardson M, Rautemaa R. Non-*Candida albicans* *Candida*
13
14 yeasts of the oral cavity. In: Méndez-Vilas A, editor. Communicating Current Research and
15
16 Educational Topics and Trends in Applied Microbiology. Microbiology Book series. Badajoz,
17
18 Formatex; 2007: (1)719-731.
19
20
- 21 19. Murray CK, Beckius ML, Green JA, Hospenthal DR. Use of chromogenic medium in the
22
23 isolation of yeasts from clinical specimens. J Med Microbiol. 2005; 54: 981-985.
24
25
- 26 20. Odds FC, Bernaerts R. CHROMagar *Candida*, a new differential isolation medium for
27
28 presumptive identification of clinically important *Candida* species. J Clin Microbiol. 1994; 32:
29
30 1923-1929.
31
32
- 33 21. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Meis JF, Gould IM, FuW, Colombo
34
35 AL, Rodriguez-Noriega E. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK Global Antifungal Surveillance
36
37 study, 1997 to 2005: an 8.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of *Candida* species and other yeast
38
39 species to fluconazole and voriconazole determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion testing.
40
41 J Clin Microbiol. 2007; 45: 1735-1745.
42
43
44
45
46
47
- 48 22. Pfaller MA, Diekema, DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Ng KP, Colombo A, Finkelievich J,
49
50 Barnes R, Wadula J, Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. Geographic and temporal trends in
51
52 the isolation and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida parapsilosis*: a global assessment from the
53
54 ARTEMIS DISK antifungal surveillance program, 2001-2005. J Clin Microbiol. 2008; 46: 842-
55
56
57 849.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 23. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Mendez M, Kibbler C, Erzsebet P, Chang SC, Gibbs DL,
2
3 Newell VA, the Global Antifungal Surveillance Group. *Candida guilliermondii*, an opportunistic
4
5 fungal pathogen with decreased susceptibility to fluconazole: geographic and temporal trends
6
7 from the ARTEMIS DISK antifungal surveillance Program. J Clin Microbiol. 2006; 44: 3551-
8
9 3556.
10
11
12 24. Qi QG, Hu T, Zhou XD. Frequency, species and molecular characterization of oral
13
14 *Candida* in hosts of different age in China. J Oral Pathol Med. 2005; 34: 352-356.
15
16
17 25. Saunte DM, Klingspor L, Jalal S, Arnau J, Arendrup MC. Four cases of *Candida*
18
19 *albicans* infections with isolates developing pink colonies on CHROMagar Candida plates.
20
21 Mycoses. 2005; 48: 378-381.
22
23
24 26. Webb BC, Thomas CJ, Willcox MDP, Harty DWS, Knox KW. *Candida* associated
25
26 denture stomatitis. Aetiology and management: a review. Aust Dent J. 1998; 43: 45-50.
27
28
29 27. Willinger B, Manafi M. Evaluation of CHROMagar Candida for rapid screening of
30
31 clinical specimens for *Candida* species. Mycoses. 1999; 42: 61-65.
32
33
34 28. Yücesoy M, Marol S. Performance of CHROMagar Candida and BIGGY agar for
35
36 identification of yeast species. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2003; 2: 8-15.
37
38
39 29. Zaremba ML, Daniluk T, Rozkiewicz, D, Cylwik-Rokicka D, Kierklo A, Tokajuk G,
40
41 Dabrowska E, Pawińska M, Klimiuk A, Stokowska W, Abdelrazek S. Incidence rate of *Candida*
42
43 species in the oral cavity of middle-aged and elderly subjects. Adv. Med Sci. 2006; 51: 233-236.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 **Figure 1** *Candida* species-specific amplification of DNA topoisomerase II fragments. Genomic
3
4 DNA was amplified using different sets of species-specific primers (from A to C), in accordance
5
6 to Table 1. Lanes: M, 100-bp DNA marker with their molecular size in bp is indicated in the left
7
8 margin; 1- *C. albicans* CECT 1472; 2- *C. glabrata* ATCC 2001; 3- *C. guilliermondii* ATCC
9
10 6260; 4- *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019; 5- *C. tropicalis* ATCC 750; 6–10- example of clinical
11
12 isolates of each species; 11- blank. *C. dubliniensis*, *C. krusei* ATCC6258, and *C. kefyr* ATCC
13
14 204093 identification was omitted for simplicity. Arrows on the right indicate the molecular
15
16 weight of the amplified products.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 **Table 1** Primers sets and species specific primers used in this study (as described by Kanbe et al. [14])

Primers sets	Target species	Forward primer sequence (name)	Reverse primer sequence (name)	Expected PCR product size (bp)
A^a	<i>C. albicans</i>	5'-TTGAACATCTCCAGTTTCAAAGGT-3' (CABF59)	5'-AGCTAAATTCATAGCAGAAAGC-3' (CADBR125)	665
	<i>C. guilliermondii</i>	5'-CCCAAATCACAAAGCTCAAGT-3' (CGLF41)	5'-TACGACTTGAAGTTGCGAATTG-3' (CGLR61)	205
	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	5'-GGACAACATGACAAAAGTCGGCA-3' (CPPIIF41)	5'-TTGTGGTGTAATTCTTGGGAG-3' (CPPIIR69)	310
B^b	<i>C. dubliniensis</i>	5'-AAATGGGTTTGGTGCCAAATTA-3' (CDBF28)	5'-GTTGGCATTGGCAATAGCTCTA-3' (CDBR110)	816
	<i>C. krusei</i>	5'-GAGCCACGGTAAAGAATACACA-3' (CKSF35)	5'-TTTAAAGTGACCCGGATACC-3' (CKSR57)	227
	<i>C. kefyr</i>	5'-CTTCAAAGGTCAGAAGTATGTCC-3' (CKFF35)	5'-CTTCAAACGGTCTGAAACCT-3' (CKFR85)	532
	<i>C. glabrata</i>	5'-CCCAAATGGCCGTAAGTATG-3' (CGBF35)	5'-ATAGTCGCTACTAATATCACACC-3' (CGBR103)	674
C^c	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	5'-CTGGGAAATTATATAAGCAAGTT-3' (CTPIIF36)	5'-TCAATGTACAATTATGACCGAGTT-3' (CTPIIR121)	860

2 In the original report [14] primers sets had the designation of ^aPsI, ^bPsII, and ^cPsIII

Table 2 Colour of colonies on CHROMagar Candida medium for species identified by PCR

Species	# Colony colour		
	Green	Blue	Pink
<i>C. albicans</i>	48	-	1
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	-	-	4
<i>C. glabrata</i>	-	-	3
<i>C. tropicalis</i>	1	2	-
<i>C. guilliermondii</i>	-	-	1
Unidentified	1	-	2

Table 3 Frequency of distribution of *Candida* species isolated and patients' characteristics

Patients characteristics	Species ^a frequency, % (#)					
	<i>C. albicans</i>	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	<i>C. glabrata</i>	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	<i>C. guilliermondi</i> <i>i</i>	Unidentified
Gender						
Female	80 (40)	6 (3)	4 (2)	4 (2)	0 (0)	6 (3)
Male	75 (9)	8.3 (1)	8.3 (1)	0 (0)	8.3 (1)	0 (0)
Age (years)						
≤ 54	82.6 (19)	4.3 (1)	4.3 (1)	4.3 (1)	0 (0)	4.3 (1)
55-79	75.9 (22)	6.9 (2)	3.4 (1)	3.4 (1)	3.4 (1)	6.9 (2)
≥ 80	80 (8)	10 (1)	10 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

^a, PCR identification

Table 4 Number of patients with more than one *Candida* species

Species^a	#patients
<i>C. albicans</i> – <i>C. glabrata</i>	3
<i>C. albicans</i> – <i>C. tropicalis</i>	2
<i>C. albicans</i> – <i>C. parapsilosis</i>	1
<i>C. albicans</i> –unidentified	2
<i>C. parapsilosis</i> – <i>C. guilliermondii</i>	1

^a, PCR identification

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

