1	Can	photocatalytic	and	magnetic	nanoparticles	be	a	threat	to	aquatic	detrital	food
2	webs	?										

- 3
- 4 Arunava Pradhan^{1,2}#*, Marta Fernandes^{1,2,3}#, Pedro M. Martins^{2,3}, Cláudia Pascoal^{1,2},
- 5 Senentxu Lanceros-Méndez^{3,4,5}, Fernanda Cássio^{1,2}
- 6 #Equal contribution of authors
- 7
- ¹Centre of Molecular and Environmental Biology, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar,
- 9 4710-057-Braga Portugal
- ² Institute of Science and Innovation for Bio-Sustainability (IB-S), University of Minho,
- 11 Campus of Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal
- ³Centre of Physics, University of Minho, Campus of Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal
- ⁴Basque Center for Materials, Applications, and Nanostructures, UPV/EHU Science Park,
- 14 48940 Leioa, Spain
- ⁵ IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain
- 16
- 17 *Corresponding author:
- 18 Arunava Pradhan
- 19 E-mail: arunava2006molbio@gmail.com, arunava@bio.uminho.pt,
- 20 Tel.: +351253604045
- 21
- 22

23 ABSTRACT

Freshwaters are likely to serve as reservoirs for engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) due to 24 their accelerated production and usage, increasing the relevance of assessing their impacts on 25 aquatic biota and the ecosystem processes they drive. Stream-dwelling microbes, particularly 26 fungi, and invertebrate shredders play an essential role in the decomposition of organic matter 27 and transfer of energy to higher trophic levels. We assessed the impacts of two photocatalytic 28 (nano-TiO₂ and nano-Er:TiO₂) and one magnetic (nano-CoFe₂O₄) ENMs on detrital-based 29 food webs in freshwaters by exposing chestnut leaves, colonized by stream-dwelling 30 microbes, to a series of concentrations $(0.25-150 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1})$ of these ENMs. Microbial 31 decomposition and biomass of fungal communities, associated with leaves, were not affected 32 by the ENMs. However, the activities of antioxidant enzymes of microbial decomposers were 33 34 stimulated by ENMs in a concentration-dependent way, suggesting oxidative stress in stream microbial communities. The stronger responses of these stress biomarkers against nano- TiO_2 35 suggest a higher toxicity of this ENM comparing to the others. To determine whether the 36 effects could be transferred across trophic levels, the invertebrate shredder Sericostoma sp. 37 was exposed to ENMs (1 and 50 mg L^{-1}) for 5 days either via contaminated water or 38 39 contaminated food (leaf litter). Leaf consumption rate by shredders decreased with increasing 40 concentrations of ENMs via food or water; the effects were more pronounced when exposure 41 occurred via contaminated food. Overall, the tested photocatalytic and magnetic ENMs can 42 be harmful to microbes and invertebrates that drive detrital food webs in streams at predicted environmentally relevant concentrations. 43

Keywords: photocatalytic and magnetic nanoparticles, stream microbial decomposers, stress
biomarkers, invertebrate shredders, trophic interactions

46

47

48 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in nanotechnology led to an increased worldwide production and 49 50 application of engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) (Stark et al. 2015). The TiO₂ nanoparticles (nano-TiO₂) are among the most extensively used ENMs with a wide range of applications as 51 in supercapacitors, photocatalysis, sensors, personal care products, biomedicine, dye-52 sensitized solar cells, lithium batteries, paints and food products (Chen and Mao 2007; Weir 53 et al. 2012; Tian et al. 2014). In July 2016, the European Commission allowed the application 54 of nano-TiO₂ as a UV-filter in sunscreens at a concentration up to 25% (European 55 Commission, 2016), which may further enhance the commercial use of these ENMs in 56 Europe. The estimated global production of nano-TiO₂ was about 10^4 tonnes per year, and 57 58 might even be higher in Europe (Piccinno et al. 2012).

59 Nanoparticles of TiO₂ are often applied in wastewater effluent treatments and chlorine-free disinfection due to their photocatalytic properties (Rickerby 2014). However, the nonporous 60 61 structure of the bare nano-TiO₂ and their aggregation capacity in water may limit the photocatalytic and adsorption of organic contaminants in aquatic environments. Nano-TiO₂, 62 doped with rare earth metals, like erbium (nano-Er:TiO₂), can enhance the photocatalytic 63 performance because of the vacant f-orbitals of Er³⁺ that allow intermediate energy states 64 (reducing the band gap), improving the adsorption of various molecules (e.g. amines, 65 66 alcohols, aldehydes, amines thiols) from contaminants onto the nanoparticle surface (Gomez et al. 2012; Martins et al. 2014). On the other hand, due to suitable physicochemical and 67 magnetic properties, cobalt-ferrite nanoparticles (nano-CoFe₂O₄) undergo increasing 68 69 applications in biomedical engineering, including drug delivery, magnetic separation and purification, biosensor, magnetic resonance imaging, cancer therapy and hyperthermia 70 (Cardoso et al. 2018; Srinivasan et al. 2018). Nanoparticles of CoFe₂O₄ have potential to 71

remove anionic dyes (Yavari et al. 2016) and to treat metal-rich industrial effluents or
wastewaters (Srivastava et al. 2016).

74 Due to the vast applications and use of these ENMs, they are likely to be present in significant amounts in aquatic environments. Indeed, nanoparticles of TiO₂ were detected in 75 groundwater and drinking water as a consequence of their release from house facades into the 76 nearby stream or from urban runoffs (Kaegi et al. 2008; Kiser et al. 2009; Westerhoff et al. 77 78 2011). Adverse effects of TiO₂ on aquatic organisms including bacteria, microalgae, invertebrates and vertebrates have been reported (Federici et al. 2007; Li et al. 2014b; 79 80 Schaumann et al. 2015; Girardello et al. 2016). Although bare or Er-doped nano-TiO₂ are expected to be biocompatible to humans (Martins et al. 2014; European Commission 2016), 81 the impacts of nano-Er:TiO₂ on aquatic organisms are unknown. On the other hand, the few 82 83 ecotoxicological studies with nano-CoFe₂O₄ showed toxicity against plant-pathogenic fungi 84 (Sharma et al. 2017) and to freshwater algae and fish (Ahmad et al. 2015a; Ahmad et al. 2015b). 85

In forest streams, plant litter breakdown is a key ecosystem process driven by microbes, predominantly fungi, and invertebrate shredders that transfer nutrients and energy from plant litter of riparian trees to higher trophic levels (Graça 2001). Invertebrate shredders generally prefer to feed on leaf litter colonized by stream microbial communities because microbial activities and biomass improve leaf litter quality and its palatability (Graça 2001). However, the knowledge on the impacts of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ on detritusbased food webs is lacking.

The current study aims to evaluate the effects of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄
on stream microbial decomposer communities and invertebrate shredders using the detrital
model system, which has proven sensitive to various contaminants (Pradhan et al. 2011;
Pradhan et al. 2015a; Tlili et al. 2016). We hypothesized that ENMs would i) reduce

97 microbial decomposition and the biomass of leaf-associated fungi; ii) induce oxidative stress
98 in microbial decomposer communities; and iii) decrease leaf litter consumption by
99 invertebrate shredders, mainly when animals were exposed via contaminated food.

100

101 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

102 2.1. Synthesis and physicochemical characterization of ENMs

103 Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (nano-TiO₂ P25; ~21 nm, \geq 99.5%, CAS No. 13463-67-7) and 104 Cobalt ferrite nanoparticles (nano-CoFe₂O₄; 35-55 nm, 98%, density: ~5.3 g cm⁻³, CAS No. 105 12052-28-7) were purchased from Evonik (Evonik Industries AG, Essen, Germany) and 106 Nanoamor (Nanostructured & Amorphous Materials Inc, Katy, USA), respectively.

The TiO₂ nanoparticles doped with erbium (nano-Er:TiO₂) were synthesised according to 107 108 Gomez et al. (2012) and Martins et al. (2014). Briefly, titanium(IV) isopropoxide (97%, Sigma-Aldrich) was mixed (in 1:15 v:v ratio) with analytical grade absolute ethanol 109 (Panreac). Afterwards, acetic acid (1:10 v:v; Panreac) and Er(III) nitrate pentahydrate (14.7 110 mg; Sigma-Aldrich) were added to get Er:TiO₂ atomic ratios of 0.005 (0.5% Er). Deionised 111 water (5 mL) was added after 5 min (under magnetic stirring) and the solution was shifted to 112 a Teflon-lined steel autoclave, heated in a microwave oven (15 min, 120°C). The produced 113 ENMs were centrifuged ($4536 \times g$, for 20 min) to remove debris and resuspended in absolute 114 ethanol (for 3 min) in sonication bath (42 kHz, 100 W, Branson 2510, Danbury, CT, USA). 115 116 This process was repeated twice and the nano-Er:TiO₂ were placed overnight (at 80°C) in oven (Gomez et al. 2012; Martins et al. 2014). 117

The morphology of the primary particles was monitored by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai T20, FEI). The ENMs were sonicated for 5 min to achieve a homogeneous dispersion; a drop of the solution was placed on a copper grid and dried at room temperature (RT). The crystallinity of the ENMs was assessed by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) with

Philips X'Pert instrument equipped with Cu K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) at 40 kV/50 mA. 122 The hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential (ζ) of the ENMs were determined using 123 Zetasizer (NANO ZS-ZEN3600, Malvern Instruments Limited, UK), in backscatter mode 124 (173°). The analyses were performed at 25°C by dispersing 10 mg of ENMs in 100 mL of 125 ultra-pure water (to avoid multicasting). The suspension was sonicated for 30 min, and 126 aliquots were used to estimate the mean hydrodynamic diameter from the intensity-weighted 127 distributions (Zeta-average), as well as the polydispersity index (PdI), and Zeta-potential 128 values (Zetasizer 6.20 software). 129

130 **2.2. Stream microbial colonization on leaves**

Leaves of Castanea sativa (L.) (chestnut) were collected during autumn and air-dried at RT. 131 Chestnut is one of the dominant riparian plant species in Northwest Portugal. The chestnut 132 133 leaves were cut into discs (12-mm) and placed into fine-mesh (0.5-mm) bags (to minimize the access of benthic macroinvertebrates), and immersed for 12 days in Algeriz Stream 134 (41°35'24.56"N, 8°22'36.96"W) to allow colonization by stream-dwelling microbes. The 135 stream was situated in a low populated area. At the sampling site, the width and depth of the 136 stream were 0.5–0.8 m and 0.3–0.4 m, respectively; the geological substratum was composed 137 mostly of sand and pebbles. 138

The physicochemical properties of stream water, measured *in situ* using multiparametric field probes (Multiline F/set 3 no. 400327, WTW, Weilheim, Germany), were: pH, 6.4 ± 0.2 ; temperature, $13.5 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C; dissolved oxygen, $9.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$; and conductivity, $31 \,\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$. Concentrations of NO₃⁻–N (0.14 ± 0.01 mg L⁻¹; HACH, programme 355), PO₄^{3–}–P (0.02 ± 0.001 mg L⁻¹; HACH kit, programme 480) and NH₃–N (0 mg L⁻¹; HACH kit, programme 385) were determined with a HACH DR/2000 (HACH, Loveland, CO, USA) in the laboratory.

146 **2.3. Exposure in microcosms**

147 After 12 days, leaf bags were retrieved from the stream and taken to the laboratory where the leaf discs were carefully washed and allocated to 150-mL Erlenmeyer flasks (microcosms). 148 Stock suspensions (1500 mg L^{-1}) of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ were 149 150 prepared in mineral water followed by sonication (Pradhan et al. 2011). Composition of the mineral water was: pH 5.8 \pm 0.2, silica 9.5 \pm 2 mg L⁻¹, sodium 4.1 \pm 0.4 mg L⁻¹, potassium 151 $0.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$, calcium $1.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$, chloride $4.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$, sulphate $1 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ 152 ¹, and bicarbonate 8 ± 0.6 mg L⁻¹ (Fastio[®], Gerês Mountain, Portugal). A gradient of 153 concentrations of each type of ENMs (0.25, 1, 10, 50 and 150 mg L^{-1}) was prepared by 154 155 diluting the stock suspension with mineral water to get 90 mL of final volume in each microcosm. Mineral water without ENMs was used as controls. Three replicates were used 156 per treatment. All microcosms were incubated at 14°C for 21 days under shaking (140 rpm), 157 158 and water suspensions were renewed every 7 days. The experiment was performed in the absence of light, because TiO₂ is photosensitive, especially reactive to ultraviolet radiation 159 (Rickerby 2014; Li et al. 2014b). 160

161 **2.4.** Loss of leaf mass

The mass loss of chestnut leaves was estimated by weighing (up to 0.001 mg) lyophilized (Christ alpha 2–4, B. Braun, Germany) leaf discs before and after the microbial colonization in Algeriz Stream, and after the microcosm experiment. Initial leaf mass was determined by immersing 3 leaf bags in the stream for 30 min, and the leaf discs were subsequently lyophilized and weighed.

167 2.5. Fungal biomass

To determine fungal biomass, ergosterol, a sterol present in fungal cell membranes, was quantified by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UltiMate 3000, Thermo Scientific UHPLC system) using a LiChrospher 100 RP18 (5 μm) column (Merck) in 6 lyophilized chestnut leaf discs per replicate. Lipid extraction was carried out from the 172 chestnut leaf discs by heating (80°C, 45 min) in KOH-methanol (0.8%), before purified by 173 solid-phase extraction and eluted in isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich, analytical grade). Ergosterol 174 peaks were monitored at 282 nm and eluted (at 1.4 mL min⁻¹) with methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, 175 HPLC-grade). The concentrations of ergosterol from the samples were computed using a 176 standard curve (Sigma-Aldrich) in isopropanol. The extracted ergosterol was converted to 177 fungal biomass considering the factor of 5.5 μ g of ergosterol per mg dry biomass (Gessner 178 and Chauvet 1993).

179 **2.6.** Activities of antioxidant enzymes

180 For determining the activities of antioxidant enzymes (glutathione peroxidase: GPx, glutathione S-transferase: GST, and catalase: CAT) in microbial communities on chestnut 181 leaves, 15 leaf discs from each microcosm were retrieved, washed thrice with ultrapure water, 182 183 and frozen in liquid nitrogen (to prevent biological activities). Leaf discs were homogenised (Utratratrax T 25, IKA, Staufen, Germany) using potassium phosphate (K-phosphate, 0.1 M, 184 pH 7.4) buffer (1:10 w:v) and PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride as protease inhibitor, 1 185 mM) at 4°C. The leaf homogenates were centrifuged (10,000 \times g, 20 min, 4°C) and the 186 supernatants (cell-free extract: CFE) were separated and frozen at -80°C in several aliquots 187 till the measurement of the activities of antioxidant enzymes. 188

Protein concentration was measured in the CFE according to Bradford (1976) in 96-well flat-189 bottomed microplates and expressed per unit mass of leaves. The activities of the antioxidant 190 191 enzymes were measured in CFE using a spectrophotometer (SpectraMax Plus 384 Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices) and normalized to the protein concentration. The activity of 192 GST was determined by measuring the formation of 1-glutathione-2,4-dinitrobenzene 193 194 resulting from the conjugation of GSH with the substrate 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) (Habig et al.; Barros et al. 2019a). The cell-free extract was added to the reaction 195 mixture (1:3 v:v) containing K-phosphate (0.1 M, pH 6.5) buffer, GSH (1.5 mM) and CDNB 196

197 (1.5 mM). The GST activity was computed from the slope of absorbance curve (at 340 nm, ϵ 198 = 9.6 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

For CAT activity, the CFE was added to a reaction mixture (1:11 v:v) containing Kphosphate (0.05 M, pH 7.0) buffer and H_2O_2 (30 mM). The CAT activity was calculated from the slope of decrease in absorbance (at 240 nm, $\varepsilon = 0.04 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) due to the dismutation of H_2O_2 (Claiborne 1985; Barros et al. 2019a).

For activity of GPx, the CFE was added to a reaction mixture (1:29 v:v) containing Kphosphate (0.05 M, pH 7.0) buffer, EDTA (1 mM), GSH (reduced glutathione, 1 mM), NaN₃ (1 mM), NADPH (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, 0.24 mM), H₂O₂ (0.25 mM) and GR (0.2 U). H₂O₂ served as substrate and NaN₃ prevented CAT activity. When GR reduced the GSSG (oxidized glutathione) to GSH, the oxidation of NADPH was monitored from absorbance (at 340 nm, $\varepsilon = 6.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the GPx activity was computed from the slope (Flohé and Günzler 1984; Barros et al. 2019a).

210 **2.7. Invertebrate collection and exposure to nanoparticles**

Sericostoma sp. (Latreville) is an invertebrate shredder (Trichoptera, Sericostomatidae) 211 common in low-order streams in Southwest Europe (Bonada et al. 2008; Varandas and Cortes 212 2010) with good water quality. Early-stage larvae $(1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm})$ of Sericostoma sp. were 213 collected in upstream of the Cávado River (Northwest Portugal) and brought to the laboratory 214 in a cold box. Shredders were placed in aquaria with mineral water (Fastio®, Gerês 215 216 Mountain, Portugal) and sterilized (121°C, 20 min) sand and maintained under aeration at 16°C, with a photoperiod (12h/12h: light/dark). Shredders were allowed to feed on chestnut 217 leaves for 28 days before the feeding experiment. To assess the potential effects of nano-218 219 TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄, the shredders were exposed to contaminated water or contaminated chestnut leaves for 5 days in microcosms. For exposure via water, the 220 microcosms with mineral water (Fastio®) were supplemented with nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ 221

or nano-CoFe₂O₄ at 1 mg L^{-1} or 50 mg L^{-1} and shredders were allowed to feed on 222 microbially-colonized leaf discs not exposed to ENMs. For exposure via food (leaves), the 223 microcosms were supplemented with mineral water (Fastio®) and shredders were allowed to 224 feed on microbially-colonized leaf discs previously exposed (for 21 days) to the same 225 concentrations of ENMs (see section 2.3). Same number of pre-exposed or unexposed 226 microbially-colonized leaf discs enclosed in fine-mesh bags was also placed in each 227 microcosm of the respective treatment to determine the contribution of stream-dwelling 228 microorganisms to leaf litter breakdown during 5 days. 229

230 **2.8. Rate of invertebrate feeding**

The feeding rate of the shredders on chestnut leaves was determined as $F_e / (S_f \times t)$, in which F_e is the leaf consumption by shredders; S_f is the dry mass of shredders at time t (5 days). The leaf consumption by shredders was calculated as $F_e = (F_i - F_f) - (F_i \times (D_i - D_f) / D_i)$, where F_i and F_f are the initial and final dry mass of the microbially-colonized chestnut leaves provided to shredders; and D_i and D_f are the initial and the final dry mass of microbiallycolonized chestnut leaves inaccessible to shredders (Pradhan et al. 2015a).

237 **2.9. Statistical analyses**

Two-way ANOVAs were applied to evaluate the effects of the concentration (0.25, 1, 10, 50 and 150 mg L⁻¹) and type of ENMs (nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ or nano-CoFe₂O₄) on leaf mass loss, fungal biomass and activities of antioxidant enzymes of microbial communities on leaves. Two-way ANOVAs were also applied to analyse the effects of the concentration (1 and 50 mg L⁻¹) and type of ENMs on the feeding rate of the shredders. ANOVAs were followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons post-hoc tests. The analyses were performed with Prism 7.0 (GraphPad software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

245

246 **3. RESULTS**

247 **3.1. Characterization of ENMs**

The TEM images (Fig. 1A-C) showed that i) the nano-TiO₂ exhibited an irregular 248 morphology with an average size of the primary particles (PPs) ~20 nm (Fig. 1A); ii) the 249 nano-Er:TiO₂ also had an irregular morphology (rectangular flat-face structure) with an 250 average ~10 nm size of the PPs (Fig. 1B); and iii) the nano-CoFe₂O₄ were circular and 251 irregular forming agglomerates with an estimated average size of ~200 nm (Fig. 1C). The 252 XRD diffractogram showed intense peaks at $2\theta \approx 25.39^\circ$, 37.13° , 37.89° , 38.65° , 48.09° , 253 53.99°, 55.15° and 62.81°, with respective assigned planes (101), (103), (004), (112), (200), 254 255 (105), (211) and (118) ascribed to anatase phase (Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standard - JCPDS Card no. 21-1272). Additionally, the reflexes with distributed planes at 2θ 256 $\approx 27.49^{\circ}$ (110), 36.15° (101) and 56.65° (220) suggested the standard spectrum of rutile (R) 257 258 phase (JCPDS Card no. 88-1175). Similarly, for nano-Er:TiO₂, the obtained reflexes with distributed planes at $2\theta = 25.3^{\circ}$ (101), 37.8° (004), 48.0° (200), 53.9° (105), 55.1° (204) and 259 62.7° (116) (Fig. 1E) corresponded to the titanium crystal structure characteristics of anatase 260 phase in agreement with JCPDS (2000) (Martins et al. 2014). The nano-CoFe₂O₄ showed 261 reflexes with distributed planes at $2\theta = 18.6^{\circ}$ (111), 30.4° (220), 35.7° (311), 43.4° (400), 262 53.8° (422), 57.2° (511) and 62.9° (440) (JCPDS Card no. 22-1086) (Fig. 1F), corresponding 263 to a cubic phase (Habibi and Parhizkar 2015). The DLS revealed that the average 264 hydrodynamic diameter (HDD) of the nano-TiO₂ and nano-Er:TiO₂ were 222.1 \pm 4 nm and 265 266 241.5 ± 23 nm, respectively, whereas the mean HDD of the nano-CoFe₂O₄ was 472.6 ± 48 nm (Fig. 2A-C). The polydispersity index (PdI) of the nano-TiO₂ was 0.243 ± 0.011 ; whereas 267 the PdI of the nano-Er:TiO₂ and the nano-CoFe₂O₄ were 0.449 ± 0.019 and 0.467 ± 0.047 , 268 269 respectively. The mean zeta potential (ζ) of the ENMs varied with pH (Fig. 2D-F); at the exposure pH (5.8), the zeta potential of the nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ were 270 17.5 mV, -16 mV and 20 mV, respectively (Fig. 2D-F). 271

3.2. Effects of ENMs on decomposition of chestnut leaves and fungal biomass

After 3 weeks of exposure, the concentration and type of ENMs did not show any significant effect on the leaf mass loss driven by microbes, as the remaining mass under treatments did not differ from control (two-way ANOVA, *P*>0.05) (Fig. 3A). Also, fungal biomass was not significantly affected by the concentration or type of ENMs (two-way ANOVA, *P*>0.05) (Fig. 3B).

278 **3.3.** Responses of antioxidant enzymes of microbial decomposer communities

In control microcosms, CAT activity of microbial communities on leaves was 11.5 μ mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein (Fig. 4A). The concentration and type of ENMs significantly affected the activity of CAT (two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.0001). CAT activity strongly increased at all concentrations of all ENMs (*P*<0.05), except for the lowest concentration (0.25 mg L⁻¹) of nano-Er:TiO₂ (*P*>0.05). The maximum increase was obtained at the highest concentration (150 mg L⁻¹) of nano-TiO₂ (837.5%), followed by nano-CoFe₂O₄ (693.9%) and nano-Er:TiO₂ (589.6%) (Fig. 4A).

In the control, the GPx activity of microbial decomposers was 139.5 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein. The activity increased significantly upon exposure to different concentrations and types of ENMs (two-way ANOVA, P<0.0001; Fig. 4B). At the lowest concentration, the GPx activity significantly increased under exposure to nano-CoFe₂O₄ (230.5%; P<0.05) and nano-TiO₂ (173.2%; P<0.05), but not to nano-Er:TiO₂ (P>0.05). At the highest concentration, nano-TiO₂ led to the maximum increase in GPx activity (1546.8%), while nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ increased the activity to 503.1% and 496.3%, respectively (Fig. 4B).

In the control, the activity of GST in microbial communities was 11.2 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein. GST activity was significantly stimulated by increased concentration and varied with the type of ENMs (two-way ANOVA, P<0.0001; Fig. 4C). The activity of GST increased in a dose-dependent manner under exposure to all ENMs (P<0.05), except at the lowest concentration of nano-Er:TiO₂ (P>0.05). The maximum increase in GST activity was observed upon exposure to the highest concentration of nano-TiO₂ (1154.6%), followed by nano-CoFe₂O₄ (814.6%) and nano-Er:TiO₂ (539.9%) (Fig. 4C).

300 3.4. Effects of ENMs on the feeding rate of invertebrate shredders

After 5 days, in the absence of ENMs, the feeding rate of invertebrate shredders on 301 microbially-colonized leaves was 0.15 mg leaf mass mg⁻¹ animal mass day⁻¹ (Fig. 5). The 302 shredder feeding rate was affected significantly by the concentration of ENMs (two-way 303 ANOVA, P < 0.0001) irrespective of their type (P > 0.05), when animals were fed on 304 contaminated leaves (via food, Fig 5A). The exposure to 1 mg L⁻¹ of nano-Er:TiO₂, nano-305 TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ via food led to 86.3%, 88.8% and 89.3% inhibition (P<0.05) in the 306 feeding rates, respectively. The exposure at 50 mg L^{-1} also led to a severe inhibition (P<0.05) 307 in the feeding rate by nano-Er:TiO₂ (99.3%), followed by nano-CoFe₂O₄ (90.7%) and nano-308 TiO₂ (90.3%) (Fig. 5A). When exposure occurred via contaminated water, the feeding rate of 309 shredders was affected by the concentration of ENMs (two-way ANOVA, P<0.0005), but not 310 by the ENM type (P>0.05) (Fig. 5B). The waterborne exposure to ENMs at 1 mg L⁻¹ led to a 311 significant decrease (P < 0.05) in the feeding rate of shredders (up to 77.8% for nano-Er:TiO₂), 312 and the inhibition was maximum when shredders were exposed to 50 mg L^{-1} of nano-313 CoFe₂O₄ (84%) (Fig. 5B). 314

315

316 4. DISCUSSION

Our study showed for the first time that photocatalytic and magnetic ENMs can affect key players involved in organic matter breakdown in streams, such as the microbial decomposers of plant litter and the invertebrate shredders. Stimulation of antioxidant enzymatic activities in microbial communities was found, but the effects depended on the dose and type of the ENMs. However, unlike hypothesized, the biomass of fungal communities and leaf litter 322 decomposition driven by microbes were not affected by ENMs. The absence of effects on the biomass of fungal communities was found earlier after short- and long-term exposure to 323 metals (Duarte et al. 2004; Duarte et al. 2009) or nanometals (Pradhan et al. 2011). These 324 325 results might be the consequence of i) triggering physiological acclimation mechanisms in fungi, ii) decreasing the direct contact between fungal mycelia and ENMs with the plant litter 326 tissues acting as a physical barrier, and/or iii) shifting towards a better adapted microbial 327 community (Fernandes et al. 2009; Pradhan et al. 2014). In our study, the absence of effects 328 of ENMs on microbial decomposition might be explained by the non-effects on fungal 329 330 biomass since fungi are considered the major microbial decomposers of plant litter (Graça 2001; Pascoal and Cássio 2004). 331

Despite the minimal effects of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ on fungal 332 333 biomass or microbial decomposition, our study clearly unravelled sublethal effects of these ENMs on microbial decomposers of plant litter. The strong responses of enzymatic stress 334 biomarkers in microbial communities suggest that they were under oxidative stress. The 335 activities of antioxidant enzymes from the ascorbate-glutathione cycle play active role in 336 cellular defense against reactive oxygen species (ROS), preventing the cellular damage and 337 maintaining the cellular redox homeostasis (Ayer et al. 2014); hence our results reinforce the 338 role of these enzymes as early warning biomarkers of oxidative stress induced by EMNs 339 (nano-CuO: Pradhan et el. 2015b; nano-Ag: Barros et al. 2019a; Barros et al. 2019b). In our 340 341 study, metal oxide nanoparticles significantly induced the activities of CAT, GPx and GST in microbial decomposer communities at ≥ 0.5 mg L⁻¹. Microbial decomposers exposed to 342 nano-TiO₂ exhibited the highest enzymatic activities, suggesting intense oxidative stress. 343 344 Negative impacts of nano-TiO₂ on freshwater planktonic and biofilm communities were associated with increased activities of stress biomarkers, and damages in cell-membrane and 345 DNA due to intracellular accumulation of ROS under light (Battin et al. 2009; Wang et al. 346

347 2019). ROS can be generated from the surface of the photoexcited nano-TiO₂ (Li et al. 348 2014a). However, that was not the case in our study as we clearly showed that the induced 349 stress by nano-TiO₂ to microbial decomposers occurred in the dark, without any 350 photocatalytic interference. Also, nano-TiO₂ was able to induce oxidative stress and lipid 351 peroxidation in bacteria in the absence of light (Kumari et al. 2014; Erdem et al. 2015).

In the present study, nano-CoFe₂O₄ and nano-Er:TiO₂ also increased enzymatic biomarker 352 activities in microbial decomposers, denoting oxidative stress. The information on the 353 behaviour of nano-CoFe₂O₄ and nano-Er:TiO₂ in freshwater environments is scarce. 354 355 However, the adsorption of nano-CoFe₂O₄ to the microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* caused severe oxidative damage through the production of intracellular ROS leading to accelerated lipid 356 peroxidation and increased activities of CAT and GST (Ahmad et al. 2015b). In our study, 357 358 the lowest oxidative stress was induced by Er-doped nano-TiO₂ as indicated by the level of biomarker activities in freshwater microbes. However, the nano-Er:TiO₂ is likely to perform 359 higher photocatalytic activity than non-doped nano-TiO₂ (Martins et al. 2014), which in turn 360 may cause severe oxidative damage in the presence of light. 361

In our study, metal ions released from the surface of ENMs might have played a role in 362 inducing oxidative stress; however, the underlying mechanisms in the absence of light are not 363 clear. Depending on the environmental conditions, nano-TiO₂ can release Ti⁴⁺ ions from the 364 nanoparticle surface. In fact, enhanced attachment of nano-TiO₂ to the microbial cell surface 365 may occur in dark (Dalai et al. 2012) which may lead to the release of Ti⁴⁺ from nanoparticles 366 outside the cells (Dasari and Hwang 2013). In our study, physicochemical characterization 367 (based on TEM and XRD) showed that the primary particles of nano-Er:TiO₂ and nano-TiO₂ 368 369 were smaller than nano-CoFe₂O₄; whereas the hydrodynamic size, PdI and zeta potential data indicated relatively lower agglomeration and higher dispersity and stability of nano-TiO₂ in 370 suspensions, explaining the strongest effects of these nanoparticles among all tested ENMs. 371

372 In our study, the possible action mechanisms of nano-TiO₂ might have involved the following steps: i) interaction and adsorption of nanoparticles to microbes, ii) release of Ti⁴⁺ ions from 373 surface of the outer membrane-localized nanoparticles and internalization of the ions by the 374 cells, iii) partial internalization of the nanoparticles, iv) release of Ti⁴⁺ ions in acidic condition 375 of the lysosome-like organelles, and v) reduction of Ti^{4+} to Ti^{3+} by peroxides via pseudo-376 Fenton-type reaction and reoxidation (Ti⁴⁺ + H₂O₂ \rightarrow Ti³⁺ + OH⁻ + •OH; Ti³⁺ + O₂ \rightarrow Ti⁴⁺ + 377 $\cdot O_2^{-}$), resulting in ROS generation that induced oxidative stress (Dodd and Jha 2011; Dalai et 378 al. 2012; Pradhan et al. 2015b; Liu et al. 2017). Similar mechanisms are expected for nano-379 380 Er:TiO₂; but their relatively lesser stability and higher agglomeration compared to the bare nano-TiO₂ might have contributed to induce less oxidative stress in microbial decomposer 381 communities. Moreover, the doping with Er might have decreased the surface release of Ti⁴⁺ 382 383 ions. On the other hand, relatively greater primary particle size and higher agglomeration of nano-CoFe₂O₄ might have led to the less negative effects of these nanoparticles. These 384 magnetic nanoparticles might have been attached to microbial cells, and Co^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions 385 386 released from the surface of the nanoparticles could be internalized by the cells where the ions might have undergone pseudo-Fenton-type reactions to generate ROS and induce 387 oxidative stress (Novak et al. 2013; Ahmad et al. 2015b; Pradhan et al. 2015b). Co²⁺ ions 388 appeared to be more toxic than nano-CoFe₂O₄, and intracellular accumulation of Co²⁺ have 389 390 been shown while nano-CoFe₂O₄ were not retained in vivo (Novak et al. 2013).

Our results also showed that photocatalytic and magnetic ENMs can affect stream invertebrate shredder performances. Negative effects of nano-TiO₂ on freshwater invertebrates were reported earlier (Menard et al. 2011; Girardello et al. 2016). Changes in the feeding activity of invertebrates may have dramatic ecological consequences and have often been used to assess sublethal effects of nano-metal oxides (Buffet et al. 2011; Pradhan et al. 2012; Pradhan et al. 2015a). In the present study, the feeding rate of *Sericostoma* sp. on microbially colonized leaves in the absence of ENMs was within the conventional range (0.04-0.5 mg leaf mass mg⁻¹animal mass day⁻¹) documented for invertebrate shredders in streams (Arsuffi and Suberkropp 1989). The feeding rate decreased significantly upon exposure to all ENMs, even at the lowest concentration (1 mg L⁻¹) via contaminated food or water. The lowest observed effect concentration on shredder feeding rate in our study was similar to the hazard concentration (HC₅₀: 1.1 mg L⁻¹) of nano-TiO₂ estimated for freshwater secondary consumers, predominantly invertebrates (Semenzin et al. 2015).

The reduced feeding rate of the shredders probably resulted from the food avoidance 404 405 behaviour (Wilding and Maltby 2006; Pradhan et al. 2012; Pradhan et al. 2015a). In our study, the effects of ENMs on feeding rate via contaminated leaves were more pronounced 406 than via contaminated water, which was probably due to the decreased quality and 407 408 palatability of the chestnut leaves after 21 days of exposure to the ENMs. The exposure to ENMs might have led to high adsorption and accumulation of metals and/or nanoparticles to 409 leaves (Pradhan et al. 2012) and aquatic fungi (Barros et al. 2019b). Indeed, an earlier study 410 411 on trophic transfer of nano-TiO₂ in freshwaters demonstrated that, comparing to aqueous exposure, the dietary intake could constitute the main route of ENM exposure to higher 412 trophic levels (Zhu et al. 2010). In addition to the decrease in food quality, the aqueous or 413 dietary exposure of shredders to ENMs probably led to their accumulation in the gut, 414 inducing oxidative stress to the invertebrate shredders (Pradhan et al. 2015a; Girardello et al. 415 416 2016).

In our study, the adverse effects of ENMs on microbial decomposers and invertebrate shredders in stream detrital food web were observed even at concentrations predicted to be environmentally relevant (Gottschalk et al. 2013; Xia et al. 2017). On the other hand, the effects of ENMs at higher concentrations may mimic the conditions of wastewaters, mine421 drainage streams or accidental spills and, therefore, are also relevant to be considered for422 environmental safety.

423

424 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

Overall, the responses of enzymatic biomarkers revealed that nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂, and 425 nano-CoFe₂O₄ induced oxidative stress in microbial decomposer communities involved in the 426 decomposition of plant litter in streams. The effects increased in a dose-dependent manner for 427 all ENMs, although the effects of nano- TiO_2 were the most pronounced. All three ENMs 428 429 were able to decrease the feeding rate of the invertebrate shredder Sericostoma sp. via aqueous and dietary exposure. The effects on the feeding rate were stronger when the 430 shredders were exposed to ENMs via contaminated food (leaves). To our knowledge, our 431 432 study is the first to show the harmful effects of erbium-doped nano-TiO₂ and nano-CoFe₂O₄ on microbial decomposer communities and invertebrate shredders with a key role in detrital 433 food webs in streams. Our study also provided evidence that photocatalytic and magnetic 434 ENMs can induce negative effects even in the absence of light at predicted environmentally 435 relevant concentrations. These findings pinpoint that stream detrital food webs may have 436 potential for ecological risk assessment of emergent contaminants in complex realistic 437 environments. 438

439

440 Declaration of competing interests

441 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal442 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

443 Acknowledgement

This research study was supported by the strategic programme UID/BIA/04050/2019,
UID/FIS/04650/2019 and the project Emergemix (PTDC/BIA-BMA/30922/2017) funded by
national funds through Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) I.P. and by
ERDF via COMPETE2020 – Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização.

448

449

450 **REFERENCES**:

- Ahmad F, Liu X, Zhou Y, Yao H. 2015a. An in vivo evaluation of acute toxicity of cobalt
 ferrite (CoFe₂O₄) nanoparticles in larval-embryo Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Aquat
 Toxicol 166:21–28. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.07.003</u>
- Ahmad F, Yao H, Zhou Y, Liu X. 2015b. Toxicity of cobalt ferrite (CoFe₂O₄) nanobeads in *Chlorella vulgaris*: interaction, adaptation and oxidative stress. Chemosphere
 139:479–485. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.08.008</u>
- 457 Arsuffi TL, Suberkropp K. 1989. Selective feeding by shredders on leaf-colonizing stream
 458 fungi: comparison of macroinvertebrate taxa. Oecologia 79:30–37. DOI:
 459 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00378236
- Ayer A, Gourlay CW, Dawes IW. 2014. Cellular redox homeostasis, reactive oxygen species
 and replicative ageing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEMS Yeast Res 14:60–72 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1567-1364.12114
- Barros D, Pradhan A, Mendes VM, Manadas B, Santos PM, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2019a.
 Proteomics and antioxidant enzymes revealdifferent mechanisms of toxicity induced
 by ionicand nanoparticulate silver in bacteria. Environ Sci: Nano 6:1207–1218. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c8en01067f
- Barros D, Pradhan A, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2019b. Proteomic responses to silver
 nanoparticles vary with the fungal ecotype. Sci Total Environ 704:135385. DOI:
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135385</u>
- Battin TJ, Kammer FVD, Weilhartner A, Ottofuelling S, Hofmann T. 2009. Nanostructured
 TiO₂: transport behavior and effects on aquatic microbial communities under
 environmental conditions. Environ Sci Technol 43:8098–8104. DOI:
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es9017046</u>

- Bonada N, Zamora-Muñoz C, El Alami M, Múrria C, Prat N. 2008. New records of
 trichoptera in reference Mediterranean-climate rivers of the Iberian Peninsula and
 north of Africa: taxonomical, faunistical and ecological aspects. Graellsia 64:189–
 208. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3989/graellsia.2008.v64.i2.32
- Bradford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram
 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem
 72:248–254. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/abio.1976.9999</u>
- Buffet PE, Tankoua OF, Pan J-F, Berhanu D, Herrenknecht C, Poirier L, Amiard-Triquet C,
 Amiard JC, Bérard JB, Risso C, Guibbolini M, Roméo M, Reip P, Valsami-Jones E,
 Mouneyrac C. 2011. Behavioural and biochemicalresponses of two marine
 invertebrates *Scrobicularia plana* and *Hediste diversicolor* to copper oxide
 nanoparticles. Chemosphere 84:166–174. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.003
- 487 Cardoso VF, Francesko A, Ribeiro C, Bañobre-López M, Martins P, Lanceros-Mendez S.
 488 2018. Advances in magnetic nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Adv Healthc
 489 Mater 7:1700845, pp 35. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201700845</u>
- Chen X, Mao SS. 2007. Titanium dioxide nanomaterials: synthesis, properties, modifications,
 and applications. Chem Rev 107:2891–2959. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr0500535
- Clairborne A. 1985. Catalase activity. In: Greenwald RA (Ed.), CRC Handbook of methods
 in oxygen radical research. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 495 Dalai S, Pakrashi S, Kumar RSS, Chandrasekaran N, Mukherjee A. 2012. A comparative
 496 cytotoxicity study of TiO₂ nanoparticles under light and dark conditions at low
 497 exposure concentrations. Toxicol Res 1:116–130. DOI:
 498 http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2tx00012a
- 499 Dasari TP, Hwang HM. 2013. Effect of humic acids and sunlight on the cytotoxicity of
 500 engineered zinc oxide and titanium dioxide nanoparticles to a river bacterial
 501 assemblage. J Environ Sci (China) 25:1925–1935. DOI:
 502 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1001-0742(12)60271-x
- Dodd NJ, Jha AN. 2011. Photoexcitation of aqueous suspensions of titanium dioxide
 nanoparticles: an electron spin resonance spin trapping study of potentially oxidative
 reactions. Photochem Photobiol 87:632–640. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-</u>
 1097.2011.00897.x

- 507 Duarte S, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2004. Effects of zinc on leaf decomposition by fungi in
 508 streams: studies in microcosms. Microb Ecol 48:366–374. DOI:
 509 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-003-2032-5
- Duarte S, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2009. Functional stability of stream-dwelling microbial
 decomposers exposed to copper and zinc stress. Freshw Biol 54:1683–1691. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02217.x
- Erdem A, Metzler D, Cha DK, Huang CP. 2015. The short-term toxic effects of TiO₂
 nanoparticles toward bacteria through viability, cellular respiration, and lipid
 peroxidation. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:17917–17924. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5018-1
- European Commission, 2016. Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/1143 of 13 July 2016
 amending Annex VI to Regulation (EC) No 1223/2009 of the European Parliament
 and of the Council on cosmetic products (Text with EEA relevance). Off J Eur Union,
 L 189/40.
- Federici G, Shaw BJ, Handy RD. 2007. Toxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles to rainbow
 trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): gill injury, oxidative stress, and other physiological
 effects. Aquat Toxicol 84:415–430. DOI:
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2007.07.009</u>
- Fernandes I, Duarte S, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2009. Mixtures of zinc and phosphate affect leaf
 litter decomposition by aquatic fungi in streams. Sci Total Environ 407:4283–4288.
 DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.04.007</u>
- Flohé L, Günzler WA. 1984. Assays of glutathione peroxidase. Methods Enzymol 105:114–
 121. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(84)05015-1</u>
- Gessner MO, Chauvet E. 1993. Ergosterol-to-biomass conversion factors for aquatic
 hyphomycetes. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:502–507.
- GirardelloF, Custódio Leite C, Vianna Villela I, da Silva Machado M, Luiz Mendes Juchem
 A, Roesch-Ely M, Neves Fernandes A, Salvador M, Antonio Pêgas Henriques J.
 2016. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce genotoxicity but notmutagenicity in
 golden mussel *Limnoperna fortune*. Aquatic Toxicol 170:223–228. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.11.030
- Gomez V, Balu AM, Serrano-Ruiz JC, Irusta S, Dionysiou DD, Luque R, Santamaría J. 2012.
 Microwave-assisted mild temperature preparation of neodymium-doped titania for the
 improved photodegradation of water contaminants. Appl Catal A 441–442:47–53.
 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2012.07.003

- 541 Gottschalk F, Sun TY, Nowack B. 2013. Environmental concentrations of engineered
 542 nanomaterials: reviewof modeling and analytical studies. Environ Pollut 181:287–
 543 300. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.06.003
- Graça MAS. 2001. The role of invertebrates on leaf litter decomposition in streams a
 review. Internat Rev Hydrobiol 86:383–393. DOI: <u>http://doi.org/10.1002/1522-</u>
 2632(200107)86:4/5<383::AID-IROH383>3.0.CO;2-D
- Habibi MH, Parhizkar J. 2015. Cobalt ferrite nano-composite coated on glass by Doctor
 Blade method for photo-catalytic degradation of an azo textile dye Reactive Red 4:
 XRD, FESEM and DRS investigations. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc
 150:879–885. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2015.06.040</u>
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB. 1974. Glutathione S-transferases first enzymatic step in
 mercapturic acid formation. J Biol Chem 249:7130–7139.
- Kaegi R, Ulrich A, Sinnet B, Vonbank R, Wichser A, Zuleeg S, Simmler H, Brunner S,
 Vonmont H, Burkhardt M. 2008. Synthetic TiO₂ nanoparticle emission from exterior
 facades into the aquatic environment. Environ Pollut 156:233–239. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.08.004
- Kiser M, Westerhoff P, Benn T, Wang Y, Perez-Rivera J, Hristovski K. 2009. Titanium
 nanomaterial removal and release from wastewater treatment plants. Environ Sci
 Technol 43:6757–6763. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es901102n</u>
- Kumari J, Kumar D, Mathur A, Naseer A, Kumar RR, Thanjavur Chandrasekaran P,
 Chaudhuri G, Pulimi M, Raichur AM, Babu S, Chandrasekaran N, Nagarajan R,
 Mukherjee A. 2014. Cytotoxicity of TiO₂ nanoparticles towards freshwater sediment
 microorganisms at low exposure concentrations. Environ Res 135:333–345. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.09.025
- Li M, Yin JJ, Wamer WG, Lo YM. 2014a. Mechanistic characterization of titanium dioxide
 nanoparticle-induced toxicity using electron spin resonance. J Food Drug Anal 22:76–
 85. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2014.01.006</u>
- Li S, Wallis LK, Ma H, Diamond SA. 2014b. Phototoxicity of TiO₂ nanoparticles to a
 freshwater benthic amphipod: Are benthic systems at risk? Sci Total Environ 466467:800–808. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.059</u>
- Liu Z, Wang T, Yu X, Geng Z, Sang Y, Liu H. 2017. *In situ* alternative switching between
 Ti⁴⁺ and Ti³⁺ driven by H₂O₂ in TiO₂ nanostructures: mechanism of pseudo-Fenton
 reaction. Mater Chem Front 1:1989–1994. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C7QM00163K

- 575 Martins PM, Gomez V, Lopes AC, Tavares CJ, Botelho G, Irusta S, Lanceros-Mendez S. 2014. Improving photocatalytic performance and recyclability by development of Er-576 doped and Er/Pr-codoped TiO₂/poly(vinylidenedifluoride)-trifluoroethylene 577 membranes. Phys Chem С 118:27944-27953. DOI: 578 composite J http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp509294v 579
- 580 Menard A, Drobne D, Jemec A. 2011. Ecotoxicity of nanosized TiO₂. Review of in vivo data.
 581 Environ Pollut 159: 677–684. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.11.027</u>
- Novak S, Drobne D, Golobič M, Zupanc J, Romih T, Gianoncelli A, Kiskinova M, Kaulich 582 B, Pelicon P, Vavpetič P, Jeromel L, Ogrinc N, Makovec D. 2013. Cellular 583 internalization of dissolved cobalt ions from ingested CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles: in vivo 584 evidence. Environ Sci Technol 47:5400-5408. 585 experimental DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es305132g 586
- Piccinno F, Gottschalk F, Seeger S, Nowack B. 2012. Industrial production quantities and
 uses of ten engineered nanomaterials in Europe and the world.J Nanopart Res
 14:1109, pp 11. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-012-1109-9
- 590 Pradhan A, Seena S, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2011. Can metal nanoparticles be a threat to
 591 microbial decomposers of plant litter in streams? Microb Ecol 62:58–68. DOI:
 592 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-011-9861-4</u>
- 593 Pradhan A, Seena S, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2012. Copper oxide nanoparticles can
 594 inducetoxicity to the freshwater shredder *Allogamus ligonifer*. Chemosphere
 595 89:1142–1150. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.06.001</u>
- Pradhan A, Seena S, Dobritzsch D, Helm S, Gerth K, Dobritzsch M, Krauss G-J, Schlosser
 D, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2014. Sci Total Environ 466–467:556–563. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.073
- Pradhan A, Geraldes P, Seena S, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2015a. Natural organic matter alters
 size-dependent effects of nanoCuO on the feeding behaviour of freshwater
 invertebrate shredders. Sci Total Environ 535:94–101. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.096
- Pradhan A, Seena S, Schlosser D, Gerth K, Helm S, Dobritzsch M, Krauss G-J, Dobritzsch D, Pascoal C, Cássio F. 2015b. Fungi from metal-polluted streams may have high ability to cope with the oxidative stress induced by copper oxide nanoparticles.
 Environ Toxicol Chem 34:923–930. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.2879</u>
- Rickerby DG. 2014. Nanostructured titanium dioxide for photocatalytic water treatment. In:
 Kharisov BI, Kharissova OV, Dias HVR (Eds), Nanomaterials for environmental

- protection. John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey, pp 169–182. DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118845530.ch10
- Schaumann GE, Philippe A, Bundschuh M, Metreveli G, Klitzke S, Rakcheev D, Grün A,
 Kumahor SK, Kühn M, Baumann T, Lang F, Manz W, Schulz R, Vogel HJ, 2015.
 Understanding the fate and biological effects of Ag and TiO₂-nanoparticles in the
 environment: the quest for advanced analytics and interdisciplinary concepts. Sci
 Total Environ 535:3–19. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.035
- Semenzin E, Lanzellotto E, Hristozov D, Critto A, Zabeo A, Giubilato E, Marcomini A.
 2015. Species sensitivity weighted distribution for ecological risk assessment of
 engineered nanomaterials: the n-TiO₂ case study. Environ Toxicol Chem34:2644–
 2659. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.3103
- Sharma P, Sharma A, Sharma M, Bhalla N, Estrela P, Jain A, Thakur P, Thakur A. 2017.
 Nanomaterial fungicides: in vitro and in vivo antimycotic activity of cobalt and nickel
 nanoferrites on phytopathogenic fungi. Global Challenges 1700041, pp 7. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201700041
- Srinivasan SY, Paknikar KM, Bodas D, Gajbhiye V. 2018. Applications of cobalt ferrite
 nanoparticles in biomedical nanotechnology. Nanomedicine (Lond) 13:1221–1238.
 DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/nnm-2017-0379</u>
- Srivastava V, Kohout T, Sillanpää M. 2016. Potential of cobalt ferrite nanoparticles
 (CoFe₂O₄) for remediation of hexavalent chromium from synthetic and printing press
 wastewater. J Environ Chem Eng 4:2922–2932. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.06.002
- 631 Stark WJ, Stoessel PR, Wohlleben W, Hafner A. 2015. Industrial applications of
 632 nanoparticles. Chem Soc Rev 44:5793–5805. DOI:
 633 http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c4cs00362d
- Tian J, Zhao Z, Kumar A, Boughton RI, Liu H. 2014. Recent progress in design, synthesis,
 and applications of one-dimensional TiO2 nanostructured surface heterostructures: a
 review. Chem Soc Rev 43:6920–6937. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c4cs00180j
- Tlili A, Cornut J, Behra R, Gil-Allué C, Gessner MO. 2016. Harmful effects of silver
 nanoparticles on a complex detrital model system. Nanotoxicology 10:728–735. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2015.1117673
- Varandas SG, Cortes RMV. 2010. Evaluating macroinvertebrate biological metrics for
 ecological assessment of streams in northern Portugal. Environ Monit Assess
 166:201–221. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-009-0996-4

- Vieira LR, Gravato C, Soares AM, Morgado F, Guilhermino L. 2009. Acute effects of copper
 and mercury on the estuarine fish *Pomatoschistus microps*: linking biomarkers to
 behaviour. Chemosphere 76:1416–1427. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.06.005
- Wang P, Li K, Qian J, Wang C, Lu B, Tian X, Jin W, He X. 2019. Differential toxicity of 647 anatase and rutile TiO₂ nanoparticles to the antioxidant enzyme system and metabolic 648 activities of freshwater biofilms based on microelectrodes and fluorescence in situ 649 Sci: Nano 6: 2626-2640. hybridization. Environ DOI: 650 651 http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c9en00389d
- Weir A, Westerhoff P, Fabricius L, Hristovski K, von Goetz N. 2012. Titanium dioxide
 nanoparticles in food and personal care products. Environ Sci Technol 46:2242–2250.
 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es204168d
- Westerhoff P, Song G, Hristovski K, Kiser MA. 2011. Occurrence and removal of titanium at
 full scale wastewater treatment plants: implications for TiO₂ nanomaterials. J Environ
 Monit 13:1195–1203. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1em10017c
- Wilding J, Maltby L. 2006. Relative toxicological importance of aqueous anddietary metal
 exposure to a freshwater crustacean: implication for risk assessment. Environ Toxicol
 Chem 25:1795–1801. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/05-316r1.1</u>
- Kia B, Zhu L, Han Q, Sun X, Chen B, Qu K. 2017. Effects of TiO2 nanoparticles at predicted
 environmental relevantconcentration on the marine scallop *Chlamys farreri*: an
 integratedbiomarker approach. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 50:128–135. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2017.01.016
- Yavari S, Mahmodi NM, Teymouri P, Shahmoradi B, Maleki A. 2016. Cobalt ferrite
 nanoparticles: Preparation, characterization and anionic dye removal capability. J
 Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 59:320–329. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2015.08.011
- Zhu X, Wang J, Zhang X, Chang Y, Chen Y. 2010. Trophic transfer of TiO₂nanoparticles
 from daphnia to zebrafishin a simplified freshwater food chain. Chemosphere 79:928–
 933. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.03.022</u>
- 672

673 **FIGURE LEGENDS**:

Figure 1 TEM micrographs of nano-TiO₂ (A), nano-Er:TiO₂ (B), and nano-CoFe₂O₄ (C).
XRD patterns of nano-TiO₂ (D), nano-Er:TiO₂ (E), and nano-CoFe₂O₄ (F).

Figure 2 Hydrodynamic size distributions of nano-TiO₂ (A), nano-Er:TiO₂ (B), and nano-CoFe₂O₄(C) based on dynamic light scattering. Zeta potential of nano-TiO₂ (D), nano-Er:TiO₂ (E), and nano-CoFe₂O₄ (F) at varying pH.

Figure 3 Dry mass of decomposing chestnut leaves after exposure (21 days) to different concentrations of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂, and nano-CoFe₂O₄ (A). Fungal biomass on decomposing chestnut leaves after exposure (for 21 days) to different concentrations of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂, and nano-CoFe₂O₄ (B). Mean \pm SEM, n = 3.

Figure 4 Activities of CAT (A), GPx (B) and GST (C) in microbial decomposer communities on chestnut leaves, after exposure (21 days) to different concentrations of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂, and nano-CoFe₂O₄ (B). Different letters suggest significant differences (P<0.05). Mean ± SEM, n = 3.

Figure 5 Feeding rate of the stream invertebrate shredder *Sericostoma* sp. after exposure (5 days) to different concentrations of nano-TiO₂, nano-Er:TiO₂, and nano-CoFe₂O₄ via contaminated leaves (A) or via contaminated water (B). Different letters suggest significant differences (P<0.05). Mean ± SEM, n = 3.