O27 - In vitro digestions to predict the glycemic index of rice

Daniel A. Madalena, Ana C. Pinheiro, António A. Vicente,

1, CEB - Centre of Biological Engineering, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal; 2, Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnológica, Avenida da República, Quinta-do-Marquês, Estação Agronómica Nacional, Apartado 12, 2781-901 Oeiras, Portugal

avicente@deb.uminho.pt

In vitro digestion systems (both static and dynamic) are very useful models to predict the digestion of food, and its constituents. These systems are inexpensive, practical and do not bring any ethical issues, when compared with in vivo assays [1]. Therefore, in vitro digestion systems can be used to predict the glycemic index of food (e.g., rice). This parameter can be used to predict the blood glucose response after the ingestion of food and can therefore be used to select the appropriate diet for people that suffer from some kind of glucose intolerance. In this work, the in vitro digestion of rice samples (e.g. brown rice with quinoa) was studied to predict their glycemic index. The glycemic index of rice was determined based on the protocol developed by Goñi I et al [2] with some modifications based on the work of other authors [3]. Briefly, five grams of rice were digested using a standardized in vitro digestion protocol [4] and samples were taken every 30 minutes. The samples were analyzed using the glycose oxidase-peroxidase method to determine the hydrolyzed starch. The digestion curve was then fitted to a non-linear model $(C = C_{\infty} * (1 - e^{-kt}))$. Furthermore, a standard food (e.g., starch) was used and the area under the curve of both rice and starch was determined to calculate the glycemic index of rice [2]. Total starch was also determined using the amyloglucosidase/α-amylose procedure and the amylose content was determined based on the protocol developed by other authors [5]. It was found that brown rice with quinoa, with 38.88 ± 1.19 % of total starch and 1.49 ± 0.17 % of amylose, has a glycemic index of 89.12 and therefore this rice, contrary to what would be expected, can be classified as a high glycemic index food (i.e., glycemic index above 70) [6]. This high glycemic index value can be explained by the low percentage of amylose content [3].

Acknowledgements: Ana C. Pinheiro acknowledge the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) for her fellowship (SFRH/BPD/101181/2014). This work was supported by Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) under the scope of the strategic funding of UID/BIO/04469/2013 unit, and COMPETE 2020 (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-006684) and BioTecNorte operation (NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-00004) funded by the European Regional Development Fund under the scope of Norte2020 - Programa Operacional Regional do Norte. The authors would also like to thank the investment project no 017931, co-funded by Fundo Europeu de Desenvolvimento Regional (FEDER) through Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização (COMPETE 2020).

References:

- 1. de Souza Simões L, Madalena DA, Pinheiro AC, Teixeira JA, Vicente AA, Ramos ÓL: Micro- and nano bio-based delivery systems for food applications: In vitro behavior. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 2017, 243, 23-45.
- Goñi I, Garcia-Alonso A, Saura-Calixto F: A starch hydrolysis procedure to estimate glycemic index. Nutrition Research, 1997, 17, 427-437.
- 3. Frei M, Siddhuraju P, Becker K: Studies on the in vitro starch digestibility and the glycemic index of six different indigenous rice cultivars from the philippines. Food Chemistry, **2003**, *83*, 395-402.
- 4. Minekus M, Alminger M, Alvito P, Ballance S, Bohn T, Bourlieu C, Carriere F, Boutrou R, Corredig M, Dupont D, Dufour C *et al*: A standardised static in vitro digestion method suitable for food an international consensus. Food & function, **2014**, *5*, 1113-1124.
- Perez CM, Juliano BO: Modification of the simplified amylose test for milled rice. Starch Stärke, 1978, 30, 424-426.
- Brand-Miller JC, Foster-Powell K, Colagiuri S: A nova revolução da glicose: A solução para a saúde ideal. Elsevier, Rio de Janeiro (2003).