

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 415 011

PS 026 071

AUTHOR Figueiredo, B.  
 TITLE Postpartum Depression: Is It a Condition Affecting the Mother-Infant Interaction and the Development of the Child across the First Year of Life?  
 PUB DATE 1996-08-00  
 NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the International Society for the Study of Behavioural Development (14th, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada, August 12-16, 1996).  
 PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)  
 EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Cognitive Development; \*Depression (Psychology); Foreign Countries; \*Infant Behavior; Infant Care; Infants; \*Interaction; \*Mother Attitudes; Mothers; Motor Development; \*Parent Child Relationship  
 IDENTIFIERS Infant Distress; \*Postpartum Care; \*Postpartum Depression

ABSTRACT

Noting that maternal depression is common during a baby's first year, this study examined the interaction of depressed and non-depressed mother-child dyads. A sample of 26 first-time mothers with postpartum depression at the third month after birth and their 3-month-old infants was compared to a sample of 25 first-time mothers with no postpartum depression at the third month after birth and their 3-month-old infants. The observations were repeated at 6 months and again at 12 months postpartum. The samples were compared for differences in mother interaction behavior, mother's infant care, mother's concern with the baby, infant behavioral difficulties, infant mental and motor development, and infant behavior with the observer. Among the findings are the following: (1) depressed mothers' interaction behavior and care of their infants are less adequate than the non-depressed mothers' interaction behavior and care of their infants at 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum; (2) infants' interaction behaviors during feeding and face-to-face interaction with depressed mothers are less adequate than infants' interactions with non-depressed mothers at 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum; (3) mother-infant interactions are less adequate in the depressed mother dyads than the non-depressed dyads at 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum; (4) depressed mothers are less concerned about their infants than non-depressed mothers at 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum; (5) infants of depressed mothers have more behavioral difficulties at 3, 6, and 12 months postpartum than infants of non-depressed mothers; (6) infants of depressed mothers had lower mental and motor development rates at 6 and 12 months postpartum than infants of non-depressed mothers; and (7) infants of non-depressed mothers behaved in a more positive way with the observer than the infants of depressed mothers. (AS)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED 415 011

**POSTPARTUM DEPRESSION:  
IS IT A CONDITION AFFECTING THE MOTHER-INFANT INTERACTION AND  
THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHILD ACROSS THE FIRST YEAR OF LIFE ?**

B. Figueiredo\*  
Department of Psychology  
University of Minho, Portugal

Poster presented at XIV<sup>th</sup> Biennial Meetings of ISSBD  
August 12-12, 1996  
Quebec City (Canada)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
Office of Educational Research and Improvement  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to  
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this  
document do not necessarily represent  
official OERI position or policy.

**ABSTRACT**

During the child's first year of life, maternal depression is a very common situation. It affects family life in a variety of ways, including mother-baby interaction and child development.

This study compares the interaction of depressed and non-depressed dyads and analyses the effects of maternal depression on child development at 3rd, 6th and 12th month postpartum.

A sample of 25 primiparous depressed women and their infants was compared with a control matched group of 25 primiparous non-depressed women and their child.

The results showed that mother-infant interaction and the way the mother organises the environment and the care of the baby are poorer and less adequate in dyads where the mother is depressed than in then non-depressed counterparts. There is also a number of adverse effects in infant and family due to maternal depression, such as a poor motor and mental development, a less adjusted behaviour and signs of adaptation difficulties.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND  
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL  
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

B. Figueiredo

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

\* Correspondence: Bárbara Figueiredo. University of Minho.  
Department of Psychology. Campus de Gualtar. 4719 Braga. Portugal  
ccMail: bbfi@iep.uminho.pt

026071

## 1. Introduction

Epidemiological studies have been clearly and consistently showing that the longest numbers of depressive disorders occur in women than in men (DSM-IV, 1995), especially in married women, aged between 25 to 45 years-old, with a small child (Paykel, 1991). In spite of this, only recently empirical studies have been done in postpartum depression, the first of them in 1968 by Pitt.

From this study, the clinical picture of postpartum depression has been by general consent described by several authors and more recently times re-examined (e.g., Cooper, Murray & Stein, 1991; Séguin & Cossette, 1991; O'Hara & Zekoski, 1988).

This clinical picture shows a depressive state, in a total absence of psychotic symptomatology, occurring in about 10 to 20% of mothers, on their 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> month after giving birth, going on for some months and rarely growing on importance to the point of needing psychiatric hospitalisation (e.g., Campbell, Cohn, Flanagan, Popper, & Meyers, 1992; Cooper, Campbell, Day, Kennerley, & Bond, 1988; Gotlib, Wiffen, Wallace, & Mount, 1991; O'Hara, Zekoski, Philipps, & Wright, 1990).

2. Interest in postpartum depression study has been currently referred, especially because of this high incidence — namely, in specific samples, such as in the case of socio-economic disadvantaged women (e.g., Hobfoll, Ritter, Lavin, Hulsizer, & Cameron, 1995) or adolescent women (e.g., Cutrona, 1990; Leasbeater & Linares, 1992) the rate of postpartum depression reaches to impressive values — and because of the adverse effects in mother-infant interaction and child behaviour and development.

3. During the last decade, some investigators made strong efforts to ascertain which could in fact be the effects and sequels of postpartum depression on infant development having found ill-effects at different levels.

At the level of mental and motor development, because such children showed lower rates of mental and motor development during the first year of life, when compared to children whose mothers have not experienced depression after giving birth (e.g., Cogill, Caplan, Alexandra, Robson, & Kumar, 1986; Lyons-Ruth, Zoll, Connell, & Grunebaum, 1986; Sameroff, Seifer, & Zax, 1982).

At the level of establishing a secure affectionate relationship with the mother (secure attachment), because a significant number of

infants of women experiencing postpartum depression showed a pattern of insecure attachment to their mothers (e.g., Cohn, Campbell, & Ross, 1991; Lyons-Ruth, Connell, Grunebaum, & Botein, 1990; Lyons-Ruth, et al., 1986; Murray, 1992; Radke-Yarrow, Cummings, Kuczinsky et al., 1985).

At the level of interpersonal behaviour, because a large number of such children showed deranged, troubled or poorer forms of relationships with peers and adults (e.g., Caplan, Cogill, Alexandra, Robson, Katz, & Kumar, 1989; Carro, Grant, Gotlib, & Compas, 1993; Lyons-Ruth, Alpern, & Repacholi, 1993; Murray & Stein, 1991, Sameroff et al., 1982; Sharp, Hay, Pawlby, Schmucker, Allen, & Kumar, 1995; Shaw & Vondra, 1995; Stein, Gath, Bucher, Bond, Day, & Cooper, 1991; Wrate, Rooney, Thomas, & Cox, 1985).

4. Not long ago, others investigators working on the field of postpartum-depressed mother-infant interaction pointed out some relevant differences between dyads whom the mother is or is not depressed.

Postpartum depressed mother shows a lower number of positive facial expressions (e.g., Cohn, Matias, Tronick, Connell, & Lyons-Ruth, 1986; Field, 1984; Field, Healy, Goldstein, & Guthertz, 1990; Livingood, Daen, & Smith, 1983; Sameroff et al., 1982) and a higher number of negative facial expressions (Cohn, Campbell, Matias, & Hopkins, 1990; Field, 1984; Lyons-Ruth, et al., 1986); vocalises less (Field, 1984; Field, Sandberg, Garcia, Vega-Lahr, Goldstein, & Guy, 1985; Fleming, Flett, Ruble, & Shaul, 1988; Sameroff et al., 1982), more slowly and does not exaggerate in voice modulations (e.g., Bettis, 1988; Murray & Stein, 1991); offers less behaviours of affectionate physical contact with baby (Campbell et al., 1992; Sameroff et al., 1982; Field, 1984; Field et al., 1985; Fleming et al., 1988; Lyons-Ruth et al., 1986; Murray & Stein, 1991); spends less time looking at and contemplating baby (Field, 1984; Field et al., 1985; Livingood et al., 1983).

Infant of postpartum depressed mothers shows a lower number of positive facial expressions (e.g., Cohn et al., 1990; Field, 1984) and a higher number of negative facial expressions and negative responses (Cohn et al., 1990; Field, 1984; Field et al., 1990; Stein et al., 1991), e.g., Field, 1984), vocalises less (Field, 1984; Field, Morrow, & Adlestein, 1993; Stein et al., 1991), is less attentive and looks less at mother (Cohn et al., 1990; Field, 1984; Field et al., 1990, 1991; Stein et al., 1991). It must be said that Tiffany Field and his assistants not only described a typical interactive

pattern of the depressed mother's infant, as they confirmed that such pattern kept when the baby interacted with a non depressed adult, and further confirmed that the action of the adult was less adequate when in presence of such an infant, comparatively to what occurred when the adult interacted with a non depressed mother's infant (Field, Healy, Goldstein, Perry, Bendell, et al. 1988).

In postpartum depressed mother and baby dyads the number of contingencies' responses is lower (e.g., Bettis, 1990; Field et al., 1984; Field et al., 1990); the periods of mother-baby mutual attention are less abundant (e.g., Field et al., 1990); mother and baby shared more state of negative affect than state of positive affect (Cohn, et al., 1990; Field, Healy, & LeBlanc, 1989).

The aims of the present study are: a) to characterise mother-infant interaction in cases where the mother is postpartum depressed; b) to evaluate possible adverse repercussions of the mother's depressive condition on infant's behaviour and development; c) to evaluate possible negatives' repercussions of infant's behaviour and development and family's conditions on postpartum depression recovery.

The present study hypotheses are:

- 1) in the case of postpartum depression mothers the mother-infant care and interaction is less adequate than in the case of no psychiatric morbidity mothers.
- 2) infants of postpartum depressed mothers have lower levels of mental and motor development, more behaviour difficulties and less adequate behaviour with the observer than infant of no psychiatric morbidity mothers
- 3) in negative conditions of infant and family, postpartum depression recovery is more difficult than in positive infant and families conditions

This paper will only present the results referring to the first and second aim and the first and second hypotheses of the study.

## **2. Method**

### **2.1. sample**

The sample comprised 51 mothers and their 3 month-old infants: the experimental group — 26 first-time mothers who showed depressive disorder at the third month after birth — *major/minor* depression (RDC) and the control group — 25 first-time mothers with no depressive

disorder or other psychiatric morbidity, matched accordingly a set of variables significant for the study: mother's age, marital state, years of education, occupational level, baby's sex and family' social class.

## **2.2. procedures and measures**

1. A letter was sent to all families who registered a baby in a Civil Registration Office in Porto (Portugal) during 1989, by the time the baby was three months old. In that letter, first-time mothers were asked to reply and return, an identification questionnaire and the Portuguese version of the self-administration scale designed by Cox, Holden, and Sagovsky (1987) to detect postpartum depression — The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, E.P.D.S. (Augusto, Kumar, Calheiros, Matos, & Figueiredo, 1992).

2. Mothers were contacted on the phone and a first meeting was arranged. They were told that it was a study on mothers and babies that would take 3 home visits until the child would be one year old.

3. When the babies were 3-4 months-old, two trained observers (A and B) — to have independent measures of the dependent and the independent variables — went to the families' home for the first evaluation, which comprised the following steps.

Psychologist A fulfil with the mother the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, SADS (Endicott & Spitzer, 1978), which allowed the diagnosis of the mother's mental health state, with the Research Diagnostic Criteria, RDC (Spitzer, Endicott, & Robins, 1978); administrate to the mother some specific items of Social Adjustment Scale — Self-Report Version, SAS-R (Weissman, Paykel, & Prusoff, 1985); and administrate to the father the Scale of Infant Father Care, which evaluate the participation of the father in a series of relevant activities to the care of the baby (Figueiredo, 1997).

Psychologist B administrate the 3 scales designed by Bayley for the infant assessment, respectively to evaluate the infant's mental and motor development and to record the infant's behaviour toward the observer — Bayley's Scales of Infant Development (Bayley, 1969). Psychologist B also observe the family home environment as well as the care provided to the baby, with the Home Inventory for Families of Infants and Toddlers, Home (Bradley & Caldwell, 1984) and give to the mother a questionnaire to assess problems in the mother-baby pair adaptation and the mother concern with the infant and about the infant difficulties — Questionnaire d'adaptation mère-enfant pour la première année de vie (Lézine, 1964).

Psychologist B also videotape the mother-infant interaction in 3 different contexts: in a structured situation of free play interaction (10 minutes), later rated using a grade purposefully designed by us for this situation, the Observation Grade of Mother-infant Interaction at Home in a situation of Free Interaction, GOISIL (Figueiredo, 1991), in face-to-face interaction (5-8 minutes) and in feeding interaction (5-8 minutes), later rated using Interaction Rating Scales, IRS (Field, 1980).

This whole procedure was repeated twice — at 6 months and at 12 months postpartum.

### 3. Results

To test group' differences — experimental group/control group — we have carried out T-tests — Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test — at 3, 6 and 12 months-data, for the following variables:

- a) mother interaction behaviours
- b) infant interaction behaviours
- c) mother-infant interaction behaviours
- d) mother's infant care
- e) mother concern with the baby
- f) infant behavioural difficulties
- g) infant mental and motor development
- h) infant behaviour with the observer

#### **3.1. Mother interaction behaviours, infant interaction behaviours, mother-infant interaction behaviours, mother's infant care, and mother concern with the baby**

We can point out significant differences between experimental and control groups indicating that at 3, 6 and 12 months postpartum depressed mother's interaction behaviours, as well as the forms how the mother organises the environment and the care of the baby, are less adequate than interaction behaviours and care of mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity, as you can see in Figures 1, 2 and 3.

(Insert figure 1, 2 and 3)

As you can see in Figures 4, 5 and 6, we can also point out significant differences between experimental and control groups indicating that infant's interaction behaviours of postpartum depressed mother are less adequate — in feeding and face-to-face interaction at 3, 6 and 12 months-old — than interaction behaviours of infants' mothers

with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity at 3 months postpartum.

(Insert figure 4, 5 and 6)

At 3, 6 and 12 months postpartum, mother-infant interaction in postpartum depressed mother dyads is less adequate than mother-infant interaction in dyads where the mother is no mentally ill, as you can see in Figure 7.

(Insert figure 7)

As you can see in Figure 8, at 3, 6 and 12 months postpartum, mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity exhibits much more concern about child's difficulties than 3 months postpartum depressed mothers.

(Insert figure 8)

### **3.2. Infant behavioural difficulties, infant mental and motor development, and infant behaviour with the observer**

Infants of 3 months postpartum depressed mothers have — at 3, 6 12 months-old — more behavioural difficulties than infant's mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity at 3 months postpartum (see Figure 9).

Figure 10 shows that babies of 3 months postpartum depressed mothers, when compared to control group babies, were evaluated with significant lower motor and mental development rates, at 6 and 12 months.

As it may be noted by an observer, babies' behaviour of 3 months postpartum depressed mothers differ significantly from the behaviour of control group babies. Generally, control group babies are classified in a more positive way than experimental group babies (see Figure 11).

(Insert figure 9, 10 and 11).

## **4. Discussion and Conclusions**

Accordingly to the previously stated hypothesis and facing the results we've obtained, we can conclude that the interaction is poorer and less adequate in dyads where the mother is postpartum depressed. For example, mother's facial expression is frequently flat or tense and infant rarely looks at mother in face-to-face interaction, and, in feeding interaction, the mother frequently removes bottle or persists in feeding as infant rejects bottle and the infant frequently squirms and shows head aversion, with significant less mutual eye contact in free play interaction.



Also, child's home environment and care are significantly more poor and less adequate in homes who the mother is postpartum depressed, and in such case the provision of appropriate play's materials, the verbal and emocional mother's responsivity, and other important aspect of the interaction and the care of the child are negatively affected by the fact the mother is depressed at 3 months postpartum.

Postpartum depressed mothers were found be not emotionally envolved with the baby, not concerned with the baby, not sensibilized with infant's needs and cues, and not presents appropriate events to stimulate infant development, in spite of that they present her-self by negative facial affective expression.

There are a number of adverse effects in infants due to maternal postpartum depression, such as a poorer motor and mental development, a less adjusted behaviour and signs of difficulties of adaptation at 3, 6 and 12 months-old.

We all expected to find that the depressive state would affect the way the mother establishes the relationship with the baby. What we perhaps did not expect was that this would have effects of such a degree and so early in time. As Cohn, Matias, Tronick, Connell, and Lyons-Ruth (1986), we are convinced that the earliest interactions "*are a primary way in which behaviour and personal in which disorders my be transmitted from parent to infant*". In fact, during these interactions, the baby constructs a first idea about himself and about the world from what he observes on his mother. These earliest interpersonal experiences of the baby with depressed mother left surely some marks on the psychological organisation of the subject, because the reality he comes upon is much different from the reality another child comes upon. We shall try to verify this in he following of our study.

## 5. References

- Bayley, N (1969). *Bayley Scales of Infant Development*. New York: The Psychological Corporation.
- Bettes, B.A. (1988). Maternal Depression and Motherese: Temporal and Intonational Features. *Child Development*, 59: 1089 - 1096.
- Caldwell, B.; Bradley, R.H. (1984). *Home Inventory for Families of Infants*
- Caplan, H.L., Cogill, S.R., Alexandra, H., Robson, K.M., Katz, R., & Kumar, R. (1989). Maternal depression and the emocional development of the child. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 818-822.
- Carro, M.G., Grant, K.E., Gotlib, I.H., & Compas, B.E. (1993). Postpartum depression and child development: An investigation of mothers and

- fathers as sources of risk and resilience. *Development and Psychopathology*, 5, 567-579.
- Campbell, S.B., Cohn, J., Flanagan, C., Popper, S., & Meyers, T. (1992). Course and correlates of postpartum depression during the transition to parenthood. *Developmental Psychopathology*, 4, 29-47.
- Cogill, S.R., Caplan, H.L., Alexandra, H., Robson, K.M., & Kumar, R. (1986). Impact of maternal postnatal depression on cognitive development of young children. *British Medical Journal*, 292, 1165-1167.
- Cohn, J.F., Campbell, S.B., Matias, R., & Hopkins, J. (1990). Face-to-face interactions of postpartum depressed and non depressed mother-infant pairs at 2 months. *Developmental Psychology*, 26, 15-23.
- Cohn, J.F., Campbell, S.B., & Ross, S. (1991). Infant response in the still-face paradigm at 6 months predicts avoidant and secure attachment at 12 months. *Development and Psychopathology*, 3, 367-376.
- Cohn, J.F., Matias, R., Tronick, E.Z., Connell, D., & Lyons-Ruth, K. (1986). Face-to-face interaction of depressed mothers and their infants. In E.Z. Tronick & T. Field (Eds.), *Maternal depression and infant disturbance, New Direction for Child Development*, 34, 31-45.
- Cooper, P.J., Campbell, E.A., Day, A., Kennerley, H., & Bond, A. (1988). Non-psychotic psychiatric disorder after childbirth: A prospective study of prevalence, incidence, course and nature. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 152, 799-806.
- Cooper, P.J., Murray, L., & Stein, A. (1991). Postnatal depression. In A. Seva (Ed.), *European handbook of psychiatry* (pp. 1255-1262). Zaragoza: Anthropos.
- Cox, J.L., Holden, J.M., & Sagovsky, R. (1987). Detection of postnatal depression: Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 50, 782-786.
- Field, T. (1984). Early interaction between infants and their postpartum depressed mothers. *Infant Behaviour and Development*, 7, 517-522.
- Field, T., Healy, B., Goldstein, S., & Guthertz, M. (1990). Behaviour-state matching and synchrony in mother-infant interactions of non depressed versus depressed dyads. *Developmental Psychology*, 26, 7-14.
- Field, T., Healy, B., Goldstein, S., Perry, S., Bendell, D., Sandberg, S., Zimmerman, E.A., & Kuhn, C. (1988). Infants of depressed mother show "depressed" behaviour even with non depressed adults. *Child Development*, 59, 1569-1579.
- Field, T., Healy, B., & LeBlanc, W.G. (1989). Sharing and synchrony of behaviour states and heart rate in non depressed versus depressed mother-infant interactions. *Infant Behaviour and Development*, 12, 357-376.
- Field, T., Morrow, C., & Adlestein, D. (1993). Depressed mother's perceptions of infant behaviour. *Infant Behaviour and Development*, 16, 99-108.
- Field, T., Sandberg, D., Garcia, R., Vega-Lahr, N., Goldstein, S., & Guy, L. (1985). Pregnancy problems, postpartum depression and early mother-infant interactions. *Developmental Psychology*, 21 (6), 1152-1156.
- Figueiredo, B. (1992). *Contextos de interacção e desenvolvimento: A interacção mãe-bebé*. Dissertação apresentada para provas de Aptidão Científica e Capacidade Pedagógica, Faculdade de Letras da Universidade do Porto, Porto.

- Figueiredo, B. (1994). Saúde da mãe e do bebê durante o puerpério. In T.M. McIntyre (Ed.), *Psicologia da saúde: Áreas de intervenção e perspectivas futuras* (pp. 73-108). Braga: Apport.
- Gotlib, I.H., Wiffen, V.E., Wallace, P.M., & Mount, J.H. (1991). Prospective investigation of postpartum depression: Factors involved in onset and recovery. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 100* (2), 122-132.
- Lezine, I. (1964). *Psychopédagogie du premier âge*. Paris: PUF.
- Livingood, A.B., Dean, P., & Smith, B.D. (1983). The depressed mother as a source of stimulation for her infant. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 39* (3), 369-375.
- Lyons-Ruth, K., Alpern, L., & Repacholi, B. (1993). Disorganised infant attachment classification and maternal psychosocial problems as predictors of hostile-aggressive behaviour in the pre-school classroom. *Child Development, 64*(2), 572-585.
- Lyons-Ruth, K., Connell, D., Grunebaum, H.V., & Botein, S. (1990). Infant at social risk: maternal depression and family support services as mediators of infant development and security of attachment. *Child Development, 61*, 85-98.
- Lyons-Ruth, K., Zoll, D., Connell, D., & Grunebaum, H.V. (1986). Environment, interaction, attachment and infant development. In E.Z. Tronick & T. Field (Eds.), *Maternal depression and infants disturbance, New Direction for Child Development, 34*, 61-82.
- Murray, L. (1992). The impact of postnatal depression on infant development. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines, 33* (3), 543-561.
- Murray, L., Cooper, P., & Stein, A. (1991). Postnatal depression and infant development. *British Medical Journal, 302*, 978-979.
- Murray, L., & Stein, A. (1991). The effects of postnatal depression on mother-infant relations and infant development. In (Eds), *The process of development* (pp. 144-165).
- O'Hara, M.W., & Zekoski, E.M. (1988). Postpartum depression: a comprehensive review. In I.F. Brockington & R. Kumar (Eds.), *Motherhood and mental illness* (pp.17-63). London: Academic Press.
- O'Hara, M.W., Zekoski, E.M., Philipps, L.H., & Wright, E.J. (1990). A controlled prospective study of postpartum mood disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 99*, 3-15.
- Pitt, B. (1968). Atypical depression following childbirth. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 114*, 1325-1335.
- Sameroff, A.J., Seifer, R., & Zax, M. (1982). Early development of children at risk for emotional disorder. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 47* (7, Serial N° 199).
- Séguin, L., & Cossette, L. (1991). La dépression post-natale: les facteurs socio-environnementaux. *Santé Mentale au Québec, 16*(1), 139-148.
- Sharp, D., Hay, D.F., Pawlby, S., Schmucker, G., Allen, H. & Kumar, R. (1995). The impact of postnatal depression on boys' intellectual development. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiat., 36*(8), 1315-1136.
- Shaw, D., & Vondra, J.I. (1995). Infant attachment security and maternal predictors of early behaviour problems: A longitudinal study of low-income families. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 23*(3), 335-357.
- Stein, A., Gath, D., Boucher, J., Bond, A., Day, A., & Cooper, P.J., (1991). The relationship between postnatal depression and mother-child interactions. *British Journal of Psychiatry, 158*, 46-52.

Weissman, M.N., Paykel, E.S., & Prusoff, B. (1985). Social adjustment scale handbook: Rationale, reliability, validity, scoring and training guide.

Wrate, R.M., Rooney, A.C., Thomas, P.F., & Cox, J.L. (1985). Postnatal depression and child development: A 3-year follow-up study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 146, 622-627.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Figure 1: Mother interaction and care behaviour at 3 months postpartum**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mothers/ 3 months no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity mothers (N=50)

Instrument		Mother interactions and care behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
H	SE1	Emotional and verbal responsiveness	4.63	8.65	-2.1344 *
	SE2	Acceptance of child's behaviour	10.10	7.43	-1.1598
O	SE3	Organisation of physical and temporal environment	9.50	9.50	-1.6549
M	SE4	Provision of appropriate play materials	9.92	10.14	-0.9658
E	SE5	Mother involvement with child	11.90	6.50	-1.4589
	SE6	Opportunities for variety in daily stimulation	7.08	6.93	-0.2097
TE		Total Score	12.22	9.58	-2.2401 *
I R S al	Feeding position		10.38	9.17	-1.6097
	State rating		7.04	6.50	-2.7255 **
	Physical activity		6.15	4.50	-2.5340 *
	Head orientation		4.67	4.00	-1.4003
	Gaze behaviour		6.33	4.50	-2.1339 *
	Contingent vocalisation		9.79	4.50	-3.5276 ***
	Timing of bottle removal		6.15	4.50	-2.5340 *
	Burping		8.75	5.00	-2.5558 *
	Persistence of feeding by mother		11.62	9.00	-3.8147 ***
	Summary rating		11.86	4.00	-3.9770 ***
i R S ff	State		7.00	0.00	-3.1798 **
	Physical activity		7.50	0.00	-3.2958 ***
	Head orientation		4.67	4.00	-1.4003
	Gaze behaviours		1.50	0.00	-1.3416
	Facial expressions		5.50	0.00	-2.8031 **
	Vocalisations		9.56	8.50	-3.3534 ***
	Silence during infant gaze aversion		8.00	0.00	-3.4078 ***
	Imitative behaviours		7.17	5.00	-2.8304 **
	Contingent responsiveness		8.18	5.50	-3.0954 **
	Game laying		10.11	8.00	-3.5011 ***
Summary rating		12.90	2.50	-4.0452 ***	

\* p<0.05  
\*\* p<0.01  
\*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 2: Mother interaction and care behaviour at 6 months postpartum**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mothers/ 3 months no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity mothers (N=46)

Instrument		Mother interactions and care behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
H	SE1	Emotional and verbal responsiveness	5.67	8.00	-2.2286 *
	SE2	Acceptance of child's behaviour	4.50	8.11	-1.9219
O	SE3	Organisation of physical and temporal environment	6.80	7.13	-0.8037
M	SE4	Provision of appropriate play materials	9.13	10.84	-2.5573 *
E	SE5	Mother involvement with child	6.50	9.88	-3.1574 **
	SE6	Opportunities for variety in daily stimulation	5.00	7.89	-1.7821
TE		Total Score	5.13	12.92	-3.4414 ***
I R S al	Feeding position		10.67	10.43	-1.5306
	State rating		6.00	9.08	-2.5854 **
	Physical activity		6.00	7.30	-1.9219
	Head orientation		5.50	7.65	-2.9505 **
	Gaze behaviour		0.00	5.50	-2.8031 **
	Contingent vocalisation		8.44	7.50	-0.4260
	Timing of bottle removal		5.50	7.83	-2.6052 **
	Burping		0.00	5.50	-2.8031 **
	Persistence of feeding by mother		8.63	9.12	-1.9882 *
	Summary rating		4.63	12.50	3.3715 ***
I R S ff	State		0.00	4.00	-2.3664 *
	Physical activity		2.50	5.31	-2.3694 *
	Head orientation		3.50	3.50	-1.4676
	Gaze behaviours		0.00	4.50	-2.5205 *
	Facial expressions		0.00	1.00	-1.0000
	Vocalisations		3.50	5.43	-1.8363
	Silence during infant gaze aversion		3.00	5.78	-2.4973 **
	Imitative behaviours		7.50	9.63	-1.8462
	Contingent responsiveness		5.00	7.17	-2.8304 **
	Game laying		7.00	8.15	-2.6126 **
Summary rating		3.50	13.34	-3.4062 ***	

\* p<0.05  
\*\* p<0.01  
\*\*\* p<0.001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Figure 3: Mother interaction and care behaviour at 12months postpartum**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mothers/ 3 months no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity mothers (N=45)

Instrument	Mother interactions and care behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
	SE1 Emotional and verbal responsivity	3.50	6.00	-2.0896 *
H	SE2 Acceptance of child's behaviour	7.64	10.68	-1.3936
O	SE3 Organisation of physical and temporal environment	8.60	6.00	-0.1747
M	SE4 Provision of appropriate play materials	9.13	10.84	-2.5573 *
E	SE5 Mother involvement with child	5.25	6.43	-1.0669
	SE6 Opportunities for variety in daily stimulation	11.00	8.33	2.9474 **
	TE Total Score	6.00	10.20	-2.9396 **
	Feeding position	2.50	5.17	-1.8204
	State rating	3.50	4.08	-1.7748
	Physical activity	4.00	6.14	-1.5799
I	Head orientation	3.00	4.75	-0.8452
R	Gaze behaviour	0.00	2.00	-1.6036
S	Contingent vocalisation	6.70	6.36	-0.4315
al	Timing of bottle removal	0.00	3.00	-2.0226 *
	Burping	0.00	1.00	-1.0000
	Persistence of feeding by mother	4.50	5.25	-1.0662
	Summary rating	5.50	12.08	-2.4950 *
	State	0.00	4.50	-2.5205 *
	Physical activity	0.00	7.00	-3.1798 **
	Head orientation	0.00	3.50	-2.2014 *
I	Gaze behaviours	0.00	1.50	-1.3416
R	Facial expressions	4.50	4.50	-1.8904
S	Vocalisations	0.00	6.00	-2.9341 **
ff	Silence during infant gaze aversion	0.00	6.00	-2.9341 **
	Imitative behaviours	0.00	6.00	-2.9341 **
	Contingent responsivity	0.00	7.50	-3.2958 ***
	Game laying	6.50	6.50	-2.5495 *
	Summary rating	0.00	9.50	-3.7236 ***

\* p<0.05  
\*\* p<0.01  
\*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 4: Infant interaction behaviour at 3 months-old**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=50)

Instrument	Infant interaction behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
	State rating	5.00	5.00	-0.8885
I	Physical activity	8.63	11.75	-2.5089 *
R	Head orientation	9.25	5.00	-3.3847 ***
S	Gaze behaviour	10.00	5.50	-3.2445 **
al	Persistence in feeding	9.76	5.00	-3.5058 ***
	Summary rating	11.32	4.50	-3.8581 ***
	State	5.00	5.00	-1.4809
	Physical activity	4.50	0.00	-2.5205 *
I	Head orientation	9.50	0.00	-3.7236 ***
R	Gaze behaviour	11.32	8.00	-3.4584 ***
S	Facial expressions	8.79	6.50	-2.8440 **
ff	Vocalisations	7.17	5.00	-2.8304 **
	Fussiness	7.67	6.50	-2.4797 *
	Summary rating	12.35	3.00	-3.9121 ***

\* p<0.05  
\*\* p<0.01  
\*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 5: Infant interaction behaviour at 6 months-old**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=46)

Instrument	Infant interaction behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
	State rating	3.50	3.50	-0.7338
I	Physical activity	7.67	8.69	-2.3269 *
R	Head orientation	8.21	10.50	-2.4303 *
S	Gaze behaviour	4.00	8.08	-2.7936 **
al	Persistence in feeding	8.83	10.25	-2.6509 **
	Summary rating	6.50	12.06	-3.1108 **
	State	0.00	1.00	-1.0000
	Physical activity	0.00	4.50	-2.5205 *
I	Head orientation	8.00	8.00	-2.9534 **
R	Gaze behaviour	6.00	7.62	-2.9191 **
S	Facial expressions	5.50	7.83	-2.6052 **
ff	Vocalisations	6.50	7.67	-2.4797 *
	Fussiness	5.83	6.06	-1.3781
	Summary rating	7.50	10.66	-3.6399 ***

\* p<0.05  
\*\* p<0.01  
\*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 6: Infant interaction behaviour at 12 months-old**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=45)

Instrument	Infant interaction behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
I	State rating	1.00	0.00	-1.0000
	Physical activity	5.67	4.00	-2.3953 *
R	Head orientation	9.06	8.00	-3.2427 **
S	Gaze behaviour	9.13	7.00	-3.2900 ***
al	Persistence in feeding	4.25	2.50	-1.9439
	Summary rating	10.25	5.50	-3.6017 ***
I	State	0.00	0.00	0.0000
	Physical activity	4.50	0.00	-2.5205 *
R	Head orientation	7.00	0.00	-3.1798 **
S	Gaze behaviour	7.65	5.50	-2.9505 **
ff	Facial expressions	6.20	4.00	-2.5784 **
	Vocalisations	7.25	5.00	-1.4905
	Fussiness	5.50	0.00	-2.8031 **
	Summary rating	11.28	3.50	-3.6586 ***

\* p<0.05  
 \*\* p<0.01  
 \*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 7: Mother-Infant interaction behaviours at 3, 6 and 12 months postpartum**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=50)

Instrument	mother infant interaction behaviours	mean rank	mean rank	z
3	IRS face-to-face interaction	5.00	12.32	-4.0452 ***
	feeding interaction	4.00	11.86	-3.9770 ***
	GOISIL mutual eye contact	10.91	11.38	-2.4330 *
	mutual attention in physical world	10.00	10.83	-0.9333
	mutual vocalisation	1.00	2.00	-0.4472
6	IRS face-to-face interaction	3.00	9.88	-3.5929 ***
	feeding interaction	4.10	13.68	-3.4414 ***
	GOISIL mutual eye contact	8.50	10.40	2.4548 *
	mutual attention in physical world	6.25	10.50	-1.8462
	mutual vocalisation	0.00	1.50	-1.3416
12	IRS face-to-face interaction	1.50	10.97	-3.8639 ***
	feeding interaction	3.00	11.82	-3.5839 ***
	GOISIL mutual eye contact	5.50	10.46	-2.3196 *
	mutual attention in physical world	6.83	10.18	-1.6805
	mutual vocalisation	2.00	3.00	-0.3651

\* p<0.05  
 \*\* p<0.01  
 \*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 8: Mother concern with the baby at 3, 6 and 12 months postpartum**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=50)

infant age	Mother preoccupation	mean rank	mean rank	z
3	nourishment	4.50	10.38	-2.7693 *
	sleep	8.00	10.92	-1.8914
	cleanness	7.10	8.45	-1.3915
	health	9.50	9.50	-2.8961 **
	tears	10.08	12.68	-2.3572 *
	mobility	6.40	10.08	-2.1065 *
	total	3.33	13.30	-3.8931 ***
6	nourishment	5.50	9.19	-2.6630 **
	sleep	7.50	12.12	-1.9600 *
	cleanness	8.31	9.61	-0.4734
	health	6.00	8.50	-2.3854 *
	tears	7.86	11.92	-1.8666
	mobility	6.17	8.46	-2.3570
	total	4.83	10.97	-3.2395 **
12	nourishment	8.50	7.92	-2.4422 *
	sleep	6.30	8.85	-1.6187
	cleanness	10.06	9.95	-0.5835
	health	6.25	7.14	-2.3062 *
	tears	9.17	11.73	-2.1028 *
	mobility	7.00	7.00	-0.7338
	total	8.30	11.23	-2.3706 *

\* p<0.05  
 \*\* p<0.01  
 \*\*\* p<0.001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

**Figure 9: Infant behavioural difficulties at 3, 6 and 12 months-old**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=50)

Infant age	Behavioural difficulties	mean rank	mean rank	z
3	nourishment	10.36	6.50	-1.7752
	sleep	7.83	8.90	-1.0859
	cleanness	8.50	8.50	0.0000
	health	9.88	10.25	-1.3481
	tears	8.00	10.67	-1.8615
	mobility	9.50	7.50	-0.4137
s	total	12.47	10.67	-2.2507 *
6	nourishment	9.50	5.00	-1.9879 *
	sleep	11.79	9.94	-0.9037
	cleanness	7.25	7.83	-0.3453
	health	10.54	9.07	-1.2676
	tears	10.40	9.56	-0.3622
	mobility	7.25	6.17	-1.8869
s	total	15.10	8.50	-0.7954
12	nourishment	6.36	6.70	-0.4315
	sleep	10.18	8.43	-1.1541
	cleanness	9.05	5.13	-2.2434 *
	health	11.94	8.25	-0.5030
	tears	8.86	9.10	-0.6864
	mobility	3.50	5.43	-1.8363
s	total	11.23	10.63	-1.0601

\* p<0.05  
 \*\* p<0.01  
 \*\*\* p<0.001

**Figure 10: Infant development at 3, 6 and 12 months-old**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=50)

Infant age	Scale	mean rank	mean rank	z
3 months	Bayley — Mental scale	15.90	9.00	-0.6387
	Bayley — Motor scale	11.73	9.61	-1.2860
	Bayley — Total scale	11.73	8.50	-0.0647
6 months	Bayley — Mental scale	10.46	10.56	-0.7653
	Bayley — Motor scale	10.22	8.83	-2.7566 **
	Bayley — Total scale	11.03	10.92	-1.7379
12 months	Bayley — Mental scale	11.00	9.00	-2.1028 *
	Bayley — Motor scale	11.73	9.17	-2.1028 *
	Bayley — Total scale	11.59	9.10	-2.4330 *

\* p<0.05  
 \*\* p<0.01  
 \*\*\* p<0.001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



**Figure 11: Infant behaviour at 3, 6 and 12 months postpartum**

Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-rank test: 3 months postpartum depression mother/ mothers with no depressive disorder or other psychiatric morbidity (N=50)

Infant age	Infant behaviour	mean rank	mean rank	z	Infant behaviour	mean rank	mean rank	z
3	responsiveness to persons	9.00	10.46	0.0990	reactivity	7.50	9.82	-1.4912
	responsiveness examiner	6.00	8.33	-1.4125	sights-looking	12.00	8.54	-1.1105
	responsiveness to mother	5.00	6.80	-2.2749 *	listening to sounds	7.70	9.83	-0.4654
	cooperativeness	10.67	9.40	-0.0402	producing sounds	7.29	10.20	-1.2071
	fearfulness	7.25	8.86	-0.1136	banging	8.38	9.82	-2.2646 *
	tension	11.88	12.07	-1.3078	manipulating	8.25	12.00	-1.4560
	emotional tone	8.50	11.58	-1.6986	body motion	10.43	9.75	-0.8853
	object orientation	7.17	11.83	-0.9146	mouthling or sucking	11.88	11.42	-2.5648 *
	imaginative play	2.50	2.50	-0.9129	pacifier	12.88	10.71	-0.7629
	persistent attachment toy	1.00	0.00	-1.0000	toys	9.73	14.08	-0.9429
	goal directness	9.71	10.17	-1.0865	energy	8.79	9.95	-1.0452
	attention span	9.61	12.07	-1.0080	gross coordination	10.22	7.75	-0.0473
	endurance	9.89	9.11	-1.1524	fine coordination	4.67	4.00	-0.1207
	activity	8.31	11.96	-1.4373				
6	responsiveness to persons	7.86	9.00	-0.6722	reactivity	7.33	7.55	-1.9147
	responsiveness examiner	4.50	7.75	-2.2363 *	sights-looking	8.28	10.72	-0.4791
	responsiveness to mother	5.50	6.59	-2.6280 **	listening to sounds	6.80	9.92	-2.0119 *
	cooperativeness	8.92	10.50	-1.6701	producing sounds	13.00	11.06	-1.9966 *
	fearfulness	10.04	11.19	-0.5787	banging	6.90	14.10	-1.3440
	tension	8.15	7.70	-1.2211	manipulating	10.13	11.54	-1.1991
	emotional tone	10.08	10.68	-1.6613	body motion	9.17	11.59	-0.8400
	object orientation	7.75	10.38	-1.6985	mouthling or sucking	6.63	9.73	-2.3669 *
	imaginative play	1.50	1.50	0.0000	pacifier	6.50	9.71	-0.4544
	persistent attachment toy	0.00	2.50	-1.8257	toys	8.25	8.75	-0.1034
	goal directness	8.56	12.50	-1.6336	energy	8.25	5.93	-0.2795
	attention span	8.00	9.31	-2.1065 *	gross coordination	8.25	8.75	-0.1034
	endurance	9.90	10.04	-1.8310	fine coordination	8.25	5.93	-0.2795
	activity	10.90	9.68	-1.6298				
12	responsiveness to persons	7.13	6.80	-0.8037	reactivity	8.17	8.70	-0.9825
	responsiveness examiner	8.67	8.40	-0.8273	sights-looking	7.89	9.29	-0.1551
	responsiveness to mother	5.00	8.46	-2.8398 **	listening to sounds	8.29	9.50	-0.8758
	cooperativeness	13.50	9.50	-1.4000	producing sounds	7.79	9.85	-1.0414
	fearfulness	10.60	7.75	-2.5755 **	banging	7.17	11.83	-0.9146
	tension	9.54	5.38	-2.4045 *	manipulating	10.22	10.73	-0.4853
	emotional tone	7.70	9.54	-1.7988	body motion	13.14	9.93	-0.8168
	object orientation	7.13	6.19	-0.8237	mouthling or sucking	8.00	10.08	-1.9815 *
	imaginative play	1.50	1.50	0.0000	pacifier	7.89	10.25	-0.2604
	persistent attachment toy	2.00	2.00	-0.5345	toys	12.96	9.39	-1.3636
	goal directness	7.30	7.61	-1.0044	energy	7.00	9.43	-2.6273 **
	attention span	8.80	7.60	-0.9087	gross coordination	10.79	7.80	-2.2536 *
	endurance	10.50	9.12	-1.4372	fine coordination	7.50	7.50	0.0000
	activity	10.13	9.32	-1.9598 *				

\* p < 0.05

\*\* p < 0.01

\*\*\* p < 0.001

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

## I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Postpartum depression: is it a condition affecting the mother-infant interaction and the development of the child across the first year of life?</i>	
Author(s): <i>Barbara Figueiredo, PhD</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>Department of Psychology - University of Minho, Portugal</i>	Publication Date:

## II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents



Check here  
**For Level 1 Release:**  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1



Check here  
**For Level 2 Release:**  
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

*Sample*

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

1260260  
Sign here please

Signature: <i>Barbara Figueiredo de Azevedo</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>B. Figueiredo, PhD</i>
Organization/Address: <i>Department of Psychology Universidade do Minho Campus de Gualtar 4719 - Braga - Portugal</i>	Telephone: <i>053. 604245</i>
	FAX: <i>053. 6789 83</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>bbfi@iep.uminho.</i>
	Date: <i>set. 97</i>



University of Illinois  
at Urbana-Champaign



Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education

805 West Pennsylvania Avenue  
Urbana, IL 61801-4897

217 333-1386  
217 333-3767 fax  
800-583-4135  
ericeece@uiuc.edu e-mail

August 16, 1996

Dear Colleague:

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education is increasing its efforts to collect and disseminate information relating to all aspects of children's development, care, and education. Your presentation at the **XIVth Biennial Meetings of the International Society for the Study of Behavioural Development** held in Quebec City, Quebec, on August 12-16, 1996, is eligible to be considered for inclusion in the ERIC database and microfiche collection, **IF**:

- \* it is at least 8 pages long;
- \* it has not been published elsewhere; and,
- \* you will give us your permission to include it in ERIC.

ERIC, the world's largest database on education, is built from the contributions of its users. We hope you will consider submitting to ERIC/EECE your presentation or any other papers you may have completed within the last two years related to this educational level.

Documents are reviewed for contribution to education, timeliness, relevance, methodology, and reproduction quality. We will let you know within six weeks if your paper has been accepted. Please complete the reproduction release on the back of this letter and return it to ERIC/EECE with your paper by July 31, 1997. If you have any questions, please contact me by fax 217-333-3767, or by e-mail <ksmith5@uiuc.edu>.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karen E. Smith".

Karen E. Smith  
Acquisitions Coordinator