

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, I would like to thank to my supervisor Professor Rosário Oliveira for her guidance, great support and kind advice throughout my PhD research studies. It was a real privilege and an honour for me to share of her exceptional scientific knowledge but also of her extraordinary human qualities.

I also would like to thank to my co-supervisor Doctor Pilar Teixeira for her constant support, availability and constructive suggestions, which were determinant for the accomplishment of the work presented in this thesis.

A special thank to Mariana, the first person who taught me what biofilms were and that throughout these years had always encouraging words and was always ready to help me. I am grateful for her cooperation in the work described in chapters 3, 5 and 6.

To Sandro Bordeira and João Fonseca, from the Condensed Matter Physics Centre, University of Lisbon, I want to thank them for their collaboration in the work described in chapter 4.

To the University of Minho and Department of Biological Engineering, I would like to express my sincere gratitude for providing excellent material, logistical and human conditions, helping me in these first steps of scientific demand and, consequently, in the development and conclusion of this research work.

I acknowledge the financial support for my research study of the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology through the PhD fellowship SFRH/BD/19265/2004.

To my colleagues and friends of the Applied Microbiology Laboratory in the Department of Biological Engineering, I thank them for their companionship and for providing a so pleasurable and friendly working atmosphere. The moments of leisure shared together helped to overcome some more difficult moments. I am also truly thankful for their always prompt help, whenever I needed it.

To my closer friends, I express my gratitude for their unconditional friendship, support and patience throughout these years.

Last, but not least, I would like to thank to my family, my parents José and Glória and my sister Sandra, and to Fernando, for their unconditional support, encouragement and love, and without which I would not have come this far.

À minha família e ao Fernando



*Nothing in life is to be feared. It is only to be understood.*

Marie Curie



## ***Staphylococcus epidermidis* Adhesion and Biofilm Formation onto Biomaterials**

### **ABSTRACT**

*Staphylococcus epidermidis* is a coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CNS) that often colonizes the skin and mucous membranes of the human body, as part of its normal microflora. However, when a rupture of the cutaneous surface occurs, by any type of trauma or insertion of a medical device, staphylococci can enter the host and become pathogenic. Therefore, *S. epidermidis* has emerged in recent years as a major nosocomial pathogen associated with infections of implanted medical devices, namely prosthetic heart valves and joints, central venous catheters, urinary catheters, contact lenses and hip prostheses. Staphylococci adhere to such devices and have the ability to develop biofilms, which constitutes an important virulence factor and the most relevant pathogenic mechanism of staphylococcal infection.

The work described in this thesis aimed at evaluating the adhesion and biofilm formation capabilities of several *S. epidermidis* strains to biomaterials normally used in the manufacture of indwelling medical devices. The study of the surface properties that affect initial bacterial adhesion as well as of ways to prevent it was also one of the goals of this work. Another objective was to study the properties of a mature biofilm and the phenotypic differences between sessile and planktonic cells. The profiles of cell wall and extracellular matrix proteins were also assessed to evaluate the importance of these proteins on the process of adhesion and biofilm formation.

In order to try to correlate the adhesion ability of *S. epidermidis* strains studied with surface properties of substrata (acrylic and silicone) and cells, hydrophobicity and surface tension components were determined through contact angle measurements. Surface roughness of substrata was also assessed by atomic force microscopy (AFM).

An expedite method to reduce *S. epidermidis* adhesion to acrylic and silicone by heparin and gentian violet surface pre-conditioning was developed. Specific modifications on a polycarbonate surface by gold coating and the subsequent coverage with different self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) were also assayed. Adhesion was performed during two hours and the number of adhered cells was determined by direct enumeration using epifluorescence microscopy.

Concerning biofilm formation on acrylic, the total biomass was quantified by crystal violet staining; the number of cells within the biofilm was determined by colony forming units plating; and the extracellular matrix was extracted by the Dowex resin method. The polysaccharides and proteins content of the matrix was also quantified. These results were correlated with the cellular metabolic activity determined by XTT reduction assay and glucose uptake. Metabolic activity of planktonic cells was as well assessed by both methods.

Protein profiles of cell wall and extracellular matrix of *S. epidermidis* strains under study were analysed by SDS-PAGE.

Considering cell surface properties (surface tension parameters, degree of hydrophobicity), there were no significant differences among the strains assayed, except for strain IE214. No relationship was found between cell surface hydrophobicity and adhesion capability. However, all strains adhered at a higher extent to silicone, more hydrophobic and rougher than acrylic, indicating that substrata surface properties play a role in initial bacterial adhesion.

Both heparin and gentian violet demonstrated to be effective in reducing bacterial adhesion as well as gold covered polycarbonate and methyl terminated SAMs. Thus, these studies have clinical significance, since they point out alternative strategies to the diminishment and prevention of bacterial colonization to biomaterial surfaces.

The analysis of the biofilm formation capability and its composition among *S. epidermidis* strains lead to the confirmation that biofilm formation as well as the production of extracellular polymers are strain dependent and are virulence factors associated to pathogenicity of some *S. epidermidis* clinical strains.

Cell wall and extracellular matrix proteins that are related to the adhesion and biofilm formation processes seem to be present in the proteins patterns analysed, which are potential virulence factors that should be taken into consideration as appropriate targets for the development of novel therapies against staphylococcal infections.

## **Adesão e Formação de Biofilme de *Staphylococcus epidermidis* em Biomateriais**

### **RESUMO**

*Staphylococcus epidermidis* é um estafilococo coagulase-negativo (ECN) que normalmente coloniza a pele e as mucosas do corpo humano, fazendo parte da sua microflora normal. No entanto, quando ocorre uma ruptura da superfície cutânea, por qualquer tipo de trauma ou inserção de um dispositivo médico, os estafilococos podem penetrar o hospedeiro, tornando-se patogénicos. Deste modo, nos últimos anos, *S. epidermidis* tornou-se um dos principais patogénicos nosocomiais associados a infecções de dispositivos médicos, nomeadamente, válvulas cardíacas, próteses para articulações, cateteres venosos centrais, cateteres urinários, lentes de contacto e próteses da anca. Os estafilococos aderem a esses dispositivos e desenvolvem biofilmes, o que constitui um importante factor de virulência e um dos mais relevantes mecanismos patogénicos de infecção estafilococal.

Este trabalho de investigação teve como objectivo a avaliação da capacidade de adesão e formação de biofilme de várias estirpes de *S. epidermidis* a biomateriais utilizados no fabrico de dispositivos médicos. O estudo das propriedades de superfície que afectam a adesão bacteriana inicial, bem como formas de a prevenir constituíram também um dos objectivos propostos. Outra finalidade foi o estudo detalhado das propriedades de um biofilme maduro e das diferenças fenotípicas entre células sésseis e planctónicas. Os perfis proteicos da parede celular e da matriz extra-celular foram também um dos alvos de estudo, com o intuito de se aferir o papel destas proteínas na adesão e formação de biofilme.

De modo a tentar correlacionar a capacidade de adesão das estirpes de *S. epidermidis* com as propriedades da superfície dos substratos (acrílico e silicone) e das células, a hidrofobicidade e os componentes de tensão superficial foram calculados através da medição de ângulos de contacto. A rugosidade superficial dos substratos foi avaliada por microscopia de força atómica (MFA).

Desenvolveu-se um método expedito com o objectivo de se tentar reduzir a adesão de *S. epidermidis* a acrílico e a silicone por pré-condicionamento da superfície com heparina e violeta de genciana. Foram, também, efectuadas alterações na superfície de policarbonato, através do revestimento com ouro e a subsequente cobertura com diferentes monocamadas auto-organizadas (self-assembled monolayers - SAMs). A adesão foi realizada durante duas horas

e o número de células aderidas foi determinado por enumeração directa, mediante microscopia de epifluorescência.

No que diz respeito à formação de biofilme, testada em acrílico, a biomassa total foi quantificada por coloração com violeta de cristal. O número de células foi determinado por plaqueamento de unidades formadoras de colónias e a matriz extra-celular foi extraída pelo método da resina Dowex. O conteúdo da matriz, em termos de proteínas e polissacáridos, foi também quantificado. Estes resultados foram correlacionados com a actividade metabólica celular determinada pelos métodos de redução de XTT e determinação do consumo de glucose. A actividade metabólica de células planctónicas foi também avaliada pelos dois métodos.

O perfil proteico dos extractos da parede celular e da matriz extra-celular das estirpes em estudo foi analisado por SDS-PAGE.

Relativamente às propriedades da superfície celular (componentes de tensão superficial, grau de hidrofobicidade), não se verificaram diferenças significativas entre as estirpes testadas, excepto para a estirpe IE214, que apresentou um comportamento único de adesão. Não foi encontrada relação entre a hidrofobicidade da superfície celular e a capacidade de adesão. Porém, todas as estirpes aderiram melhor ao silicone, mais hidrofóbico e rugoso do que o acrílico, evidenciando a importância das propriedades de superfície do substrato na adesão inicial.

Tanto a heparina como o violeta de genciana demonstraram ser eficazes na redução da adesão bacteriana, assim como o policarbonato coberto com ouro e as SAMs com grupos terminais metilo. Estes estudos apresentam significado clínico, dado que sugerem possíveis estratégias alternativas para a diminuição e prevenção da colonização bacteriana em superfícies de biomateriais.

A análise da capacidade de formação de biofilme das várias estirpes de *S. epidermidis* estudadas, levou à confirmação de que a formação de biofilme, bem como a produção de polímeros extra-celulares são dependentes da estirpe e são factores de virulência associados à patogenicidade de algumas estirpes clínicas de *S. epidermidis*.

Nos perfis proteicos da parede celular e da matriz extra-celular parecem estar presentes proteínas relacionadas com os processos de adesão e formação de biofilme, as quais são potenciais factores de virulência que devem ser tidos em consideração como alvos para o desenvolvimento de novas terapias contra infecções estafilococais.

## SCIENTIFIC OUTPUT

Part of the results presented in this thesis have been published elsewhere:

### Papers in peer reviewed journals:

**Sousa C, Henriques M, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2009). Relevance of cell wall and extracellular matrix proteins to *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion and biofilm formation. *Adhesion Sci Technol*. In press. **(Chapter 6)**

**Sousa C, Henriques M, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2009) Influence of surface properties on the adhesion of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to acrylic and silicone. *Int J Biomater*. In press. **(Chapter 2)**

**Sousa C, Henriques M, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2009) The role of extracellular polymers on *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilm biomass and metabolic activity. *J Basic Microbiol*. **49**. **(Chapter 5)**

**Sousa C, Henriques M, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2008) Reduction of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to indwelling medical devices: a simple procedure. *Br J Biomed Sci* **65**:184-190. **(Chapter 3)**

**Sousa C, Teixeira P, Bordeira S, Fonseca JG and Oliveira R** (2008) *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to modified polycarbonate surfaces: gold and SAMs coated. *J Adhesion Sci Technol* **22**:675-686. **(Chapter 4)**

**Sousa C, Henriques M, Azeredo J, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2008) *Staphylococcus epidermidis* glucose uptake in biofilm versus planktonic cells. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* **24**:423-426. **(Chapter 5)**

## Proceedings and abstracts:

**Sousa C, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2008) *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to modified polycarbonate surfaces: gold and SAMs coated. *Biofilms III, 3rd International Conference*, Munich, Germany, 6-8 October, p. 141.

**Sousa C, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2008) Simple procedures to reduce *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to indwelling medical devices. *18th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, Barcelona, Spain, 19-22 April. Abstract on CD-ROM, P1114.

**Sousa C, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2007) Analysis of extracellular proteins in biofilms of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* clinical isolates. *1st EU-Summer School in Proteomic Basics*, Brixen/Bressanone, Italy, 12-18 August.

**Sousa C, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2007) Effect of silicone and acrylic surface pre-contact with heparin and gentian violet in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion. *Biofilms 2007 - 4th ASM Conference on Biofilms*, Quebec, Canada, 25-29 March, a337, p. 177.

**Sousa C, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2006) Metabolic activity of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in biofilm versus planktonic cells. *BIOFILMS II: attachment and detachment in pure and mixed cultures*, Leipzig, Germany, 22-24 March, p. 101.

**Sousa C, Teixeira P and Oliveira R** (2006) Heparinization time effect in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to silicone. *BIOFILMS II: attachment and detachment in pure and mixed cultures*, Leipzig, Germany, 22-24 March, p. 102.

## CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	I
ABSTRACT	VII
RESUMO	IX
SCIENTIFIC OUTPUT	XI
CONTENTS	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XIX
LIST OF TABLES	XXIII
NOMENCLATURE	XXV
<b>CHAPTER 1 - General introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1 Microbial biofilms</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.2 Biofilm formation</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.3 Biofilm structure</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.4 Biofilms and medical device-associated infections</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>1.5 <i>Staphylococcus</i> species</b>	<b>10</b>
1.5.1 <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	13
1.5.2 <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> and device-associated infections	14
1.5.2.1 Prosthetic heart valves	16
1.5.2.2 Central venous catheters	17
1.5.2.3 Urinary catheters	19
1.5.2.4 Contact lenses	20
1.5.2.5 Orthopaedic devices	21
1.5.3 <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> biofilm formation	22
<b>1.6 Adhesion and biofilm studying methods</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>1.7 Control of biofilm biomaterial infections</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>1.8 Scope of the work</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>1.9 Structure of the dissertation</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2 - Influence of surface characteristics on the adhesion of <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> to acrylic and silicone</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>2.1 Introduction</b>	<b>35</b>

2.1.1	Adhesion process	35
2.1.1.1	Hydrophobicity	38
2.1.1.2	Roughness	42
2.1.2	Aims	44
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	45
2.2.1	Bacterial strains	45
2.2.2	Media and growth conditions	45
2.2.3	Substrata preparation	46
2.2.4	Adhesion assays	46
2.2.5	Total cell counts of adhered bacteria	47
2.2.6	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	47
2.2.7	Substrata and bacteria hydrophobicity	48
2.2.8	Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)	48
2.2.9	Statistical analysis	49
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Results</b>	49
2.3.1	Adhesion to acrylic and silicone	49
2.3.2	Substrata and bacteria hydrophobicity	53
2.3.3	Substrata roughness	55
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	56
<b>2.5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	59
 <b>CHAPTER 3 - Reduction of <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> adhesion to indwelling medical devices by heparin and gentian violet pre-conditioning</b>		61
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	63
3.1.1	Infection prevention strategies	64
3.1.1.1	Heparin	66
3.1.1.2	Gentian Violet (GV)	68
3.1.2	Aims	69
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	70
3.2.1	Bacterial strains	70
3.2.2	Media and growth conditions	70
3.2.3	Substrata preparation	70
3.2.4	Heparin and gentian violet pre-contact	70
3.2.5	Adhesion assays	71
3.2.6	Total cell counts of adhered bacteria	71
3.2.7	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	71

3.2.8	Statistical analysis	71
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Results</b>	72
3.3.1	Adhesion of <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> to silicone: effect of heparin pre-contact time	72
3.3.2	Adhesion of <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> to silicone and acrylic: effect of heparin and gentian violet pre-contact	73
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	77
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	79
 <b>CHAPTER 4 - <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> adhesion to modified polycarbonate surfaces: gold and SAMs coated</b>		81
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	83
4.1.1	Aims	88
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	89
4.2.1	Bacteria and growth conditions	89
4.2.2	Substrata preparation	89
4.2.3	Bacteria and substrata hydrophobicity	90
4.2.4	Initial bacterial adhesion	90
4.2.5	Image analysis	90
4.2.6	Statistical analysis	91
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results</b>	91
4.3.1	Surface characterization	91
4.3.2	Cell adhesion to substrata	92
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	96
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	99
 <b>CHAPTER 5 - <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> biofilms</b>		101
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	103
5.1.1	Extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)	104
5.1.1.1	Polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA)	107
5.1.2	EPS production as a virulence factor	109
5.1.3	Biofilms <i>versus</i> planktonic cells	110
5.1.4	Aims	111
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	112
5.2.1	Bacterial strains	112
5.2.2	Media and growth conditions	112

5.2.3	Substrate preparation	112
5.2.4	Biofilm formation	112
5.2.5	Total biomass quantification	113
5.2.6	Biofilm cell concentration	113
5.2.7	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	114
5.2.8	Biofilm matrix extraction	114
5.2.8.1	Proteins quantification	114
5.2.8.2	Polysaccharides quantification	115
5.2.9	Glucose uptake	115
5.2.9.1	Biofilm	115
5.2.9.2	Planktonic cells	116
5.2.10	XTT reduction assay	116
5.2.10.1	Biofilm	116
5.2.10.2	Planktonic cells	117
5.2.11	Statistical analysis	117
<b>5.3.</b>	<b>Results</b>	118
5.3.1	Biofilm formation	118
5.3.2	Biofilm cell concentration	120
5.3.3	Characterization of biofilm matrix	121
5.3.4	Glucose uptake in biofilms and in planktonic cells	123
5.3.5	XTT reduction in biofilms and in planktonic cells	124
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	125
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	132
 <b>CHAPTER 6 - Cell wall and extracellular matrix proteins related to <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> adhesion and biofilm formation</b>		133
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	135
6.1.1	Primary attachment	135
6.1.2	Biofilm accumulation	140
6.1.3	Aims	143
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	143
6.2.1	Bacterial strains	143
6.2.2	Cell wall (CW) fraction preparation	143
6.2.3	Biofilm extracellular matrix (EM) fraction preparation	144
6.2.4	Protein quantification	144
6.2.5	Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	144

6.2.6	Statistical analysis	145
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Results</b>	145
6.3.1	Analysis of <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> cell wall (CW) proteins	145
6.3.2	Analysis of <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> extracellular matrix (EM) proteins	147
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	148
<b>6.5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	155
	<b>CHAPTER 7 - Concluding remarks and work perspectives</b>	157
<b>7.1</b>	<b>Concluding remarks</b>	159
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Work perspectives</b>	162
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	165



## LIST OF FIGURES

### CHAPTER 1 - General introduction

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Schematic model of the phases involved in bacterial biofilm formation on a surface. <b>1-</b> Initial bacterial attachment to the surface. <b>2-</b> Bacteria start to multiply, forming microcolonies. <b>3-</b> Formation of multicellular clusters, embedded in an extracellular matrix. <b>4-</b> Maturation of the biofilm occurs with further growth of the attached microorganisms leading to the formation of dense bacterial aggregates. <b>5-</b> Detachment of some biofilm cells can occur, leading to colonization in other parts of the substratum.	6
<b>Figure 1.2</b>	Schematic representation of a typical biofilm structure, with mushroom-like stacks containing bacteria embedded in the extracellular matrix. The stacks are separated by water channels and the arrows demonstrate the direction of the fluid flow.	8
<b>Figure 1.3</b>	<i>Staphylococcus</i> . <b>(a)</b> Gram-stained staphylococci. <b>(b)</b> Staphylococci organized like a cluster of grapes.	11
<b>Figure 1.4</b>	<b>(a)</b> Large, creamy yellow, hemolytic colonies typical of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , on blood agar. <b>(b)</b> Non-hemolytic <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> , on blood agar.	12
<b>Figure 1.5</b>	Staphylococcal skin infections. <b>(a)</b> Superficial folliculitis in which raised, domed pustules form around hair follicles. <b>(b)</b> In deep folliculitis the microorganism invades the deep portion of the follicle and dermis. <b>(c)</b> A furuncle arises when a large abscess forms around a hair follicle. <b>(d)</b> A carbuncle consists of a multilocular abscess around several hair follicles. <b>(e)</b> Impetigo on the neck of 2-year-old male. <b>(f)</b> Scalded skin syndrome in a 1-week-old premature male infant. Reddened areas of skin peel off, leaving “scalded”-looking moist areas.	13
<b>Figure 1.6</b>	Examples of indwelling medical devices affected by <i>S. epidermidis</i> infections. <b>(a)</b> Prosthetic heart valve. <b>(b)</b> Central venous catheter. <b>(c)</b> Urinary catheter. <b>(d)</b> Contact lens. <b>(e)</b> Artificial joints and ligaments and replacement blood vessels.	16
<b>Figure 1.7</b>	Scanning electron micrograph of <i>Staphylococcus</i> biofilm on the inner surface of an indwelling medical device. Bar 20 $\mu\text{m}$ .	22
<b>Figure 1.8</b>	Proposed model by Vuong and Otto (2002) for <i>S. epidermidis</i> biofilm formation and factors involved in each step.	24
<b>Figure 1.9</b>	Structure of the polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA). The polysaccharide is a linear homoglycan composed of $\beta$ -1,6-linked <i>N</i> -acetylglucosamine residues; up to 15% of the residues are deacetylated and are thus positively charged.	25
<b>Figure 1.10</b>	Diagram of the parallel plate flow chamber and the chamber itself in more detail.	28

## CHAPTER 2 - Influence of surface characteristics on the adhesion of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to acrylic and silicone

- Figure 2.1** Contact angle method. A drop of a reference liquid (e.g., water) is placed in a smooth lawn of dried cells. The contact angle is determined by a series of photographs of the droplet as it equilibrates. If the cells are hydrophilic, the droplet dissipates very quickly, while for hydrophobic cells the droplet will disappear in a slowly way. 39
- Figure 2.2** Adhesion of streptococci consortia to rough titanium surface with streptococci preferentially attached on the valleys. 43
- Figure 2.3** Number of adhered cells per cm<sup>2</sup> onto acrylic (■) and silicone (▣) coupons, after a 2 h period of contact, for *S. epidermidis* strains IE214, IE186, 9142, 9142-M10, 1457, 1457-M10, IE75 and LE7. 50
- Figure 2.4 (a)** SEM photomicrographs of *S. epidermidis* adhered to the acrylic surface. Strains: A – IE214; B – IE186; C – 9142; D – 9142 – M10; E – 1457; F – 1457-M10; G – IE75; H – LE7. Magnification ×3000, bar = 10 μm. 51
- Figure 2.4 (b)** SEM photomicrographs of *S. epidermidis* adhered to the silicone surface. Strains: A – IE214; B – IE186; C – 9142; D – 9142 – M10; E – 1457; F – 1457-M10; G – IE75; H – LE7. Magnification ×3000, bar = 10 μm. 52
- Figure 2.5** AFM images of acrylic (a) and silicone (b) surfaces with a scan range of 2.5 x 2.5 μm (air Tapping™ mode). Axis x and y – nm; axis z – Å. 55

## CHAPTER 3 - Reduction of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to indwelling medical devices by heparin and gentian violet pre-conditioning

- Figure 3.1** Chemical structure of the unfractionated heparin molecule, which is composed of alternating units of sulphated D-glucosamine and D-glucuronic acid. The esterified sulfuric acid component gives unfractionated heparin its acidic property and electronegative charge. 67
- Figure 3.2** Chemical structure of gentian violet. 68
- Figure 3.3** Number of *S. epidermidis* cells adhered to control silicone coupons and to silicone coupons subjected to different heparin pre-contact times (10 min, 30 min, 2 h and 24 h), for the three strains assayed (9142-M10, 9142 and IE186). Results represent means plus standard deviations (error bars) from three independent experiments. 73
- Figure 3.4** Effect of heparin and gentian violet pre-contact on *S. epidermidis* extent of adhesion to silicone. (a) Number of *S. epidermidis* adhered cells to non-conditioned silicone coupons (control) and to silicone coupons pre-contacted with heparin or gentian violet (GV), for the three strains assayed (9142-M10, 9142 and IE186). Results represent means plus standard deviations (error bars). (b) Representative scanning electronic microscopy photographs of the extent of adhesion, of the three *S. epidermidis* strains studied, to silicone pre-contacted with heparin or gentian violet (original magnification 3000x). 74

<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Effect of heparin and gentian violet (GV) pre-contact on <i>S. epidermidis</i> extent of adhesion to acrylic. <b>(a)</b> Number of <i>S. epidermidis</i> adhered cells to non-conditioned acrylic coupons (control) and to acrylic coupons pre-contacted with heparin or gentian violet (GV), for the three strains assayed (9142-M10, 9142 and IE186). Results represent means plus standard deviations (error bars). <b>(b)</b> Representative scanning electronic microscopy photographs of the extent of adhesion of the three <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains studied to acrylic pre-contacted with heparin or gentian violet (original magnification 3000x).	76
-------------------	--	----

**CHAPTER 4 - *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to modified polycarbonate surfaces: gold and SAMs coated**

<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Preparation of SAMs. The substrate, gold (Au), is immersed into an ethanol solution of the desired thiol(s). Initial adsorption is fast (seconds); then an organization phase follows which should be allowed to continue for >15 h for best results. An illustrative scheme of a fully assembled SAM is shown to the right.	85
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Typical structure and division of calixarenes.	87
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Number of <i>S. epidermidis</i> cells adhered per cm <sup>2</sup> on PC (polycarbonate) coupons and on polycarbonate modified surfaces: G (gold), OT (octanethiol), HDT (hexadecanethiol), MAA (mercaptoacetic acid) and MPA (mercaptopropionic acid).	93
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Number of <i>S. epidermidis</i> cells adhered per cm <sup>2</sup> on polycarbonate modified surfaces: G (gold), CC (calix-crown) and CRP (calix-crown with the antibody anti-CRP and the respective antigen, CRP).	94
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	Representative epifluorescence microscopy photographs of the extent of adhesion of <i>S. epidermidis</i> cells to the PC (polycarbonate) coupons and to the polycarbonate modified surfaces: G (gold), OT (octanethiol), HDT (hexadecanethiol), MAA (mercaptoacetic acid) MPA (mercaptopropionic acid), CC (calix-crown) and CRP (calix-crown with the antibody anti-CRP and the antigen, CRP).	95

**CHAPTER 5 - *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms**

<b>Figure 5.1</b>	SEM observation of a general view of a mature biofilm. <b>(a)</b> Magnification ×500, bar = 50 μm; <b>(b)</b> Magnification ×3000, bar = 10 μm.	103
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Scanning electron microscopy of <i>S. epidermidis</i> cluster embedded in exopolysaccharide matrix.	107
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Biofilm biomass of the eight <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains, accumulated over 8 days, expressed as crystal violet optical density (O.D. <sub>570 nm</sub> ). Results represent means plus standard deviations (errors bars) of three independent experiments.	118
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	SEM photomicrographs of a general view of mature biofilms (8 days-old) of <i>S. epidermidis</i> 1457; 1457-M10; 9142; 9142-M10; IE214 ; IE186; LE7 and IE75 formed on acrylic coupons. Magnification ×500, bar = 50 μm.	119

<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Cell concentration of biofilms of the eight <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains, expressed as Log CFU/ml, used for the glucose uptake assays and XTT reduction assays in the sessile and planktonic form. Results represent means plus standard deviations (errors bars) from three independent experiments.	120
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	Concentration (mg/g <sub>dw</sub> ) of polysaccharides (glucose as standard) and proteins (BSA as standard) extracted by Dowex resin method from biofilms of <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains 9142, IE214, IE186, 1457 and LE7.	122
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	Glucose uptake expressed in % for the eight <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains in biofilm and in the planktonic form. Results represent means plus standard deviations (errors bars) from three independent experiments.	123
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	Cellular metabolic activity, measured by XTT reduction assay (O.D. <sub>490 nm</sub> ) from the biofilms of the eight <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains studied: 1457, 1457-M10, 9142, 9142-M10, IE214, IE186, LE7 and IE75. Results represent means plus standard deviations (errors bars) from three independent experiments.	124

#### **CHAPTER 6 - Cell wall and extracellular matrix proteins related to *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion and biofilm formation**

<b>Figure 6.1</b>	SDS-PAGE profiles of cell wall proteins, stained with silver nitrate, of the eight <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains assayed. Molecular mass markers are shown in the centre (Lane E), in kilodaltons. A – 9142; B – 9142-M10; C – 1457; D – 1457-M10; E-molecular standard; F – IE186; G – IE214; H – LE7; I – IE75; J – Lysis buffer sample. <b>(a)</b> Molecular weight ranging from 20 – 250 kDa; <b>(b)</b> Detail of a complete gel with a molecular weight ranging from 75 – 250 kDa.	146
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	SDS-PAGE profiles of extracellular matrix proteins of the biofilm of four <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains, stained with silver nitrate. Molecular mass markers are shown in the centre (Lane C), in kilodaltons. A – 9142; B – 1457; C – molecular standard; D – IE186; E – IE214; F – Lysis buffer sample (DNAse, lysostaphin and lysozime, are visualized at 30, 25 and 15 kDa, respectively).	148

## LIST OF TABLES

### CHAPTER 1 - General introduction

<b>Table 1.1</b>	Some frequent implant infections caused by biofilms.	10
<b>Table 1.2</b>	Virulence factors of <i>S. epidermidis</i> species.	26

### CHAPTER 2 - Influence of surface characteristics on the adhesion of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* to acrylic and silicone

<b>Table 2.1</b>	Surface tension parameters ( $\text{mJ}/\text{m}^2$ ) of liquids often employed in contact angle measurements.	41
<b>Table 2.2</b>	Water ( $\theta_{\text{W}}$ ), formamide ( $\theta_{\text{F}}$ ) and $\alpha$ -bromonaphthalene ( $\theta_{\alpha\text{-B}}$ ) contact angles (in degrees), surface tension components and hydrophobicity (in $\text{mJ}/\text{m}^2$ ) of the acrylic and silicone coupons surface.	53
<b>Table 2.3</b>	Water ( $\theta_{\text{W}}$ ), formamide ( $\theta_{\text{F}}$ ) and $\alpha$ -bromonaphthalene ( $\theta_{\alpha\text{-B}}$ ) contact angles (in degrees), surface tension components and hydrophobicity (in $\text{mJ}/\text{m}^2$ ) of the surface of <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains.	54

### CHAPTER 4 - *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion to modified polycarbonate surfaces: gold and SAMs coated

<b>Table 4.1</b>	Water contact angles (in degrees) of the materials and the <i>S. epidermidis</i> strain. Results are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD).	91
------------------	---	----

### CHAPTER 5 - *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms

<b>Table 5.1</b>	Ratio of polysaccharides/proteins (mg/mg) obtained in the biofilm matrix of the eight <i>S. epidermidis</i> strains studied.	122
------------------	--	-----

**CHAPTER 6 - Cell wall and extracellular matrix proteins related to *Staphylococcus epidermidis* adhesion and biofilm formation**

**Table 6.1** *S. epidermidis* surface proteins and their putative functions.

141

## NOMENCLATURE

### Symbols

P	Significance value
Ra	Average roughness (nm)
Rmax	Maximum roughness (nm)
Rq	Root mean square roughness
T	Temperature (°C)
$\Delta G_{iwi}^{LW}$	Apolar component of the free energy variation between two entities of a given surface (i) immersed in water (w) (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\Delta G_{iwi}^{AB}$	Polar component of the free energy variation between two entities of a given surface (i) immersed in water (w) (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\Delta G_{iwi}$	Total free energy variation between two entities of a given surface (i) immersed in water (w) (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\gamma^-$	Electron donor surface tension parameter (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\gamma^+$	Electron acceptor surface tension parameter (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\gamma^{AB}$	Polar (Lewis acid-base) surface tension parameter (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\gamma^{LW}$	Apolar (Lifshitz-van der Waals) surface tension parameter (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\gamma^{TOT}$	Surface free energy (mJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
$\theta_{\alpha-B}$	$\alpha$ -Bromonaphtalene contact angle (°)
$\theta_F$	Formamide contact angle (°)
$\theta_W$	Water contact angle (°)

### Abbreviations

Aae	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> autolysin/adhesin
AAP	Accumulation-associated protein
AFM	Atomic force microscopy
<i>Agr</i>	Accessory gene regulator
AIDS	Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
AtIE	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> autolysin
Bap	Biofilm-associated protein
BATH	Bacterial adherence to hydrocarbons

BCA	Bicinchoninic Acid
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
CC	Calix-crown
CFU	Colony forming units
Clf	Clumping factor
CNS	Coagulase negative staphylococci
CRA	Congo Red agar
CRI	Catheter-related infection
CRP	C-Reactive Protein
CVC	Central venous catheter
CW	Cell wall
DAPI	4'-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole
DNA	Desoxyribonucleic acid
DW	Dry Weight
Ecp	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> cysteine protease
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ELISA	Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
EM	Extracellular matrix
Embp	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> fibronectin binding protein
EPS	Extracellular polymeric substances
FAME	Fatty-acid modifying enzymes
Fbe	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> fibrinogen-binding protein
Fg	Fibrinogen
Fn	Fibronectin
G	Gold
GehD	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> collagen binding lipase
GV	Gentian violet
HDT	Hexadecanethiol
HIC	Hydrophobic interaction chromatography
HMA	Hydrophobic microsphere assay
IOL	Intraocular lens
IU	International units
MAA	Mercaptoacetic acid
MATH	Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbons
MPA	Mercapto-propionic acid
MRSA	Methicillin resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>

MSCRAMM	Microbial surface component recognizing adhesive matrix molecules
OD	Optical density
OT	Octanethiol
PAA	Poly(acrylic acid)
PAH	Poly(allylamine) hydrochloride
PBS	Buffered saline solution
PC	Polycarbonate
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PEM	Polyelectrolyte multilayer
PIA	Polysaccharide intercellular adhesin
PMMA	Poly(methylmetacrylate)
PMPs	Platelet microbicidal proteins
PMS	Phenazine methosulfate
PMSF	Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride
PVE	Prosthetic valve endocarditis
SAM	Self-assembled monolayers
SAT	Salt aggregation test
SD	Standard deviation
Sdr	Serine-aspartate repeat protein
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
Ses	<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> surface proteins
SI	International system of units
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SSP	Staphylococcal surface protein
Sub-MIC	Subinhibitory minimal concentration
Tpn	Transferring binding protein
TSA	Tryptic Soy Agar
TSB	Tryptic Soy Broth
TSST	Toxic shock syndrome toxin
V	Volume
Vn	Vitronectin
W	Weight
XTT	2,3-bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-2Htetrazolium hydroxide