Combining phages and antibiotic to enhance antibiofilm efficacy against an *in vitro* dual species wound biofilm

Ergun Akturk, Luís D.R. Melo, Hugo Oliveira, Aurélie Crabbé, Tom Coenye, Joana Azeredo

PII: S2590-2075(23)00044-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioflm.2023.100147

Reference: BIOFLM 100147

To appear in: *Biofilm*

Received Date: 28 January 2023

Revised Date: 31 July 2023

Accepted Date: 1 August 2023

Please cite this article as: Akturk E, Melo LuíDR, Oliveira H, Crabbé Auré, Coenye T, Azeredo J, Combining phages and antibiotic to enhance antibiofilm efficacy against an *in vitro* dual species wound biofilm, *Biofilm* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioflm.2023.100147.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier B.V.



Credit authorship contribution statement:

Ergun Akturk: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Visualization, Resources, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Luís D. R. Melo:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing - review & editing, Supervision. **Hugo Oliveira:** Software, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. **Aurélie Crabbé:** Conceptualization, Supervision. **Tom Coenye:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing - review & editing, Supervision. **Joana Azeredo:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing - review & editing, Supervision.

Journal Prevention

- Combining phages and antibiotic to enhance antibiofilm efficacy against an in
- vitro dual species wound biofilm Ergun Akturk^{a,b}, Luís D. R. Melo^{a,b}, Hugo Oliveira^{a,b,d}, Aurélie
- Crabbé^c, Tom Coenye^{c,d*} & Joana Azeredo^{a,b,d*}
- ^a CEB - Centre of Biological Engineering, LIBRO - Laboratório de Investigação em Biofilmes Rosário Oliveira,
- University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 - Braga (Portugal)
- ^bLABBELS – Associate Laboratory, Braga, Guimarães, (Portugal)
- ^c Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Microbiology (LPM), Ghent University Ghent (Belgium)
- ^d ESCMID Study Group for Biofilms (ESGB)

- *Corresponding authors:
- Joana Azeredo:
- jazeredo@deb.uminho.pt
- CEB-Centre of Biological Engineering, LIBRO-Laboratório de Investigação em Biofilmes Rosário Oliveira, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 - Braga (Portugal)

- Tom Coenye:
- tom.coenye@ugent.be
- Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Microbiology (LPM), Ghent University - Ghent (Belgium)

1 ABSTRACT

2	Chronic wound management is extremely challenging because of the persistence of biofilm-forming				
3	pathogens, such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus, which are the prevailing bacterial				
4	species that co-infect chronic wounds. Phage therapy has gained an increased interest to treat biofilm-				
5	associated infections, namely when combined with antibiotics. Here, we tested the effect of gentamicin as a co				
6	adjuvant of phages in a dual species-biofilm wound model formed on artificial dermis. The biofilm-killing capacity				
7	of the tested treatments was significantly increased when phages were combined with gentamicin and applied				
8	multiple times as multiple dose (three doses, every 8 h). Our results suggest that gentamycin is an effective				
9	adjuvant of phage therapy particularly when applied simultaneously with phages and in three consecutive doses.				
10	The multiple and simultaneous dose treatment seems to be essential to avoid bacterial resistance development				
11	to each of the antimicrobial agents.				
12					
13					
14					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
10					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30	Keywords: Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, phage-antibiotic combination, gentamicin. phage-				
31	antibiotic synergy (PAS), sequential treatment, dual-species biofilm, artificial wound model, wound infection				

32 INTRODUCTION

33 Biofilm formation in wounds is considered a major barrier to successful treatments and contributes to 34 the high global cost of chronic wound management [1]. It leads to impaired epithelialization, and 35 microorganisms embedded in these biofilms show reduced susceptibility to antimicrobial agents [2], delaying 36 the healing process [3]. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus are the most common species in 37 chronic wounds [4,5]. These pathogens coexist in multi-species biofilms, and their association can result in 38 higher virulence and increased tolerance to antimicrobial agents [6,7]. Phage therapy is a promising approach 39 to tackle infectious diseases [8], However, several studies have raised concerns about phage therapy directed 40 against biofilm-related infections [9], particularly due to the fast emergence of phage resistance [10]. Therefore, 41 there has been an increased interest in using antibiotics as adjuvants of phage-therapy [11]. Gentamicin (GEN) 42 is an aminoglycoside antibiotic that can be used for topical application to treat chronic wounds [12]. Recent 43 clinical studies reveal that topical GEN application reduces the duration of wound healing [13], however, treatments should be limited in duration due to concerns about antibiotic resistance [14]. 44

We have previously shown that the sequential combination of a *Pseudomonas*-specific phage EPA1 and GEN resulted in *P. aeruginosa* eradication in biofilms formed in standard laboratory conditions [15]. However, it is generally recognized that standard laboratory conditions do not always accurately reflect the infectious microenvironment, and the use of model systems that more closely resemble the *in vivo* situation is recommended [16].

50 In the present study, we designed new combined phage-antibiotic therapy protocols and application 51 strategies, using phages targeting both *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* with the combination of GEN as an adjuvant 52 of phage therapy, in an *in vitro* artificial wound model.

53 **RESULTS**

54 Isolation and characterization of a new S. aureus infecting phage SAFA

A new *S. aureus* infecting virus, designated phage SAFA, was isolated from a sewage plant in Braga, Portugal. This phage has an icosahedral head that is 95 nm in diameter, and a contractile tail of approximately 232 × 23 nm in diameter, resembling the morphology of a myovirus (Figure S1). Phage SAFA could propagate on 13 out of 20 *S. aureus* strains investigated (65 %) with moderate to high Efficiency of Plating (EOP) (Table S1). This phage has a latent period of 25 min, and an average burst size of 64 progeny phages per infected cell (Figure 60 S2).

Phage SAFA has a linear double-stranded DNA genome of 148,740 bp in size, and comparative genomics show that SAFA is very similar to many other staphylococcal phages of the *Kayvirus* genus. SAFA is presumably virulent and does not encode any genes associated with lysogeny or virulence. This suggests that SAFA is potentially safe for therapeutic purposes.

65 Establishing dual-species biofilm on the artificial dermis

66 To assess the anti-biofilm activity of the antimicrobials (phages and GEN), dual-species biofilms of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus were formed in an in vitro wound model containing an artificial dermis (AD) (Figure 67 68 1A). After 24 h, biofilm populations consisted of 1.13×10^9 CFU/mL of *P. aeruginosa* and 2.43×10^8 CFU/mL of *S.* 69 aureus (Figure 2) [17–19]. Images of the colonized wound model show visible bacterial colonization on the upper 70 part of the dermis with a darkened colour change of growth medium after 24 h of biofilm formation (Figure 1B). 71 When the incubation time was extended to 48 h, an additional colour change in the medium and an increase in 72 surface colonization were observed (Figure 1C), concurrently, dermal fragmentation was evident (Figure 1D); 73 however, this phenomenon was not present in simultaneous treatments (SIM) of AD samples (Figure 1E).

Single-dose administration of sequential phages-antibiotic combination showed bacterial killing in dual species biofilm

The activity of phage EPA1, phage SAFA, and GEN alone or in combinations was tested in the dual-species biofilms. The six-hour treatments resulted in a modest reduction of the biofilm populations (Figure S3). Phage EPA1 treatment reduced the *P. aeruginosa* population by 1.5 log reduction, while phage SAFA did not produce a significant reduction in the *S. aureus* population when compared to the control. The anti-biofilm activity was not altered when phages EPA1 and SAFA were applied simultaneously. Treatment with GEN alone led to a modest reduction of the numbers of *P. aeruginosa* (1.0 log reduction) and *S. aureus* (0.9 log reduction) (Figure S3).

83 In dual-species biofilms, after 24 h of treatments, phage EPA1 alone reduced the P. aeruginosa 84 population by 1.5 log reduction, however, phage SAFA did not significantly reduce the S. aureus population. The killing activity of the simultaneous application of the two phages (EPA1+SAFA) was similar to their single 85 86 treatments (Figure 2). The effect of treatment with GEN alone was more pronounced after 24 h compared to 6 87 h treatment and resulted in a population reduction of 3.4 and 1.7 log reduction of P. aeruginosa and S. aureus, 88 respectively (Figure 2). When EPA1+SAFA and GEN were applied sequentially (first EPA1+SAFA, followed by GEN 89 6 h later), biofilm reductions of 4.8 and 2.3 log reduction were observed for P. aeruginosa and S. aureus, 90 respectively.

Administration of multiple doses of phage(s) or/and antibiotic significantly reduced both P. aeruginosa and S. aureus populations in dual-species biofilms

To develop more efficient treatment strategies, both phages (EPA1+SAFA) and the antibiotic (GEN) were administered in three doses (in different combinations and sequences) every 8 h for a total of 24 h (Table S2). To explore the most efficient combinations, a total of 27 antimicrobial treatment regimens were designed and tested on dual-species biofilms formed in 24-well plates. The most promising combinations (12 out of 27 treatments) were selected to test in the *in vitro* wound model (Figure 3, Table S2).

The pre-formed dual-species biofilms were initially exposed to either of three treatments for 8 h, i.e.
EPA1+SAFA, GEN, and the combination of EPA1+SAFA and GEN. After this first treatment, *P. aeruginosa*populations were reduced by 0.8, 1.1, and 1.3 log reduction, while *S. aureus* populations were reduced by 0.2,

101 0.8, and 1.0 log reduction, respectively (Figure 3). The second dose resulted in additional biofilm reduction for P. 102 aeruginosa and S. aureus, the total biofilm reductions at this stage ranged from 1.1 to 5.0 log reduction for P. 103 aeruginosa and from 1.6 to 6.8 log reduction for S. aureus (Figure 3). The highest reduction for both species was 104 observed when treatment with EPA1+SAFA was followed by GEN treatment, while the lowest reduction was observed when treatment with EPA1+SAFA was followed by another EPA1+SAFA treatment (Figure 3). The most 105 106 pronounced reduction was obtained following multiple doses of EPA1+SAFA+GEN (SIM), with a 6.2 log reduction 107 for P. aeruginosa and 5.7 log reduction for S. aureus (Figure 3). The combinations EPA1+SAFA/SIM/GEN, 108 SIM/GEN/SIM, and SIM/SIM/GEN also led to more than 5 log reduction for both bacterial species (Figure 3). 109 Some treatment regimens resulted in biofilm regrowth, most probably as a result development of resistance. This is particularly relevant in the case of multiple dose administration of the antibiotic and the phages alone. 110

111

112 Discussion

113 Increasing evidence suggests that phages are useful in the treatment of wound-associated infections, and 114 phage therapy can be highly effective when administered appropriately, as demonstrated in standard laboratory 115 conditions, as well as *in vivo* animal models and even in human patients (reviewed in [20,21]). Although 116 treatments with single phages or phage cocktails have shown promising results [22–25], recent studies have 117 suggested that the use of antibiotics as phage adjuvants are more effective against biofilm-related infections 118 [26–29].

119 In the present study, we tested the anti-biofilm activity of two phages targeting *P. aeruginosa* and *S.* 120 *aureus* alone and combined with gentamicin in different treatment regimens in an *in vitro* dual-species biofilm 121 model of chronic wound infection [30,31] and found that the sequential treatment with phages (EPA1+SAFA) 122 and antibiotic (GEN) led to significantly higher biofilm reductions than those obtained with single treatments.

123 The antimicrobial agents were also applied in multiple dose regimens with different combination 124 strategies. The obtained reductions ranged from 1.9 to 5.2 log, suggesting that the order and frequency of 125 application influence the treatment outcome.

126 The application of GEN as the first dose treatment, followed by phages usually led to low reductions. 127 Phages rely on host mechanisms to facilitate their replication and antibiotics may adversely impact these 128 essential mechanisms. For example, antibiotics that target the protein synthesis can alter the outcome of 129 bacteria-phage interactions by interfering with the production of phage-encoded counter-defense proteins 130 [32]. GEN targets protein synthesis and inhibits phage replication [15], therefore phage efficacy is compromised when it is added first. However, when GEN is applied simultaneously with phages, the rapid killing activity of 131 132 phages can probably overcome the antagonistic effect of GEN on the activity of the phage against the biofilm, 133 at least in the initial stages after application. Furthermore, the application of both antimicrobials in multiple 134 doses can lead to a complementary effect in which phages target preferentially antibiotic resistant bacteria, and 135 antibiotics kill phage resistant cells.

The use of single antimicrobial agents in consecutive doses, be it phages or the antibiotic, was very 136 137 ineffective. In fact, when GEN was used in three consecutive treatments, a regrowth in the biofilm population was observed (Figure 3). The same was observed for consecutive applications of phages (Figure 3). If phages do 138 139 not manage to kill a sufficient number of bacteria quickly, this may result in the proliferation of bacteriophage-140 insensitive mutants (BIMs) [33,34]. Bacteria possess or can quickly develop different mechanisms to escape viral 141 infections, such as alteration or loss of receptors [10], secretion of substances that prevent phage adhesion to 142 the bacterial pathogen like outer membrane vesicles [35], blocking phage DNA injection, and inhibition of phage 143 replication and release [36]. Nonetheless, phages and antibiotics use different mechanisms of action [37]. This 144 feature can make their combination very effective against biofilms. When phages and antibiotics are used 145 simultaneously or sequentially, bacteria have a low chance of evolving resistance against both at the same time 146 [38].

147 The possible mechanisms involved in the biofilm treatment with multiple doses of antibiotics or phages 148 alone and in combination are summarized in Figure 4. Here we hypothesise that in a multi-dose treatment with 149 simultaneous application of phages and antibiotics, the bacterial population is exposed to multiple stresses at 150 the same time and is unlikely to be able to recover or evolve resistance.

151 Our work shows that, the *in vitro* wound model can be used to test the efficacy of phages against chronic wounds and that results obtained in this in vivo-like model may differ from those obtained in other in vitro 152 153 models. This observation reiterates the importance of using relevant models that capture important aspects of 154 host physiology and the infectious microenvironment when evaluating innovative anti-biofilm strategies [16,39]. 155 Our data indicate that gentamicin is an effective adjuvant of phage therapy, particularly when applied 156 simultaneously with phages in a multiple-dose treatment, to minimise the effect of resistance mechanisms. Moreover, our results suggest that antibiotics can be effective adjuvants for phage therapy against chronic 157 158 wound infections. However, the order and frequency of the applied antimicrobials (phages or antibiotics) is important for an optimal treatment outcome. 159

160 MATERIAL and METHODS

161 Bacterial strains and culture conditions

162 The bacterial strains P. aeruginosa PAO1 (DSM22644) and S. aureus ATCC 25923 are reference strains 163 obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures and American Type Culture 164 Collection, respectively. Seventeen additional clinical S. aureus isolates, and two culture collection strains were 165 kindly provided by the LPhage Laboratory in CEB (University of Minho, Braga, Portugal, Table S1) and were also 166 used in this study. All strains were grown in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, VWR Chemicals), Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA; VWR Chemicals), or in TSA soft overlays (TSB with 0.6 % agar) at 37 °C. Pseudomonas isolation agar (PSA; Becton, 167 168 Dickinson) was used to enumerate P. aeruginosa cells, and mannitol salt agar (MSA; Neogene) was used to 169 enumerate S. aureus cells in dual-species biofilms.

170 Phage isolation and production

171 Phage SAFA was isolated from effluent samples of raw sewage obtained in a waste-water treatment plant in Braga, Portugal, using the enrichment protocol described before [40]. Briefly, 100 mL of the effluent was 172 173 mixed with 100 mL of double-strength TSB and with 10 µL of each of the exponentially grown S. aureus strains 174 (Table S1) and incubated at 37 °C, at 120 rpm (BIOSAN ES-20/60, Riga, Latvia) overnight. Suspensions were further centrifuged (15 min, 9000× g, 4 °C), and the supernatants were filtered through a 0.22 μ m 175 176 polyethersulfone (PES) membrane (ThermoFisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). The presence of phages was 177 confirmed by performing spot assays on bacterial lawns. The prepared plates were further incubated overnight 178 at 37 °C, and the presence of inhibition halos was observed. When phage plaques appeared, successive rounds 179 of single plaque purification were carried out until purified plaques were observed, reflected by a single plaque 180 morphology.

The purified phage was produced by using the double agar layer method, as described before [33]. Briefly, 100 μ L of a phage suspension at 10⁸ PFU/mL were spread on *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 or *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 lawns for overnight incubation at 37 °C. If full lysis was observed, plates were further incubated at 4 °C for 6 h at 120 rpm (BIOSAN PSU-10i), with 2 mL of SM Buffer (100 mM NaCl, 8 mM MgSO4, 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.5) to resuspend the phage particles. The liquid phase was collected and centrifuged (15 min, 9000× g, 4 °C), and the supernatants were filtered through a 0.22 μ m PES membrane. Purified phages were stored at 4 °C for further use.

188 Electron microscopy

Phage suspension was sedimented by centrifugation (25,000× g, 60 min, 4°C) using a ScanSpeed 1730R centrifuge (Labogene, Lillerød, Denmark). The pellet was further washed in tap water by repeating the centrifugation step. Subsequently, phage suspension was deposited on copper grids with a carbon-coated Formvar carbon film on a 200 square mesh nickel grid, stained with 2 % uranyl acetate (pH 4.0) and examined using a Jeol JEM 1400 transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Tokyo, Japan) [15].

194 *Phage host range and efficiency of plating determination phage*

The host range of SAFA was determined with the spot test method [15] using the strains listed in Table S1. Briefly, 100 μ L of each overnight bacterial culture was added to 5 mL of TSB-soft agar and poured onto TSB agar plates. 10 μ L of serial 10-fold dilutions of the phage suspension was spotted on the bacterial lawns and plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight. The efficiency of plating (EOP) was calculated by dividing the titer of the phage (PFU/mL) obtained for each isolate by the titer determined in the propagating bacteria. EOP was recorded as high (>10 %), moderate (0.01–9 %) or low (<0.01 %) [15].

201 Genome sequencing and in silico analysis

The DNA of the *Staphylococcus* phage SAFA was extracted according to the standard phenol-chloroformisoamyl alcohol methods, as described elsewhere [41]. The DNA sample was used for library construction using the Illumina Nextera XT library preparation kit. The generated DNA libraries were sequenced in the Illumina

MiSeq platform, using 250bp paired-end sequencing reads. Next, reads were assembled *de novo* with Geneious R9, and manually inspected. SAFA genome was annotated using RAST [42]. The function of proteins was manually inspected using BLASTP. tRNAscan-SE was used to predict tRNAs [43]. For comparative studies, pairwise alignments were made using BLASTN or BLASTP.

209 Biofilm formation in microtiter plates

210 For the in vitro assessment of antimicrobial efficacy, 48 h old dual-species biofilm were formed in 24-211 polystyrene well plates (Orange Scientific, Braine-l'Alleud, Belgium) as previously described [15]. Briefly, to 212 initiate biofilm formation, one bacterial colony (P. aeruginosa or S. aureus) was incubated in TSB overnight in an 213 orbital shaker (120 rpm, BIOSAN ES-20/60) at 37°C. For establishing mono-species biofilms, 10 μL of the starter 214 culture was transferred into 24-well plates containing 990µL of fresh TSB media. The plates were incubated for 24 h in an orbital shaker incubator (120 rpm, BIOSAN ES-20/60) at 37°C. After 24 h, half of the growth medium 215 216 (500µL TSB, 1:1, v:v) was replaced with fresh TSB and plates were incubated for an additional 24 h. For dual-217 species biofilms, S. aureus cells were inoculated prior to P. aeruginosa addition. Thus, biofilms were initiated with 10 µL of the overnight culture of S. aureus (~10⁸ CFU/mL) in 990µL TSB and incubated for 24 h in an orbital 218 219 shaker (120 rpm) at 37°C. After that, half of the growth medium (500µL TSB, 1:1, v:v) was replaced with TSB 220 including 10 µL of the starter culture of *P. aeruginosa* (~10⁸ CFU/mL, 1:49, v/v) and incubated for additional 24 221 h. In mono and dual-species biofilms, the supernatant was aspirated, and the wells were washed twice with 222 saline solution (0.9% NaCl (w/v)) to remove planktonic bacteria. Biofilms were scraped of the plate in saline solution (1 mL) using a micropipette tip, and the number of culturable cells was determined using plate counts 223 224 [43].

225 Biofilm formation in the in vitro wound model

For the wound model, we used the previously prepared two-layer (upper and lower) AD substrate as described elsewhere [33]. Dual-species biofilms were grown on an AD with minor modifications to the previously described chronic wound biofilm model [31]. Briefly, ADs were placed in the 24-well microtiter plate, and 500 μ L of Bolton Broth with 50% plasma (Sigma–Aldrich) and 5% freeze-thaw laked horse blood was added to the ADs. Then, the same amount of growth medium was added into the wells. Next, 10 μ L of the overnight culture of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* (~10⁸ CFU/mL) were spotted simultaneously on the upper part of each AD and incubated at 37 °C overnight.

233 Biofilm challenge

Dual-species biofilms formed on AD were treated with the antimicrobials; alone, in simultaneous (EPA1+SAFA+GEN) or sequential combinations (first EPA1+SAFA and then GEN with 6 h delay) for 24 h. Briefly, 10 μ L of antimicrobials were added to the AD at final concentration of 4 μ g/mL (MIC of GEN for *P. aeruginosa* PAO1) and at MOI of 1 for phages. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Then, treated and untreated (control) ADs were transferred into tubes containing 10 mL saline solution, the sessile cells were removed from the AD by three cycles of vortexing (30 s) and sonication (30 s; Branson 3510; Branson Ultrasonics Corp, Danbury, CT) and the number of CFU/biofilm was determined by plate counting.

241 To develop more efficient treatment strategies, 27 different treatment variables were initially tested on 242 dual-species biofilms formed on 24-well polystyrene plates (Table S2). Briefly, biofilms were washed twice with 243 the saline solution and GEN (at 1x MIC for P. aeruginosa, 4 µg/mL) and EPA1+SAFA (at MOI 1) were applied in TSB according to the order as described in Table S2. Following the CFU counting, the most promising variables 244 were selected and tested on dual-species biofilm formed on ADs. The same protocol was applied to treat and 245 246 enumerate the cells as described above in AD treatment. However, instead of the single-dose treatment, the multiple dose treatments were applied every 8 h for a total of 24 h, and the number of viable cells was 247 248 enumerated by plate counting.

249 Statistical analysis

In all the assays, averages and standard deviations were determined based on 3 independent experiments (n = 3) performed in duplicate. The results of the assays were compared using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by applying the Tukey's multiple comparisons tests using Prism 9.0.0 for Windows. Plots were obtained using Prism 9 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Means and standard deviations (SD) were calculated with

the software. Differences among conditions were considered statistically significant when p<0.001.

255 Accession number

256

SAFA genome was deposited in GenBank database under the accession number OP651044.

257 CRediT authorship contribution statement:

- 258 Ergun Akturk: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Visualization, Resources, Writing
- original draft, Writing review & editing. Luís D. R. Melo: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing
- 260 review & editing, Supervision. Hugo Oliveira: Software, Formal analysis, Writing original draft. Aurélie Crabbé:
- 261 Conceptualization, Supervision. **Tom Coenye:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing review &
- 262 editing, Supervision. Joana Azeredo: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing review & editing,
- 263 Supervision.

264 Funding:

- 265 This study was supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) under the scope of
- the strategic funding of UIDB/04469/2020 unit, and Project PTDC/BIA-MIC/2312/2020. Ergun Akturk is recipient
- 267 of a FCT PhD grant with the reference PD/BD/135254/2017. Luís D. R. Melo acknowledges funding from the FCT
- through the Scientific Employment Stimulus Program (2021.00221.CEECIND). Acknowledgements:
- 269 The authors acknowledge Professor Hermínia de Lencastre and Professor Oto Melter for gently providing some
- 270 of the strains used in this study.

271 Competing interests:

- 272 The funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection, analyses, the decision to publish, or the
- 273 preparation of the manuscript.

274 Ethical Approval:

275 Not required.

276 **REFERENCES**

- 277[1]Han G, Ceilley R. Chronic Wound Healing: A Review of Current Management and278Treatments. Adv Ther 2017;34:599–610. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-017-0478-y.
- 279 [2] James GA, Swogger E, Wolcott R, Pulcini ED, Secor P, Sestrich J, et al. Biofilms in chronic
 280 wounds. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2008;16:37–44.
 281 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2007.00321.x.
- 282[3]Maslova E, Eisaiankhongi L, Sjöberg F, McCarthy RR. Burns and biofilms: priority283pathogens and in vivo models. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes 2021;7:1–9.284https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-021-00243-2.
- 285[4]Kirketerp-Møller K, Jensen P, Fazli M, Madsen KG, Pedersen J, Moser C, et al.286Distribution, organization, and ecology of bacteria in chronic wounds. J Clin Microbiol2872008;46:2717–22. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00501-08.
- 288[5]Fazli M, Bjarnsholt T, Kirketerp-Møller K, Jørgensen B, Andersen AS, Krogfelt KA, et al.289Nonrandom distribution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus in290chronic wounds. J Clin Microbiol 2009;47:4084–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01395-29109.
- 292[6]DeLeon S, Clinton A, Fowler H, Everett J, Horswill AR, Rumbaugh KP. Synergistic293interactions of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus in an In vitro294wound model. Infect Immun 2014;82:4718–28. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02198-14.
- 295[7]Briaud P, Camus L, Bastien S, Doléans-Jordheim A, Vandenesch F, Moreau K. Coexistence296with Pseudomonas aeruginosa alters Staphylococcus aureus transcriptome, antibiotic297resistance and internalization into epithelial cells. Sci Rep 2019;9:1–14.298https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-52975-z.
- 299[8]Hatfull GF, Dedrick RM, Schooley RT. Phage Therapy for Antibiotic-Resistant Bacterial300Infections. Annu Rev Med 2022;73:197–211. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-301080219-122208.
- Jurado A, Fernández L, Rodríguez A, García P. Understanding the Mechanisms That Drive
 Phage Resistance in Staphylococci to Prevent Phage Therapy Failure. Viruses 2022;14.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/v14051061.
- 305[10]Pires DP, Dötsch A, Anderson EM, Hao Y, Khursigara CM, Lam JS, et al. A genotypic306analysis of five P. aeruginosa strains after biofilm infection by phages targeting different307cell surface receptors. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1229.308https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01229.

		D			
ourr	121	P1	nr	\cap	$\cap 1$
oun.				U.	\mathbf{O}

- 309[11]Van Nieuwenhuyse B, Van der Linden D, Chatzis O, Lood C, Wagemans J, Lavigne R, et al.310Bacteriophage-antibiotic combination therapy against extensively drug-resistant311Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection to allow liver transplantation in a toddler. Nat312Commun 2022;13:5725. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33294-w.
- 313[12]Cooley J, Obaidi N, Diaz V, Anselmo K, Eriksson E, Carlsson AH, et al. Delivery of topical314gentamicin cream via platform wound device to reduce wound infection—A prospective,315controlled, randomised, clinical study. Int Wound J 2023;20:1426–35.316https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13998.
- 317[13]Wang P, Long Z, Yu Z, Liu P, Wei D, Fang Q, et al. The efficacy of topical gentamycin318application on prophylaxis and treatment of wound infection: A systematic review and319meta-analysis. Int J Clin Pract 2019;73:e13334. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.13334.
- Interpretation (14)
 Heuer H, Krögerrecklenfort E, Wellington EMH, Egan S, Elsas JD, Overbeek L, et al.
 Gentamicin resistance genes in environmental bacteria: prevalence and transfer. FEMS
 Microbiol Ecol 2002;42:289–302. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2002.tb01019.x.
- 323[15]Akturk E, Oliveira H, Santos SB, Costa S, Kuyumcu S, Melo LDR, et al. Synergistic action324of phage and antibiotics: Parameters to enhance the killing efficacy against mono and325dual-species biofilms. Antibiotics 2019;8. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8030103.
- 326[16]Bjarnsholt T, Whiteley M, Rumbaugh KP, Stewart PS, Jensen P, Frimodt-Møller N. The327importance of understanding the infectious microenvironment. Lancet Infect Dis3282022;22:e88–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00122-5.
- 329[17]Hoffman LR, Déziel E, D'Argenio DA, Lépine F, Emerson J, McNamara S, et al. Selection330for Staphylococcus aureus small-colony variants due to growth in the presence of331Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:19890–5.332https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0606756104.
- 333 [18] Palmer KL, Aye LM, Whiteley M. Nutritional cues control Pseudomonas aeruginosa
 334 multicellular behavior in cystic fibrosis sputum. J Bacteriol 2007;189:8079–87.
 335 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01138-07.
- 336[19]Qin Z, Yang L, Qu D, Molin S, Tolker-Nielsen T. Pseudomonas aeruginosa extracellular337products inhibit staphylococcal growth, and disrut established biofilms produced by338staphylococcus epidermidis.Microbiology (N Y) 2009;155:2148–56.339https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.028001-0.
- 340[20]Steele A, Stacey HJ, de Soir S, Jones JD. The safety and efficacy of phage therapy for341superficial bacterial infections: A systematic review. Antibiotics 2020;9:1–14.342https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9110754.

-	
348	https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00385-15.
349 [23]	Rostkowska OM, Międzybrodzki R, Miszewska-Szyszkowska D, Górski A, Durlik M.
350	Treatment of recurrent urinary tract infections in a 60-year-old kidney transplant
351	recipient. The use of phage therapy. Transplant Infectious Disease 2021;23.
352	https://doi.org/10.1111/tid.13391.
353 [24]	Schmerer M, Molineux IJ, Bull JJ. Synergy as a rationale for phage therapy using phage
354	cocktails. PeerJ 2014;2014:e590–e590. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.590.
355 [25]	LaVergne S, Hamilton T, Biswas B, Kumaraswamy M, Schooley RT, Wooten D. Phage
356	Therapy for a Multidrug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Craniectomy Site Infection.
357	Open Forum Infect Dis 2018;5. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofy064.
358 [26]	Aghaee BL, Mirzaei MK, Alikhani MY, Mojtahedi A, Maurice CF. Improving the inhibitory
359	effect of phages against pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from a burn patient using a
360	combination of phages and antibiotics. Viruses 2021;13.
361	https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020334.
362 [27]	Engeman E, Freyberger HR, Corey BW, Ward AM, He Y, Nikolich MP, et al. Synergistic
363	killing and re-sensitization of pseudomonas aeruginosa to antibiotics by phage-antibiotic
364	combination treatment. Pharmaceuticals 2021;14:1–17.
365	https://doi.org/10.3390/ph14030184.
366 [28]	Comeau AM, Tétart F, Trojet SN, Prère MF, Krisch HM. Phage-antibiotic synergy (PAS):
367	β -lactam and quinolone antibiotics stimulate virulent phage growth. PLoS One 2007;2.
368	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000799.
369 [29]	Gordillo Altamirano FL, Kostoulias X, Subedi D, Korneev D, Peleg AY, Barr JJ. Phage-
370	antibiotic combination is a superior treatment against Acinetobacter baumannii in a
371	preclinical study. EBioMedicine 2022;80:104045.
372	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104045.
373 [30]	Brackman G, Coenye T. In vitro and in vivo biofilm wound models and their application.
374	Adv Exp Med Biol 2016;897:15–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/5584_2015_5002.
375 [31]	Brackman G, Garcia-Fernandez MJ, Lenoir J, de Meyer L, Remon JP, de Beer T, et al.
376	Dressings Loaded with Cyclodextrin–Hamamelitannin Complexes Increase
377	Staphylococcus aureus Susceptibility Toward Antibiotics Both in Single as well as in

343[21]Pinto AM, Cerqueira MA, Bañobre-Lópes M, Pastrana LM, Sillankorva S. Bacteriophages344for chronic wound treatment: From traditional to novel delivery systems. Viruses3452020;12. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12020235.346[22]Pires DP, Vilas Boas D, Sillankorva S, Azeredo J. Phage Therapy: a Step Forward in the347Treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections. J Virol 2015;89:7449–56.

		10.1	Δ^{+}
U UUUU			

378		Mixed Biofilm Communities. Macromol Biosci 2016;16:859–69.
379		https://doi.org/10.1002/mabi.201500437.
380	[32]	Pons BJ, Dimitriu T, Westra ER, van Houte S. Antibiotics that affect translation can
381		antagonize phage infectivity by interfering with the deployment of counter-defenses.
382		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2023;120.
383		https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2216084120.
384	[33]	Simmons EL, Bond MC, Koskella B, Drescher K, Bucci V, Nadell CD. Biofilm Structure
385		Promotes Coexistence of Phage-Resistant and Phage-Susceptible Bacteria. MSystems
386		2020;5. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00877-19.
387	[34]	Pinto G, Minnich SA, Hovde CJ, Oliveira H, Smidt H, Almeida C, et al. The interactions of
388		bacteriophage Ace and Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli during biocontrol. FEMS
389		Microbiol Ecol 2021;97. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiab105.
390	[35]	Augustyniak D, Olszak T, Drulis-Kawa Z. Outer Membrane Vesicles (OMVs) of
391		Pseudomonas aeruginosa Provide Passive Resistance but Not Sensitization to LPS-
392		Specific Phages. Viruses 2022;14. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14010121.
393	[36]	Seed KD. Battling Phages: How Bacteria Defend against Viral Attack. PLoS Pathog
394		2015;11:e1004847–e1004847. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004847.
395	[37]	Torres-Barceló C, Hochberg ME. Evolutionary Rationale for Phages as Complements of
396		Antibiotics. Trends Microbiol 2016;24:249–56.
397		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.12.011.
398	[38]	Chaudhry WN, Concepcion-Acevedo J, Park T, Andleeb S, Bull JJ, Levin BR. Synergy and
399		order effects of antibiotics and phages in killing pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. PLoS
400		One 2017;12:e0168615-e0168615. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168615.
401	[39]	Vyas HKN, Xia B, Mai-Prochnow A. Clinically relevant in vitro biofilm models: A need to
402		mimic and recapitulate the host environment. Biofilm 2022;4:100069.
403		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioflm.2022.100069.
404	[40]	Melo LDR, Brandão A, Akturk E, Santos SB, Azeredo J. Characterization of a new
405		Staphylococcus aureus Kayvirus harboring a lysin active against biofilms. Viruses
406		2018;10:1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/v10040182.
407	[41]	Sambrook J, Russel W D. Molecular Cloning, 3-Volume Set : A Laboratory Manual. Cold
408		Spring Harboc Laboratory Press 2000;3:999.
409	[42]	Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, et al. The SEED and the Rapid
410		Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids
411		Res 2014;42:D206-14. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.

- 412[43]Chan PP, Lin BY, Mak AJ, Lowe TM. TRNAscan-SE 2.0: Improved detection and functional413classification of transfer RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 2021;49:9077–96.414https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab688.
- 415
- 416

burnal

417 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Macroscopic images of wound biofilm model used. (A) AD (B) *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* infected AD after biofilm formation. (C) *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* infected AD (non-treated control) after 48 h of biofilm formation (D) Untreated control (48 h) dermis after being transferred to 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes containing saline solution (E) Treated AD (48 h, the treatment details are in section 0) after being transferred to 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes containing saline solution.

Figure 2. The number of *P. aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* CFU recovered after single-dose treatment of 24 h old dualspecies biofilms. EPA1+SAFA: phage EPA1 and SAFA were applied simultaneously at MOI of 1. Sequential means that phage EPA1 and SAFA were applied simultaneously at MOI of 1; subsequently GEN was applied (4 μg/mL, i.e. the MIC for *P. aeruginosa*) with a 6 h delay. (^) Statistical differences between the control and treated biofilms. (#) Statistical differences between the compared treatment groups. Statistical differences were determined by two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparison tests (p <0.001). Values are the average of three technical repeats in duplicate, error bars indicate standard deviation.

430 Figure 3. Heat map representing the log reduction of (A) P. aeruginosa and (B) S. aureus in dual-species biofilm after 431 multiple treatments. The middle legend bar indicates the colour change according to log reduction reductions, with log 432 reduction reductions increasing from red to green. first dose, second dose, and third dose indicate the order of treatment. 433 The 24 h old dual-species biofilms were treated for 24 h in total (3 treatments of 8 h). The prefix "SIM" indicates the 434 simultaneous application of phage EPA1, SAFA (at MOI of 1) and GEN (4 µg/mL, i.e. the MIC for *P. aeruginosa*) treatments. 435 (^) Statistical differences between the control and treated biofilms. (*) Statistical differences between the current and 436 previous dose-treated biofilms. Statistical differences were determined by two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance 437 (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparison tests (p < 0.001). Values are the average of three technical repeats in duplicate.

438 Figure 4. Schematic presentation of antimicrobial treatments. The row A represents first dose treatment; row B 439 represents second dose treatment; row C represents third dose treatment. EPA1+SAFA/EPA1+SAFA/EPA1+SAFA (1A, 1B, 1C) 440 represent multiple dose treatment regimens of phages at a MOI of 1. In the first dose treatment, phages disrupt and 441 penetrate the biofilm matrix and infect the bacteria cells, helping the penetration of larger molecules such as nutrients. The 442 additional second and third doses of phage treatment continue to target phage-sensitive cells. However, BIM cells proliferate 443 and dominate the biofilm population. GEN/GEN/GEN (2A, 2B, 2C) represent 3 multiple dose treatment regimens of GEN at 444 MIC for P. aeruginosa, 4ug/mL. In the first dose treatment, GEN infects sensitive cells in the upper layer of biofilm. However, 445 single GEN treatment results in GEN-insensitive cell proliferation. The evolved bacteria can proliferate and dominate the 446 biofilm population, rendering the second and third antibiotic treatments ineffective. EPA1+SAFA/GEN/GEN (3A, 3B, 3C) 447 represent multiple dose treatment regimens of antimicrobials: EPA1+SAFA, GEN, and GEN, respectively. In the first dose of 448 treatment, phages disrupt and penetrate the biofilm matrix and infect the bacteria cells. it helps the penetration of larger 449 molecules such as nutrients and antibiotics. However, initial phage treatment induces BIM cell proliferation. The following 450 GEN treatments targets proliferating BIMs and GEN-sensitive cells. Nonetheless, GEN treatments can inhibit phage 451 replication and result in reduced phage efficiency. SIM/SIM (4A, 4B, 4C) represent multiple dose treatment regimens of 452 the simultaneous combination of EPA1+SAFA and GEN at MOI of 1 and MIC value (4ug/mL, i.e. the MIC for P. aeruginosa). 453 Phages disrupt and penetrate the biofilm matrix and infect the bacteria cells. it helps the penetration of larger molecules 454 such as nutrients and antibiotics. Phages and antibiotics use different mechanisms of action. Following the first dose of 455 treatment, the proliferating phage- or GEN-insensitive cells are targeted by another antimicrobial agent, which is supplied 456 to the environment by the second and third doses of treatment.



JIC

~











Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

29th January 2023

To accol 3 eredo

Joana Azeredo On behalf of all authors

r