

REFERÊNCIAS

- Álvarez, V. *et al.* (1997). Destrezas Argumentativas en Física: un estudio de caso utilizando problemas sobre flotación. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, número extra, V Congreso, 275-276.
- Andersson, B. & Wallin, A. (2000). Students' Understanding of the Greenhouse Effect, the Societal Consequences of Reducing CO₂ Emissions and the Problem of Ozone Layer Depletion. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(10), 1096-1111.
- Bell, B. (2005). *Learning in Science: The Waikato Research*. Londres: Routledge Falmer.
- Billig, M. (1996). *Arguing and Thinking: a rethorical approach to social psychology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Boavida, A. (2005). *A argumentação em Matemática: investigando o trabalho de duas professoras em contexto de colaboração*. Tese de Doutoramento (não publicada). Universidade de Lisboa.
- Boulter, C. & Gilbert, J. (1995). Argument and Science Education. *In* P. Costello & S. Mitchell (Eds.). *Competing and Consensual Voices: The Theory and Practice of Argument*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd, 84-98.
- Bourn, D. (2004). Development education and science education. *School Science Review*, 86(314), 87-92.
- Boyes, E. & Stainstreet, M. (1993). The greenhouse effect: children's perceptions of causes, consequences and cures. *International Journal of Science Education*, 15(5), 531-552.
- Bricker, L. & Bell, P. (2008). Conceptualizations of Argumentation from science studies and the learning sciences and their implication for the practices of science education. *Science Education*, 92, 473-498.
- Boyes, E. *et al.* (1999). The ideas of greek high school students about the Ozone Layer. *Learning*, 724-737

- Brink-Budgen, R. (2004). *Critical Thinking for Students*. Oxford: Howtobooks.
- Brown, B. & Spang, E. (2007). Double Talk: Synthesizing everyday and science language in the classroom. *Science Education*, 1-25.
- Cachapuz, A. et al. (2002). *Ciência, Educação em Ciência e Ensino das Ciências*. Lisboa: Ministério da Educação.
- Canavarro, J. (1999). *Ciência e Sociedade*. Coimbra: Quarteto editora.
- Clark, D. & Sampson, V. (2008). Assessing dialogic argumentation in online environments to relate structure, grounds, and conceptual quality. *Journal of Research in Science Education*, 45(3), 293-321.
- Claxton, G. (1991a). Chapter one: Science for all. In Guy Claxton (Ed.). *Educating the Inquiring Mind: The Challenge for School Science*. Londres: Harvester Wheatsheaf, 1-20.
- Claxton, G. (1991b). Chapter six: What are we teaching for? In Guy Claxton (Ed.). *Educating the Inquiring Mind: The Challenge for School Science*. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf, 109-127.
- Davies, I. (2004). Science and citizenship education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26(14), 1751-1763.
- Departamento da Educação Básica (2001a). *Currículo Nacional do Ensino Básico – Competências Essenciais*. Lisboa: Ministério da Educação.
- Departamento da Educação Básica (2001b). *Ciências Físicas e Naturais-Orientações Curriculares para o 3º Ciclo do Ensino Básico*. Lisboa: Ministério da Educação.
- Díaz Bustamante, J. (1999). *Problemas de Aprendizaje en la Interpretación de observaciones de estructuras biológicas con el microscopio*. Tese de Doutoramento (não publicada), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela.
- Driver, R., Newton, P. & Osborne, J. (2000). Establishing the Norms of Scientific Argumentation in Classrooms. *Science Education*, 3 (84), 287-312.

- Duschl, R. & Osborne, J. (2002). Supporting and Promoting Argumentation Discourse in Science Education. *Studies in Science Education*, 38, 39-72.
- Erduran, S. & Osborne, J. (2005). Analysis 2 Developing arguments. In S. Alsop, L. Bencze & E. Pedretti (Eds.). *Analysing Exemplary Science Teaching: theoretical lenses and a spectrum of possibilities for practice*. Londres: Open University Press, 106-115.
- Erduran, S., Simon, S. & Osborne, J. (2004). TAPping into Argumentation: Developments in the Application of Toulmin's Argument Pattern for Studying Science Discourses. *Science Education*, 6 (88), 915-933.
- Felton, M. (2003). The development of discourse strategies in adolescent argumentation. *Cognitive Development*, 19, 35-52.
- Figueiroa, A. (2007). *As actividades laboratoriais e a explicação de fenómenos físicos: uma investigação centrada em manuais escolares, professores e alunos do Ensino Básico*. Tese de Doutoramento (não publicada). Universidade do Minho.
- Foddy, W. (1996). *Como Perguntar: Teoria e prática da construção de perguntas em entrevistas e questionários*. Oeiras: Celta Editora.
- Furió, C. et al. (2001). Finalidades de la enseñanza de las ciencias en la secundaria obligatoria. Alfabetización científica o preparación propedéutica?. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 19(3), 365-376.
- Glassner, A., Weinstock, M. & Neuman, Y. (2005). Pupils' evaluation and generation of evidence and explanation in argumentation. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 105-118.
- Ghiglione, R. & Matalon, B. (1997). *O Inquérito: Teoria e prática* (3ª Ed.). Oeiras: Celta Editora.
- Giordan, A. & Pellaud, F. (2001). *Faut-il encore enseigner les sciences?*. Comunicação apresentada no colóquio organizado pela SOACHIM (Société Quest Africaine de Chimie), Mali.

- Hogan, K. & Maglienti, M. (2001). Comparing the epistemological underpinnings of students' and scientists' reasoning about conclusions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38 (6), 663-687.
- IPCC (2001a). *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Summary for Policymakers. A Report of Working Group 1 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change - IPCC 3^a Assessment Report*. Disponível em: <http://www.ipcc.ch> (acedido em 2007/01/13)
- IPCC (2001b). *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Technical Summary. A Report of Working Group 1 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change - IPCC 3^a Assessment Report*. Disponível em: <http://www.ipcc.ch> (acedido em 2007/01/13)
- Jiménez Aleixandre, M. & Díaz Bustamante, J. (2003). Discurso de Aula Y Argumentación en la Clase de Ciencias: Cuestiones Teóricas y Metodológicas. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 21 (3), 359-370.
- Jiménez, A., Pereiro Muñoz, C. & Aznar Cuadrado, V. (2000). *Expertise, argumentation and scientific practice: a case study about environmental education in the 11th grade*. Comunicação apresentada no Encontro Anual da Research in Science Teaching, New Orleans, 28 de Abril a 1 de Maio.
- Kelly, G.; Regev, J. & Prothero, W. (2005). *Assessing lines of evidence with argumentation analysis*. Comunicação apresentada no Encontro Anual da National Association for Research in Science Teaching, Dallas, 4 a 7 de Abril.
- Kelly, G. & Takao, A. (2001). Epistemic levels in argument: an analysis of university oceanography students' use of evidence in writing. *Science Education*, 86, 314-342.
- Kerr, R. (2007). Climate Change: another global warming icon comes under attack. *Science*, 317, 28-29.
- Kolstø, S. *et al.* (2006). Science students' critical examination of scientific information related to socioscientific issues. *Science Education*, 90, 632-655.
- Kuhn, D. (1993). Science as Argument: Implications for Teaching and Learning Scientific Thinking. *Science Education*, 77(3), 319-337.

- Lee, S. & Lin, H. (2005). Using argumentation to investigate science teachers' teaching practices: the perspective of instructional decisions and justifications. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 3, 429-461.
- Lemke, J. (1990). *Talking Science: language, learning and values*. New Jersey: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Lester, B. *et al.* (2006). Social Activism in elementary science: A science technology and society approach to teach global warming. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28 (4), 315-339.
- Longbotton, J. & Butler, P. (1999). Why teach science? Setting rational goals for science education. *Science Education*, 83, 473-492.
- Manaham, S. (2000). *Environmental Chemistry*. Nova Iorque: Lewis Publishers.
- Martín Díaz, R. (2002). Enseñanza de las ciencias. Para qué?. *Revista Electrónica de Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 1(2).
- Marttunen, M. & Laurinen, L. (2001). Learning of argumentation skills in network and face-to-face environments. *Instructional Science*, 29, 127-153.
- Mason, L. & Santi, M. (1994). *Argumentation structure and metacognition in constructing shared knowledge at school*. Comunicação apresentada no Encontro Anual da American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, 4 a 8 de Abril.
- Mcmillan, J. & Schumacher S. (2001). *Research in Education: a conceptual introduction*. Nova Iorque: Longman
- McNeill, K. & Krajcik, J. (2008). Scientific Explanations: characterizing and evaluating the effects of teachers' instructional practices on student learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(1), 53-78.
- Naylor, S., Keogh, B. & Dowing, B. (2007). Argumentation and Primary Science. *Research in Science Education*, 37, 17-39.

- Newton, P., Driver, R. & Osborne, J. (1999). The place of argumentation in pedagogy of school science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 5(21), 553-576.
- Oléron, P. (1983). *A argumentação*. Lisboa: Publicações Europa-América.
- Österlind, K. (2005). Concept formation in environmental education: 14-year old work on the intensified greenhouse effect and the depletion of the ozone layer. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(8), 891-908.
- Patronis, T. *et al.* (1999). Students' argumentation in decision making on a socio-scientific issue: implications for teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 7(21), 745-754.
- Perelman, C. (1993). *O Império Retórico – retórica e argumentação*. Rio Tinto: Edições Asa.
- Gil Pérez, D. *et al.* (2005)Cuál es la importância de la educación científica en la sociedad actual? In Unesco (Eds.), *Como promover el interés por la cultura científica? Una propuesta didáctica fundamentada para la educación científica de jóvenes de 15 a 18 años*. Década de la Educación para el Desarrollo Sostenible. Santiago: Andros Impressores, 15-28
- Pinto-Ferreira, C. (coord.) (2007). PISA 2006 – Competências Científicas dos alunos Portugueses. Lisboa: GAVE do Ministério da Educação (<http://www.gave.min-edu.pt>)
- Ratcliffe, M. (1998). The purposes of science education. In Ratcliffe, M. (Ed.). *ASE guide to secondary science teaching*. Cheltenham: Stanley Thormes, 3-12.
- Ramalho, G. (coord.) (2003a). *PISA 2000 - Conceitos Fundamentais em Jogo na Avaliação de Literacia Científica e Competências dos Alunos Portugueses*. Lisboa: GAVE do Ministério da Educação.
- Ramalho, G. (coord.) (2003b). *Resultados do Estudo Internacional PISA 2003*. Lisboa: GAVE do Ministério da Educação.
- Rye, Rubba & Wiesenmayer (1997). An investigation of middle school students' alternative conceptions of global warming. *International Journal of Science Education*, 19(5), 527-551.

- Roth, W. & Lee, S. (2004). Science Education as/for participation in the community. *Science Education*, 88(2), 263-291.
- Sadler, T. & Fowler, S. (2006). A Threshold model of content knowledge transfer for socioscientific argumentation. *Science Education*, 90, 986-1004.
- Sardà Jorge, A. & Sanmartí Puig, N. (2000). Enseñar a argumentar científicamente: un reto de las clases de ciencias. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 18 (3), 405-422.
- Simon, S., Osborne, J. & Erduran (2003). Chapter II: Systematic teacher development to enhance the use of argumentation in school science activities. In Wallace & Loughran (Ed.). *Leadership and professional development in science education: new possibilities for enhancing teacher learning*. Londres: Routledge Falmer, 199-217.
- Simon, S., Erduran, S. & Osborne, J. (2006). Learning to teach argumentation: research and development in the science classroom. *International Journal of Science Education*, 2-3(28), 235-260.
- Solbes, J. & Vilches, A. (2004). Papel de las relaciones entre ciencia, tecnología, sociedad y ambiente en la formación ciudadana. *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 22(3), 337-348.
- Solomon, J. (1991). Group discussions in the classroom. *School Science Review*, 72(261), 26-34.
- Sterman, J. & Sweeney, L. (2002). *Cloudy Skies: Assessing public understanding of global warming*. *System Dynamics Review*, 18(2), 207-240.
- Stinner, A. (1992). Science textbooks and science teaching: from logic to evidence. *Science Education*, 76(1), 1-16.
- Sunal, C., Sunal, D. & Tirri, K. (2001). *Using evidence in scientific reasoning: exploring characteristics of middle school students' argumentation*. Comunicação apresentada no Encontro Anual da American Educational Research Association, Seattle, 10 a 14 de Abril.
- Toulmin, S. (1958). *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Von Aufschnaiter, C. *et al.* (2008). Arguing to Learn and Learning to Argue: Case Studies of How Students' Argumentation Relates to Their Scientific Knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45(1), 101-131.
- Wellington, J. (2002). What can science education do for citizenship and the future of the planet? *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 2(4), 553-561.
- Wellington, J. & Osborne, J. (2001). Discussion in School Science: learning science through talking. In J. Osborne & J. Wellington (orgs.). *Language and Literacy in Science Education*. Buckingham: Open University Press, 82-102.